
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	80	87	81
Grade 1	80	83	77
Grade 2	104	77	78
Grade 3	100	107	82
Grade 4	120	103	107
Grade 5	83	96	90
All students	603	588	550

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	35%	35%	33%
% Free Lunch Eligible	94%	94%	96%
% Student with IEPs	14%	17%	17%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	7%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	77%	73%
% Temporary Housing	-	31%	28%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	1%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	98%	97%	97%
% White	1%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

06M115 P.S. 115 Alexander Humboldt

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.13

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	265	2.13	1.95	2.13	2.25	2.38	2.65	2.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	265	10.2%	2.6%	10.0%	15.0%	20.7%	32.2%	2.04	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	164	60.0	47.8	55.7	62.5	67.3	78.7	2.63	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	58	74.5	60.5	68.5	75.3	80.1	91.4	2.88	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	79	1.15	0.55	1.15	1.67	2.03	2.93	2.00	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	275	2.35	1.97	2.23	2.39	2.58	2.93	2.75	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	275	19.6%	2.3%	13.4%	21.1%	29.9%	44.7%	2.81	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	173	61.0	42.0	51.8	60.3	66.2	80.4	3.12	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	58	77.0	55.1	64.4	72.5	78.2	91.6	3.79	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	80	2.97	0.43	1.39	2.20	2.77	4.19	4.14	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	88	95.2%	75.9%	82.7%	87.5%	93.1%	100.0%	4.30	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.95

								014-15 Target		_			
	Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
	ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•						· ·	0			
•	Self-Contained	30	11.3%	55.7%	3.3%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.32	0.030	0.025
	Integrated Co-Teaching	2	0.8%	4.1%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
0	SETSS	20	7.5%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
	Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
0		30	10.9%	54.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
	Integrated Co-Teaching	2	0.7%	3.6%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
0	SETSS	20	7.3%	70.2%	5.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.76	0.030	0.006
	ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
	ELL - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile	63	38.4%	82.1%	39.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.34	0.030	0.018
0	Lowest Third Citywide	95	57.9%	84.3%	37.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.92	0.030	0.018
0	Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	50	30.5%	77.2%	32.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	74.4%	1.67	0.030	0.007
	SC/ICT/SETSS	29	17.7%	39.5%	51.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.70	0.030	0.003
	36/161/32133	23	17.770	33.370	31.770	22.070	34.570	44.070	34.770	77.470	3.70	0.030	0.020
	Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
	ELL	72	41.6%	85.4%	41.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.74	0.030	0.021
	Lowest Third Citywide	106	61.3%	84.2%	46.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.33	0.030	0.018
	Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	54	31.2%	81.3%	46.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.44	0.030	0.018
•	SC/ICT/SETSS	30	17.3%	39.2%	70.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.75	0.030	0.028
	ELL Progress	167	32.4%	82.2%	53.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.88	0.030	0.014
											CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.18
										Overa	ill Student Achie	vement Score	3.13

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 115 Alexander Humboldt

06M115

Quality Review 1.1		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Couality Review 1.2	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 93% 3.68 34% Section Rating; Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating; Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating; Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 3.52 50% Section Rating; Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Score		Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Sectio	Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target	Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Alaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 3.52 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 30% Section Score: 3.48 Section Survey - Supportive Environment 94% 3.88 35% Percentage of Students with 90% at attendance EMS 1 2.80 30% Section Score: 3.48 EMS 72.7% 2.80 30% Section Score: 3.48 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 1 0.09 1.64 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Score: 2.88	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.68	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 3.52 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 3.52 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 3.52 50% NYC School Survey - Surportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94% 3.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance ENS HS 72.7% 2.80 30% NOVernall SCHOOL SURVEY -	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 3.52 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 3.52 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 3.52 50% NYC School Survey - Surportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94% 3.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance ENS HS 72.7% 2.80 30% NOVernall SCHOOL SURVEY - Survey - Surportive Environment School Leaders School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Sco	laborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48 Section Score: 3.40 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 3		Proficient	3.40	50%
Ouality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94% 3.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 72.7% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.09 1.64 5% Overall 0.09 1.64 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88	·			
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94% 3.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 72.7% 2.80 SUPPORTING THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94% 3.88 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 72.7% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.09 1.64 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.44 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88	pportive Environment			
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 72.7% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.09 1.64 HS Overall 0.09 1.64 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%		Proficient	3.40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 72.7% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.09 1.64 HS Overall 0.09 1.64 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%	·	94%	3.88	35%
Noverall 72.7% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.09 1.64 5% HS 0.09 1.64 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88				
Overall 72.7% 2.80 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.09 1.64 HS Overall 0.09 1.64 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Rating: Approaching Target 84% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88	EMS	72.7%	2.80	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS	HS			
environments EMS HS Overall 0.09 1.64 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Score: 2.88	Overall	72.7%	2.80	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.09 1.64 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Score: 2.88 Section Score: 2.88	Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Score: 2.88	environments			
Overall 0.09 1.64 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Rating: Approaching Target 89% 3.44 100%		0.09	1.64	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.32 Section School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Score: 2.88 Section Score: 2.88				
Active School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Score: Section Score: 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Score: 3.44 100%	Overall	0.09	1.64	5%
Active School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Score: NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Score: 3.44 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 72% 2.60 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 St NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%				
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 St NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%		72%	2 60	100%
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 St NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%	The sensor survey Effective sensor Ecuaership	7270	2.00	10070
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 St NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 St NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%	ng Family-Community Ties			
st NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%		84%	2.88	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%				
		909/	2 44	1000/
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44	INTO SCHOOL Survey - Trust	ბყ%	5.44	100%
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	



P.S. 115 Alexander Humboldt

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	90	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	93	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		93%					3.68
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	96				0.75	4.00
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	77	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.53	3.12
School commitment	Teachers	82	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20
Innovation	Teachers	84	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.47	2.88
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.88	4.52
Peer collaboration	Teachers	94	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.75	4.00
Focus on student learning	Teachers	84	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.50	3.00
Collective responsibility	Teachers	82	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.46	2.84
Section Results:	reactions	88%	03.7	0 1.7	100.0	0.10	3.52
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	92	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.58	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	92				0.58	3.32
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	92	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.74	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	92				0.74	3.96
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	94	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.76	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
 Press toward academic achievement 	Combined	94				0.76	4.04
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	95	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.78	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	95				0.76	4.04
Section Results:		94%					3.88

06M115

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Cabaal Landavahin							
Effective School Leadership	Dananta	02	70.2	00.0	100.0	0.61	2.44
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.61	3.44
Teacher influence	Teachers	48	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.30	2.20
 Program coherence 	Teachers	69	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.23	1.92
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	79	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.45	2.80
Section Results:		72%					2.60
o 11 o 11 -							
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	87	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.63	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.44	2.76
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.49	2.96
Section Results:		84%					2.88
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.76	4.04
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	74	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.40	2.60
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	87	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.51	3.04
Section Results:		89%					3.44

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

School Value				
	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
2.13	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.29	2.30 or higher
1.87	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
10.2%	9.0% or lower	9.1% to 14.2%	14.3% to 18.2%	18.3% or higher
2.35	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.49	2.50 or higher
1.91	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
19.6%	13.7% or lower	13.8% to 21.0%	21.1% to 26.5%	26.6% or higher
95.2%	86.1% or lower	86.2% to 89.6%	89.7% to 92.3%	92.4% or higher
2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
1.72	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
1.79	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
1.95	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.15	2.16 or higher
1.91	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
1.88	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
1.86	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher
2.23	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
2.15	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher
1.99	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
1.98	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
53.9%	36.6% or lower	36.7% to 46.6%	46.7% to 54.1%	54.2% or higher
	1.87 10.2% 2.35 1.91 19.6% 95.2% 2014-15 School Value 1.72 1.79 1.95 1.91 1.88 1.86 2.23 2.15 1.99 1.98	1.87 1.79 or lower 10.2% 9.0% or lower 2.35 2.22 or lower 1.91 1.79 or lower 19.6% 13.7% or lower 95.2% 86.1% or lower 2014-15 School Value Not Meeting Target 1.72 1.69 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.95 1.91 or lower 1.91 1.85 or lower 1.88 1.80 or lower 1.89 or lower 2.23 1.94 or lower 2.15 2.00 or lower 1.99 1.88 or lower 1.98 1.86 or lower	1.87 1.79 or lower 1.80 to 1.90 10.2% 9.0% or lower 9.1% to 14.2% 2.35 2.22 or lower 2.23 to 2.37 1.91 1.79 or lower 1.80 to 1.94 19.6% 13.7% or lower 13.8% to 21.0% 95.2% 86.1% or lower 86.2% to 89.6% 2014-15 School Value Not Meeting Target Approaching Target 1.72 1.69 or lower 1.70 to 1.79 1.89 or lower 1.90 to 2.00 1.79 1.88 or lower 1.89 to 2.00 1.95 1.91 or lower 1.92 to 2.05 1.91 1.85 or lower 1.86 to 1.92 1.88 1.80 or lower 1.79 to 1.91 1.86 1.78 or lower 1.79 to 1.91 1.99 or lower 2.00 to 2.16 2.23 1.94 or lower 1.95 to 2.12 2.15 2.00 or lower 2.01 to 2.20 1.99 1.88 or lower 1.89 to 1.96 1.98 1.86 or lower 1.87 to 1.95	1.87 1.79 or lower 1.80 to 1.90 1.91 to 1.99 10.2% 9.0% or lower 9.1% to 14.2% 14.3% to 18.2% 2.35 2.22 or lower 2.23 to 2.37 2.38 to 2.49 1.91 1.79 or lower 1.80 to 1.94 1.95 to 2.06 19.6% 13.7% or lower 13.8% to 21.0% 21.1% to 26.5% 95.2% 86.1% or lower 86.2% to 89.6% 89.7% to 92.3% 2014-15 School Value Not Meeting Target Approaching Target Meeting Target 1.72 1.69 or lower 1.70 to 1.79 1.80 to 1.89 1.89 or lower 1.90 to 2.00 2.01 to 2.08 1.79 1.88 or lower 1.89 to 2.00 2.01 to 2.09 1.95 1.91 or lower 1.92 to 2.05 2.06 to 2.15 1.91 1.85 or lower 1.86 to 1.92 1.93 to 1.99 1.88 1.80 or lower 1.81 to 1.89 1.90 to 1.99 1.86 1.78 or lower 1.79 to 1.91 1.92 to 2.02 1.99 or lower 2.00 to 2.16 2.17 to 2.29 2.23

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	72.7%	68.2% or lower	68.3% to 75.0%	75.1% to 80.2%	80.3% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.09	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.29	0.30 or higher			