
#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Good Standing**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## P.S. 036 Saint Albans School

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	75	76	74
Grade 1	96	88	87
Grade 2	76	98	84
Grade 3	61	87	102
Grade 4	82	61	81
Grade 5	73	84	65
All students	463	494	493

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	3%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	65%	65%	65%
% Student with IEPs	19%	21%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	11%	9%	11%
% HRA Eligible	-	54%	49%
% Temporary Housing	-	10%	14%
% Asian	1%	0%	0%
% Black	90%	89%	89%
% Hispanic	8%	9%	8%
% White	1%	0%	0%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

**Student Achievement Scoring Appendix** 

29Q036 P.S. 036 Saint Albans School

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.80

					2	014-15 Targets	S			
	Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
3	student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
S	tate Test Results - ELA									
	Average Student Proficiency	240	2.48	2.09	2.30	2.41	2.54	2.78	3.54	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	240	23.8%	7.4%	16.6%	22.3%	28.8%	39.6%	3.23	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	135	63.0	46.3	54.3	61.2	66.0	77.5	3.38	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	52	81.0	57.1	65.4	72.6	77.6	89.6	4.28	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	97	1.99	0.72	1.28	1.74	2.06	2.90	3.78	9.09%
S	tate Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	241	2.51	2.01	2.33	2.54	2.77	3.13	2.86	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	241	28.2%	3.2%	17.3%	27.2%	38.4%	54.0%	3.09	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	135	72.0	38.5	49.7	59.5	66.3	82.5	4.35	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	47	81.0	50.3	61.2	70.6	77.2	92.9	4.24	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	98	2.23	0.11	1.26	2.25	2.95	4.61	2.98	9.09%
N	AS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	73	90.5%	72.2%	80.1%	85.7%	92.0%	100.0%	3.76	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.59

							014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		. opaidion /c	or numbe	Juliou Tuluc	ranger name		.u.get	. a. get	ranger manage	metric score	1 0001010	2411164
Self-Contained	36	15.0%	73.9%	2.8%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.05	0.030	0.023
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	0.8%	4.1%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	20	8.3%	79.0%	10.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.18	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	36	14.9%	74.1%	2.8%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.97	0.030	0.007
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	0.8%	4.1%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	20	8.3%	79.8%	5.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.76	0.030	0.006
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.2%	4.7%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	42	31.1%	44.2%	66.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.59	0.030	0.027
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	26	19.3%	48.9%	65.4%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.44	0.030	0.026
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	25.2%	61.0%	52.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.82	0.030	0.021
			0 = 10 , 1	0_1070		2 11272						
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.2%	4.5%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	64	47.4%	65.1%	53.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.00	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	37	27.4%	71.4%	64.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.45	0.030	0.026
• SC/ICT/SETSS	34	25.2%	62.0%	58.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.30	0.030	0.025
ELL Progress	14	2.8%	7.1%	42.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
LLL 1 10g1 C33	14	2.0/0	7.1/0	42.3/0	31.0/0	44.0/0	JJ.1/0	03.270	00.070		0.030	0.000
									CtAG Additional Points		0.21	
									Overa	<b>II Student Achie</b>	vement Score	3.80

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).


### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 036 Saint Albans School

29Q036

Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 89% 3.48 50%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44  Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 74.6% 2.64 BS Overall 74.6% 2.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.17 2.27 S% Overall 0.17 2.27 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.96  Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2         Proficient         3.40         22%           Quality Review 2.2         Proficient         3.40         22%           NVC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction         94%         4.12         34%           Section Rating: Meeting Target         Section Score:         3.64         3.64           Ilaborative Teachers         Quality Review 4.2         Proficient         3.40         50%           NVC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers         89%         3.48         50%           NVC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers         89%         3.48         50%           Section Rating: Meeting Target         Section Score:         3.44         30%           Section Rating: Meeting Target         Proficient         3.40         30%           NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment         91%         3.52         35%           Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance         EMS         74.6%         2.64         30%           NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment         74.6%         2.64         30%           Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments         EMS         0.17         2.27         5%           Section Rating: Meeting Target         Section Score:         3.16         3.64 <td>orous Instruction</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2         Proficient         3.40         22%           NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction         94%         4.12         34%           Section Rating: Meeting Target         Section Score:         3.64           Illaborative Teachers         Proficient         3.40         50%           Quality Review 4.2         Proficient         3.40         50%           Section Rating: Meeting Target         Section Score:         3.44           Section Rating: Meeting Target         Section Score:         3.44           Poportive Environment         Proficient         3.40         30%           VIC School Survey - Supportive Environment         91%         3.52         35%           Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance         EMS         3.52         35%           HS         Overall         74.6%         2.64         30%           Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments         EMS         0.17         2.27         5%           EMS         0.17         2.27         5%         0.29         100%           BMS         0.17         2.27         5%         0.29         100%           Section Rating: Meeting Target         Section Score:         2.96         1	Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.40  50%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.40  Section Score:  3.40  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.40  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Proficient  3.40  30%  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  91%  3.52  35%  Proficient  3.40  30%  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  91%  3.52  35%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  Overall  74.6%  2.64  30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  0.17  2.27  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.16  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  2.96  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:  3.20  100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.20  100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.20  3.20  100%	Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.64    Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Ilaborative Teachers   Quality Review 4.2   Proficient   3.40   50%   NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers   89%   3.48   50%   Section Rating: Meeting Target   Section Score:   3.44   Section Score:   3.44   Section Score:   3.44   Section Score:   3.40   Secti	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.12	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 89% 3.48 50%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44  Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 1.46% 2.64 30% Novement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environment 5 1.46% 2.64 30% Novement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environment 5 1.46% 2.27 1.46%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 89% 3.48 50%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44  Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 74.6% 2.64 HS Overall 74.6% 2.64 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.17 2.27 HS Overall 0.17 2.27 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20	llaborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 89% 3.48 50%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44  Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 74.6% 2.64 HS Overall 74.6% 2.64 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.17 2.27 HS Overall 0.17 2.27 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  Organ Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20	Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 74.6% 2.64 30% Noverall 74.6% 2.64 30% Novement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.17 2.27 5% Overall 0.17 2.27 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20	·			
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.52 35% Precentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 74.6% 2.64 HS Overall 74.6% 2.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.17 2.27 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 74.6% 2.64 HS Overall 74.6% 2.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.17 2.27 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20	 pportive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 91% 3.52 35%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 74.6% 2.64 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.17 2.27  HS 0.17 2.27 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20	1 <del>-</del>	Proficient	3.40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 74.6% 2.64  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS Overall 0.17 EMS Overall 0.17 EMS Overall 0.17 EMS Overall 0.17 EXEMS Overall 0.17 Exection Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20	·			
Overall 74.6% 2.64 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.17 2.27 5%  Overall 0.17 2.27 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16  ective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 75% 2.96 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  section Rating: Meeting Target 87% 3.04 100%	Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  EMS  Overall  0.17  2.27  HS  Overall  0.17  2.27  5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.16  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  2.96  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:  Section Score:  2.96  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.20  Section Score:  3.20  Section Score:  3.20  Section Score:  3.20  Section Score:  Section Score:  3.20	HS			
environments  EMS HS Overall  0.17 2.27 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.16  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score: 2.96  Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.20		74.6%	2.64	30%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.20	environments			
Overall 0.17 2.27 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.16  Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 75% 2.96 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20		0.17	2.27	
ective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score: 2.96  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.20  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.20		0.17	2.27	5%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 75% 2.96 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  IST  NYC School Survey - Trust 87% 3.04 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 75% 2.96 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96  Tong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  IST  NYC School Survey - Trust 87% 3.04 100%	active School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  UST  NYC School Survey - Trust 87% 3.04 100%	-	75%	2.96	100%
Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  IST  NYC School Survey - Trust 87% 3.04 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2 96	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.20 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20  ust  NYC School Survey - Trust 87% 3.04 100%	Section nating. Approaching ranger	Section Score.	2.30	
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.20  UST  NYC School Survey - Trust  87%  3.04  100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 87% 3.04 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.20	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 87% 3.04 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
NYC School Survey - Trust 87% 3.04 100%				
		070/	2.04	1000/
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.04	NYC SCHOOL Survey - 1 rust	8/%	3.04	100%
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			•	, ,		•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.85	4.40
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	99	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.94	4.76
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	86	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20
Section Results:		94%					4.12
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	J .	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.00	
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	33.3	0711	2000	0.63	3.52
<ul> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.81	4.24
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.67	3.68
School commitment	Teachers	84	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.60	3.40
Innovation	Teachers	81	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.35	2.40
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	91	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.60	3.40
Focus on student learning	Teachers	90	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.68	3.72
Collective responsibility	Teachers	83	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.50	3.00
Section Results:		89%					3.48
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	78	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.33	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	78				0.33	2.32
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.78	4.12
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	<b>-</b> 1	22	75.0	00.0	400.0	0.54	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	88	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.51	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	22	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.54	2.21
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88		00.0	4000	0.51	3.04
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Total	00	76.5	04.5	400.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	89	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.53	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students	04	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.53	2.00
Peer support for academic work	Combined	91				0.52	3.08
Section Results:		91%					3.52

29Q036 P.S. 036 Saint Albans School

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
<ul> <li>Teacher influence</li> </ul>	Teachers	42	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.21	1.84
Program coherence	Teachers	77	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.43	2.72
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	86	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.63	3.52
Section Results:		75%					2.96
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.47	2.88
Section Results:		85%					3.20
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.62	3.48
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	73	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.37	2.48
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	88	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.55	3.20
Section Results:		87%					3.04

**Targets for 2015-16** 

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.48	2.30 or lower	2.31 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.49	2.50 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.14	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	23.8%	17.5% or lower	17.6% to 23.0%	23.1% to 27.1%	27.2% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.51	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.65	2.66 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.96	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	28.2%	21.1% or lower	21.2% to 28.3%	28.4% to 33.8%	33.9% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	90.5%	86.4% or lower	86.5% to 89.8%	89.9% to 92.4%	92.5% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.90	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
SETSS	2.12	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
ELL	1.97	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.13	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.95	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher		
SETSS	2.10	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 or higher		
ELL	1.99	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
ELL Progress	42.9%	40.2% or lower	40.3% to 50.2%	50.3% to 57.7%	57.8% or highe		

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	74.6%	70.8% or lower	70.9% to 77.6%	77.7% to 82.8%	82.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.17	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.32	0.33 or higher			