2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Exceeding Target				4.60
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target				3.96
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.28
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.48
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.68	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.56
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.69	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 230 Doris L. Cohen

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	215	207	192
Grade 1	205	216	191
Grade 2	206	190	215
Grade 3	222	198	191
Grade 4	206	213	192
Grade 5	199	205	213
All students	1379	1355	1319

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	34%	32%	34%
% Free Lunch Eligible	64%	64%	64%
% Student with IEPs	19%	19%	18%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	4%	4%
% HRA Eligible	-	55%	54%
% Temporary Housing	-	20%	21%
% Asian	45%	45%	46%
% Black	2%	2%	2%
% Hispanic	29%	30%	31%
% White	22%	21%	19%
% Other	0%	2%	1%

15K230 P.S. 230 Doris L. Cohen



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.69									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		583	2.79	2.20	2.46	2.60	2.77	3.00	4.09	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		583	42.4%	13.5%	25.2%	32.4%	40.6%	51.4%	4.17	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		385	75.0	52.4	59.5	65.5	69.6	79.5	4.55	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		132	71.0	60.5	67.9	74.2	78.6	89.1	2.49	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		184	2.77	1.12	1.73	2.19	2.51	3.30	4.33	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		589	2.87	2.25	2.63	2.87	3.14	3.50	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		589	46.0%	14.5%	31.6%	43.2%	56.5%	72.9%	3.21	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		393	65.0	47.6	57.5	65.7	71.4	84.9	2.91	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		137	67.0	57.0	65.2	72.0	76.8	88.3	2.26	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		186	3.04	0.95	1.97	2.81	3.39	4.80	3.40	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		188	97.4%	80.9%	86.6%	90.4%	94.6%	100.0%	4.52	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.54

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Point Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	27	4.6%	22.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	66	11.3%	57.4%	9.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.93	0.030	0.022
SETSS	7	1.2%	11.4%	28.6%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	27	4.6%	22.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	66	11.2%	57.1%	10.6%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.60	0.030	0.012
SETSS	7	1.2%	11.5%	42.9%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	161	41.8%	89.3%	50.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.17	0.030	0.024
Lowest Third Citywide	117	30.4%	43.1%	47.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.10	0.030	0.016
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	35	9.1%	23.0%	37.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	65	16.9%	37.2%	44.6%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.98	0.030	0.015
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	169	43.0%	88.3%	37.9%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.40	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	124	31.6%	43.4%	38.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.60	0.030	0.012
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	35	8.9%	23.2%	42.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	65	16.5%	36.9%	43.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.25	0.030	0.017
ELL Progress	396	33.3%	84.5%	54.8%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.97	0.030	0.015
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.15
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.69

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

15K230 P.S. 230 Doris L. Cohen

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
15K230
```

P.S. 230 Doris L. Cohen

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	92%	3.80	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	97%	4.52	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.52	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	88.8%	3.52	
HS			
Overall	88.8%	3.52	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.25	3.00	
HS			
Overall	0.25	3.00	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	93%	4.48	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.48	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	87%	3.68	100%
The school survey - strong ranning-community ries	0/70	3.00	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	98%	4.56	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.56	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
15K230
P.S. 230 Doris L. Cohen
```

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction								
Common Core shit	fts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shit	fts in math	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity		Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student	discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.59	3.36
Section Results:			92%					3.80
Collaborative Teache	ers							
Cultural awarenes								
Cultural awar		Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.92	
Cultural awar		Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awar		Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awar		Combined	98				0.83	4.32
Inclusive classroor		Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.94	4.76
Quality of professi	ional development	Teachers	86	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.71	3.84
 School commitme 	•	Teachers	100	59.9	85.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Innovation		Teachers	94	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.79	4.16
Reflective dialogue	e	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.92	4.68
 Peer collaboration 		Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.95	4.80
• Focus on student l	learning	Teachers	99	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.96	4.84
Collective respons	-	Teachers	95	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.85	4.40
Section Results:			97%					4.52
Supportive Environm Safety:	nent							
Safety		Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.97	
Safety		Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 		Combined	99				0.97	4.88
Classroom behavio	or:							
Classroom be	havior	Teachers	96	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.86	
Classroom be	havior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
 Classroom be 		Combined	96				0.86	4.44
 Social-emotional r 	neasure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.98	4.92
Peer interactions		Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance		Students						
	lemic achievement:							
	academic achievement	Teachers	94	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.74	
	academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
	academic achievement	Combined	94				0.74	3.96
Personal attention		Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for a								
	for academic work	Teachers	98	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.93	
	for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
	for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	for academic work	Combined	97				0.84	4.36
Section Results:			97%					4.52

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
15K230
P.S. 230 Doris L. Cohen
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Coherel Leadership							
Effective School Leadership	-						
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.73	3.92
Teacher influence	Teachers	77	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.76	4.04
 Program coherence 	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.99	4.96
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	99	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.98	4.92
Section Results:		93%					4.48
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.94	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	96	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.76	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	98				0.85	4.40
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.49	2.96
Section Results:		87%					3.68
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	98	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.76	4.04
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.84	4.36
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	99	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.98	4.92
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.98	4.92
Section Results:		98%					4.56

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.79	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.55	2.56 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.02	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	42.4%	21.1% or lower	21.2% to 26.6%	26.7% to 30.7%	30.8% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.87	2.54 or lower	2.55 to 2.70	2.71 to 2.81	2.82 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.94	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	46.0%	30.0% or lower	30.1% to 37.3%	37.4% to 42.7%	42.8% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	97.4%	87.2% or lower	87.3% to 90.4%	90.5% to 92.9%	93.0% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets				
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.71	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.05	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
SETSS	2.56	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher		
ELL	2.40	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.84	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.69	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.11	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
SETSS	2.61	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.33	2.34 or higher		
ELL	2.50	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.46	2.47 to 2.61	2.62 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
ELL Progress	54.8%	40.6% or lower	40.7% to 50.6%	50.7% to 58.1%	58.2% or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 2015-16 Targets							
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	88.8%	76.7% or lower	76.8% to 82.6%	82.7% to 87.1%	87.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.25	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.24	0.25 or higher			