2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Tar	get	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Exceeding Target					4.32
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target				3.80)
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.	56	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.48	3	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.16		
Trust	Meeting Target			З	8.60	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target			2.95		
		1	2	3	Z	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	118	118	101
Grade 1	126	119	118
Grade 2	121	127	126
Grade 3	133	138	126
Grade 4	126	129	134
Grade 5	134	124	134
All students	758	755	739

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	34%	29%	23%
% Free Lunch Eligible	88%	88%	91%
% Student with IEPs	19%	19%	21%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	7%	7%	7%
% HRA Eligible	-	81%	77%
% Temporary Housing	-	27%	30%
% Asian	1%	0%	0%
% Black	30%	29%	32%
% Hispanic	69%	69%	66%
% White	0%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	1%



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

9	Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
	Approaching Target	2.95									
						2	014-15 Targets	5			
	Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
9	State Test Results - ELA	_				-	-				0
	Average Student Proficiency		363	2.31	1.94	2.15	2.28	2.43	2.70	3.20	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		363	17.1%	2.4%	10.6%	16.1%	22.5%	34.1%	3.16	9.09%
0	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		235	54.0	47.5	55.2	61.9	66.6	77.9	1.84	9.09%
0	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		81	61.0	59.6	67.9	75.0	80.0	91.9	1.17	9.09%
•	Early Grade Progress		117	2.23	0.49	1.15	1.72	2.11	3.08	4.12	9.09%
	State Test Results - Math										
	Average Student Proficiency		374	2.32	1.95	2.23	2.40	2.61	2.96	2.53	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		374	16.8%	2.1%	13.5%	21.5%	30.6%	45.6%	2.41	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		237	55.0	39.8	50.1	59.1	65.3	80.3	2.54	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		87	72.0	55.1	64.1	72.0	77.4	90.4	3.00	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress		122	2.45	0.34	1.37	2.26	2.88	4.38	3.31	9.09%
I	MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		112	93.0%	76.4%	83.1%	87.8%	93.2%	100.0%	3.96	9.09%
										Weighted Average Score	2.84

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		Population %	Of Kange	School value	Target Kange	Target	Target	Target	Target Kange	Metric Score	POSSIBle	Earneu
 Self-Contained 	34	9.4%	46.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	38	10.5%	53.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
o SETSS	12	3.3%	31.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
5 3E133	12	5.570	51.470	0.078	0.078	3.370	0.276	8.976	13.076	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	35	9.4%	46.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	38	10.2%	52.0%	5.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.74	0.030	0.006
SETSS	13	3.5%	33.7%	7.7%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	2.22	0.030	0.009
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	78	33.2%	70.9%	32.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.59	0.030	0.012
 Lowest Third Citywide 	85	36.2%	51.8%	35.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.68	0.030	0.005
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	56	23.8%	60.3%	39.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.32	0.030	0.010
• SC/ICT/SETSS	51	21.7%	51.0%	31.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.73	0.030	0.005
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	83	35.0%	71.9%	37.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.34	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	115	48.5%	66.6%	38.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.56	0.030	0.012
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	66	27.8%	72.4%	36.4%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.59	0.030	0.012
SC/ICT/SETSS	51	21.5%	51.3%	43.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.25	0.030	0.017
• ELL Progress	164	22.4%	56.9%	35.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.29	0.030	0.002
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.11
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.95

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
09X011
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.08	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.16	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.64	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	67.2%	2.36	
HS	ar		
Overall	67.2%	2.36	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments	0.42	1.00	
EMS	0.12	1.86	
HS Overall	0.12	1.86	5%
Overan	0.12	1.00	570
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
ctive School Loadership			
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	83%	3.48	100%
	0370	5.40	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.16	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	3.60	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.84	4.36
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	91	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.73	3.92
Section Results:		95%					4.08
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	94				0.63	3.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.58	3.32
Quality of professional development	Teachers	86	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.70	3.80
School commitment	Teachers	93	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Innovation	Teachers	92	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.74	3.96
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	96	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.81	4.24
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	98	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
Collective responsibility	Teachers	95	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.85	4.40
Section Results:		94%					4.16
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	95	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.75	
Safety	Students	55	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.75	
Safety	Combined	95	7 110	0010	20010	0.75	4.00
Classroom behavior:						0.1.0	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	86	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.57	
Classroom behavior	Students	00	67.3	84.3	100.0	0107	
Classroom behavior	Combined	86	0710	0 110	20010	0.57	3.28
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0	0.1.0	
Next-level guidance	Students		0012	0 110	10010		
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	88	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.53	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5	0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.53	3.12
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	93	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.68	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94	-			0.72	3.88
Section Results:		92%					3.64

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	86	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.31	2.24
Teacher influence	Teachers	59	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.48	2.92
 Program coherence 	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		83%					3.48
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		84%					3.16
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	91	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	91	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.78	4.12
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	95	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.82	4.28
Section Results:		93%					3.60

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

P.S. 011 Highbridge

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.31	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.27	2.28 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	17.1%	7.6% or lower	7.7% to 11.9%	12.0% to 15.3%	15.4% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.32	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.44	2.45 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.87	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	16.8%	12.2% or lower	12.3% to 19.2%	19.3% to 24.5%	24.6% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.0%	85.6% or lower	85.7% to 89.2%	89.3% to 92.0%	92.1% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.63	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.07	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
SETSS	2.17	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
ELL	2.22	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.70	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.05	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher
SETSS	2.12	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
ELL	2.25	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.42	2.43 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
ELL Progress	35.4%	39.5% or lower	39.6% to 49.5%	49.6% to 57.1%	57.2% or higher

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	67.2%	66.1% or lower	66.2% to 72.9%	73.0% to 78.1%	78.2% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.12	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher