2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Targe	t Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Exceeding Target				4.00
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.70	6
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.00
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.16		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.64		
Trust	Approaching Target		2.48		
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.53	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	121	133	119
Grade 1	106	150	129
Grade 2	107	111	149
Grade 3	94	115	107
Grade 4	103	95	103
Grade 5	98	103	84
All students	676	754	737

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	17%	15%	13%
% Free Lunch Eligible	58%	58%	61%
% Student with IEPs	21%	21%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	4%	4%
% HRA Eligible	-	27%	39%
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	6%
% Asian	48%	52%	54%
% Black	13%	12%	11%
% Hispanic	22%	20%	19%
% White	17%	16%	14%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

25Q165 P.S. 165 Edith K. Bergtraum

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.53									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA	-									
Average Student Proficiency		291	2.78	2.27	2.55	2.70	2.88	3.11	3.44	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		291	44.0%	16.4%	29.3%	37.5%	46.8%	58.3%	3.70	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		176	65.5	50.9	57.7	63.4	67.3	77.0	3.54	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		61	65.0	57.3	64.9	71.5	76.2	87.3	2.02	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		106	2.25	1.06	1.67	2.14	2.48	3.30	3.32	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		290	3.11	2.34	2.72	2.93	3.18	3.50	3.72	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		290	57.2%	19.4%	35.8%	46.5%	58.7%	73.6%	3.88	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		180	60.0	44.2	54.3	62.9	68.9	83.3	2.66	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		61	70.0	52.6	61.6	69.5	74.9	88.1	3.09	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		106	2.81	0.95	1.90	2.65	3.17	4.46	3.31	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		89	95.4%	76.1%	82.8%	87.7%	93.2%	100.0%	4.32	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.36

						2	014-15 Target	5				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	16	5.5%	27.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	53	18.2%	92.4%	13.2%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.60	0.030	0.027
SETSS	1	0.3%	2.9%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	16	5.5%	27.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	53	18.3%	93.4%	30.2%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.92	0.030	0.029
SETSS	1	0.3%	2.9%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	41	23.3%	49.8%	46.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.99	0.030	0.022
Lowest Third Citywide	41	23.3%	32.5%	53.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.80	0.030	0.021
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	11	6.3%	15.9%	54.5%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	42	23.9%	57.3%	33.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.88	0.030	0.007
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	45	25.0%	51.3%	46.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.08	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	40	22.2%	30.5%	40.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.73	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	7.8%	20.3%	42.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	42	23.3%	56.5%	33.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.35	0.030	0.010
ELL Progress	85	12.3%	31.2%	64.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.95	0.030	0.022
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.17
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.53

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

25Q165 P.S. 165 Edith K. Bergtraum

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
25Q165
```

P.S. 165 Edith K. Bergtraum

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	90%	3.12	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	80%	2.48	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.72	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	86.5%	3.36	
HS			
Overall	86.5%	3.36	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.33	3.80	
HS	0.00	2.22	
Overall	0.33	3.80	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
ctive School Leadership	6771	0.45	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	66%	2.16	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.16	
ng Family-Community Ties			
	87%	2.64	100%
	82%	2.64	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82% Section Score:	2.64 2.64	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target			100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target t	Section Score:	2.64	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target St NYC School Survey - Trust			100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

25Q165

P.S. 165 Edith K. Bergtraum

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rig	orous Instruction							
	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	92	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.61	3.44
	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	84	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.48	2.92
Sec	tion Results:		90%					3.12
Col	laborative Teachers							
	Cultural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0	0.00	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	0711	20010	0.63	3.52
•	Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
0	Quality of professional development	Teachers	56	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.09	1.36
0	School commitment	Teachers	58	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
	Innovation	Teachers	80	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.31	2.24
•	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
0	Peer collaboration	Teachers	82	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.17	1.68
0	Focus on student learning	Teachers	72	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.13	1.52
	Collective responsibility	Teachers	82	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.47	2.88
Sec	tion Results:		80%		0		••••	2.48
								-
Sur	oportive Environment							
F	Safety:							
	Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.93	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0	0100	
•	Safety	Combined	99		00.0	20010	0.93	4.72
-	Classroom behavior:						0.00	
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	88	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.64	
	Classroom behavior	Students	00	67.3	84.3	100.0	0101	
	Classroom behavior	Combined	88	07.0	01.0	100.0	0.64	3.56
•	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
	Peer interactions	Students	50	68.2	84.8	100.0	0.75	1.00
	Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	01.0	100.0		
	Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	87	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.46	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students	07	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.40	
	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	87	03.5	51.5	50.5	0.46	2.84
	Personal attention and support	Students	67	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.40	2.04
	Peer support for academic work:	Students		//.0	05.0	100.0		
	Peer support for academic work.	Teachers	88	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.48	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.48	
	Peer support for academic work	Students	50	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.75	
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	92	50.7	75.0	J1.4	0.62	3.48
Sec	tion Results:	combined	92%				0.02	3.48 3.72
Jet	tion negatio.		5270					3.72

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
25Q165
P.S. 165 Edith K. Bergtraum
```

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
			-			-		
Effective School Leadership								
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.57	3.28	
• Teacher influence	Teachers	39	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.16	1.64	
Program coherence	Teachers	76	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.41	2.64	
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	56	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.00	1.00	
Section Results:		66%					2.16	
Strong Family Community Ties								
Teacher outreach to parents:								
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.58		
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50		
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.54	3.16	
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	70	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.27	2.08	
Section Results:		82%					2.64	
Trust								
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00	
Parent-principal trust	Parents	92	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.53	3.12	
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0			
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	52	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.00	1.00	
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	79	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.20	1.80	
Section Results:		80%					2.48	

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.78	2.54 or lower	2.55 to 2.64	2.65 to 2.72	2.73 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.03	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.18	2.19 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	44.0%	28.9% or lower	29.0% to 34.4%	34.5% to 38.5%	38.6% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.11	2.72 or lower	2.73 to 2.87	2.88 to 2.99	3.00 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.18	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.26	2.27 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	57.2%	37.0% or lower	37.1% to 44.2%	44.3% to 49.7%	49.8% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.4%	88.0% or lower	88.1% to 91.0%	91.1% to 93.3%	93.4% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.65	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.20	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
SETSS		2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.23	2.24 or higher			
ELL	2.40	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.71	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.10	2.11 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.51	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.49	2.50 or higher			
SETSS		2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.44	2.45 or higher			
ELL	2.80	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.57	2.58 to 2.71	2.72 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
ELL Progress	64.7%	48.5% or lower	48.6% to 58.4%	58.5% to 66.0%	66.1% or higher			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	86.5%	77.8% or lower	77.9% to 83.4%	83.5% to 87.7%	87.8% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.33	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.32	0.33 or higher