
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 069 Jackson Heights

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	139	141	151
Grade 1	166	143	144
Grade 2	179	156	141
Grade 3	250	225	195
Grade 4	266	246	221
Grade 5	203	249	238
All students	1275	1232	1159

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	20%	19%	20%
% Free Lunch Eligible	62%	62%	62%
% Student with IEPs	9%	8%	9%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	2%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	47%	46%
% Temporary Housing	-	9%	9%
% Asian	47%	48%	48%
% Black	0%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	42%	42%	42%
% White	10%	9%	8%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

30Q069 P.S. 069 Jackson Heights

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.95

		2014-15 Targets								
Stud	ent Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State	Test Results - ELA									
Av	erage Student Proficiency	619	2.88	2.24	2.52	2.68	2.86	3.09	4.09	9.09%
Pe	rcentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	619	44.7%	16.0%	28.2%	35.7%	44.3%	55.0%	4.04	9.09%
M	edian Adjusted Growth Percentile	418	64.5	51.4	58.5	64.5	68.7	78.8	3.00	9.09%
M	edian Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	148	71.5	56.9	64.8	71.7	76.5	88.0	2.97	9.09%
Ea	rly Grade Progress	186	2.91	1.13	1.74	2.21	2.54	3.34	4.46	9.09%
State	Test Results - Math									
Av	erage Student Proficiency	628	3.07	2.32	2.69	2.90	3.15	3.47	3.68	9.09%
Pe	rcentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	628	53.7%	18.2%	34.2%	44.6%	56.4%	71.0%	3.77	9.09%
M	edian Adjusted Growth Percentile	428	69.0	46.9	56.3	64.0	69.4	82.4	3.93	9.09%
M	edian Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	149	73.0	53.2	61.9	69.3	74.6	87.2	3.70	9.09%
Ea	rly Grade Progress	189	3.21	1.05	1.95	2.64	3.12	4.33	4.07	9.09%
• MS Ad	djusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	221	99.5%	80.5%	86.3%	90.1%	94.5%	100.0%	4.91	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.87

		2014-15 Targets										
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4					-	-						
Self-Contained	18	2.9%	14.3%	5.6%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	4.7%	23.9%	13.8%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	5	0.8%	7.6%	20.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	18	2.9%	14.4%	16.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	28	4.5%	23.0%	32.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	5	0.8%	7.7%	40.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	133	31.8%	67.9%	33.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.69	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	63	15.1%	20.2%	58.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	23	5.5%	13.9%	56.5%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	36	8.6%	13.5%	50.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	144	33.6%	69.0%	41.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.68	0.030	0.020
Lowest Third Citywide	82	19.2%	26.4%	54.9%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.08	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	31	7.2%	18.8%	64.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	35	8.2%	13.0%	60.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	210	19.3%	49.0%	75.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.43	0.030	0.026
									CtAG Additional Points		0.08	
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.95

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

30Q069 P.S. 069 Jackson Heights

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
igorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.56	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	90%	3.64	50%
	30,0	0.0.	30,0
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
ipportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	4.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	93.3%	4.40	
HS			
Overall	93.3%	4.40	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.31	3.60	
HS			
Overall	0.31	3.60	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	80%	3.52	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
	0001011 000101	0.02	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.32	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
rust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.04	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	



P.S. 069 Jackson Heights

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction						-	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.60	3.40
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	86	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.56	3.24
Section Results:		91%					3.56
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	98	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.81	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.65	3.60
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	91	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	78	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.55	3.20
School commitment	Teachers	88	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.71	3.84
Innovation	Teachers	90	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.66	3.64
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
Focus on student learning	Teachers	89	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.67	3.68
Collective responsibility	Teachers	87	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.62	3.48
Section Results:		90%					3.64
Supportive Environment Safety:		400	00.0	0.4.6	400.0	4.00	
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students	400	74.5	88.5	100.0	4.00	4.00
• Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	86	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.59	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	86				0.59	3.36
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:		0.4	0	00.0	100.0	0.04	
Press toward academic achievemen		91	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.64	
Press toward academic achievemen		2.	85.3	91.9	98.5	2.21	2 = 5
Press toward academic achievemen		91		00.0	4000	0.64	3.56
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:				0.1 =	400.0	0.55	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.82	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.78	
Peer support for academic work	Students	2=	50.4	73.8	97.2	2.22	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.80	4.20
Section Results:		94%					4.08

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	41	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.20	1.80
 Program coherence 	Teachers	92	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.81	4.24
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	90	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.74	3.96
Section Results:		80%					3.52
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	74	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.41	2.64
Section Results:		85%					3.32
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	90	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.77	4.08
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	92	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.68	3.72
Section Results:		94%					4.04

30Q069

P.S. 069 Jackson Heights

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

2.88 2.34 4.7%	2.71 or lower 2.09 or lower 36.6% or lower	2.72 to 2.82 2.10 to 2.21	2.83 to 2.90	Exceeding Target 2.91 or higher			
2.34	2.09 or lower			2.91 or higher			
2.34	2.09 or lower			2.91 or higher			
		2.10 to 2.21	2 22 +0 2 24				
4.7%	36.6% or lower		2.22 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
		36.7% to 42.1%	42.2% to 46.3%	46.4% or higher			
3.07	2.95 or lower	2.96 to 3.11	3.12 to 3.22	3.23 or higher			
2.36	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
3.7%	49.2% or lower	49.3% to 56.5%	56.6% to 61.9%	62.0% or higher			
9.5%	88.0% or lower	88.1% to 91.0%	91.1% to 93.3%	93.4% or higher			
14-15	2015-16 Targets						
ol Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
2.04	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 or higher			
2.31	2.16 or lower	2.17 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.35	2.36 or higher			
2.50	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.42	2.43 or higher			
2.56	2.41 or lower	2.42 to 2.54	2.55 to 2.65	2.66 or higher			
2.10	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.10	2.11 or higher			
2.03	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
2.16	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
2.63	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.51	2.52 to 2.64	2.65 or higher			
2.73	2.41 or lower	2.42 to 2.59	2.60 to 2.73	2.74 or higher			
2.81	2.66 or lower	2.67 to 2.86	2.87 to 3.00	3.01 or higher			
2.21	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
2.23	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
5.2%	49.7% or lower	49.8% to 59.6%	59.7% to 67.2%	67.3% or higher			
	2.36 3.7% 9.5% 14-15 ol Value 2.04 2.31 2.50 2.56 2.10 2.03 2.16 2.63 2.73 2.81 2.21 2.23	3.7% 49.2% or lower 9.5% 88.0% or lower 14-15 ol Value Not Meeting Target 2.04 1.78 or lower 2.31 2.16 or lower 2.50 2.20 or lower 2.56 2.41 or lower 2.10 1.97 or lower 2.03 1.91 or lower 2.03 2.34 or lower 2.63 2.34 or lower 2.73 2.41 or lower 2.73 2.41 or lower 2.81 2.66 or lower 2.21 2.01 or lower 2.221 2.01 or lower	3.7% 49.2% or lower 49.3% to 56.5% 9.5% 88.0% or lower 88.1% to 91.0% 14-15	3.7% 49.2% or lower 49.3% to 56.5% 56.6% to 61.9% 9.5% 88.0% or lower 88.1% to 91.0% 91.1% to 93.3% 14-15 2015-16 Targets Not Meeting Target Approaching Target Meeting Target 2.04 1.78 or lower 1.79 to 1.86 1.87 to 1.93 2.31 2.16 or lower 2.17 to 2.27 2.28 to 2.35 2.50 2.20 or lower 2.21 to 2.32 2.33 to 2.42 2.56 2.41 or lower 2.42 to 2.54 2.55 to 2.65 2.10 1.97 or lower 1.98 to 2.04 2.05 to 2.10 2.03 1.91 or lower 1.92 to 1.99 2.00 to 2.04 2.16 1.94 or lower 2.35 to 2.51 2.52 to 2.64 2.73 2.41 or lower 2.42 to 2.59 2.60 to 2.73 2.81 2.66 or lower 2.67 to 2.86 2.87 to 3.00 2.21 2.01 or lower 2.02 to 2.09 2.10 to 2.15 2.23 1.97 or lower 1.98 to 2.06 2.07 to 2.13			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	93.3%	80.2% or lower	80.3% to 85.3%	85.4% to 89.1%	89.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.31	0.19 or lower	0.20 to 0.30	0.31 to 0.38	0.39 or higher			