
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolgualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	81	49	72
Grade 7	71	76	54
Grade 8	86	64	72
All students	238	189	198

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	1%	2%	1%
% Free Lunch Eligible	65%	65%	62%
% Student with IEPs	14%	14%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	43%	38%
% Temporary Housing	-	6%	7%
% Asian	11%	10%	10%
% Black	48%	45%	41%
% Hispanic	30%	32%	32%
% White	10%	12%	15%
% Other	0%	2%	2%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	3.02	2.67	2.60
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	3.44	2.95	2.82

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.95

		2014-15 Targets							
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Wetrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	196	2.63	2.24	2.52	2.64	2.78	2.97	2.92	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	196	28.6%	12.2%	22.0%	28.7%	36.2%	44.5%	2.99	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	189	61.0	50.7	55.6	62.7	67.4	75.8	2.76	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	67	70.0	62.1	66.6	73.3	77.7	85.8	2.51	9.80%
State Test Results - Math									
O Average Student Proficiency	195	2.47	2.15	2.51	2.72	2.95	3.23	1.89	9.80%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	195	19.0%	9.8%	22.9%	32.7%	43.7%	56.3%	1.70	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	189	53.0	44.0	51.2	61.4	68.2	80.4	2.18	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	69	70.0	55.6	61.8	70.7	76.7	87.3	2.92	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	190	94.2%	79.0%	86.4%	90.4%	94.9%	100.0%	3.84	1.96%
Math	190	93.7%	77.8%	85.3%	89.6%	94.5%	100.0%	3.84	1.96%
• Science	190	96.3%	76.6%	84.0%	88.7%	94.0%	100.0%	4.38	1.96%
Social Studies	190	94.7%	76.2%	84.2%	88.8%	94.1%	100.0%	4.10	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	66	40.9%	0.0%	19.3%	33.7%	49.7%	70.1%	3.45	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	61	98.0%	74.0%	82.0%	88.0%	93.0%	100.0%	4.71	9.80%
								Weighted Average Score	2.86

							014-15 Target		-			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4					0 0	J	•					
Self-Contained	2	1.0%	5.2%		0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	23	11.7%	64.3%	17.4%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	3	1.5%	16.1%		0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	2	1.0%	5.3%		0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	22	11.3%	62.4%	9.1%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	4.30	0.030	0.025
SETSS	3	1.5%	16.3%		0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	4.2%	9.4%	62.5%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	44	23.3%	26.9%	47.7%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	3.05	0.030	0.015
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	17	9.0%	20.1%	47.1%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	14.3%	27.1%	40.7%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	1.72	0.030	0.005
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	4.8%	9.9%	33.3%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	58	30.7%	37.4%	36.2%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	2.22	0.030	0.009
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	19	10.1%	22.7%	31.6%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	26	13.8%	26.5%	30.8%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	1.57	0.030	0.004
ELL Progress	1	0.5%	1.4%		11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.09
									Over	all Student Achie	vement Score	2.95

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).


[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Channel View School for Research

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.24	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.40	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	96%	4.56	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.80	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	88%	3.88	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance		2.20	3370
EMS	85.9%	3.24	
HS	65.6%	2.52	
Overall	75.8%	2.88	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.38	3.20	
HS	0.34	2.34	
Overall	0.36	2.77	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
artivo School Loodorchio			
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	90%	4.04	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	77%	3.16	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
St NVC Sebagi Summer. Trust	0401	4.04	400-1
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.04	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
3 0			



Channel View School for Research

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		,	•	, ,		J	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	78.0	90.2	100.0	0.81	4.24
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	96	73.4	86.8	100.0	0.86	4.44
Course clarity	Students	90	79.8	87.6	95.4	0.68	3.72
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	94	58.6	79.2	99.8	0.87	4.48
ection Results:		94%					4.24
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.7	94.3	100.0	0.83	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	85.4	92.4	99.4	0.57	
Cultural awareness	Students	83	69.5	80.9	92.3	0.61	
Cultural awareness	Combined	91	03.3	00.5	32.3	0.67	3.68
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	81.4	92.4	100.0	0.84	4.36
Quality of professional development	Teachers	94	45.8	75.2	100.0	0.89	4.56
School commitment	Teachers	99	60.1	85.3	100.0	0.98	4.92
• Innovation	Teachers	94	63.0	84.2	100.0	0.84	4.36
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	85.6	95.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	72.0	89.8	100.0	0.93	4.72
Focus on student learning	Teachers	99	64.0	86.6	100.0	0.97	4.88
Collective responsibility	Teachers	93	54.5	80.5	100.0	0.85	4.40
ection Results:	reactiers	96%	54.5	80.5	100.0	0.05	4.56
upportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	90	69.7	83.1	96.5	0.76	
• Safety	Combined	90				0.76	4.04
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	86	67.0	80.4	93.8	0.70	
Classroom behavior	Combined	86				0.70	3.80
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	98	86.5	95.3	100.0	0.87	4.48
Peer interactions	Students	82	63.1	76.5	89.9	0.71	3.84
Next-level guidance	Students	89	72.1	83.3	94.5	0.77	4.08
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement							
Press toward academic achievement		90	80.3	87.9	95.5	0.61	
Press toward academic achievement		90				0.61	3.44
Personal attention and support	Students	86	73.6	83.0	92.4	0.68	3.72
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	89	72.8	86.8	100.0	0.59	
Peer support for academic work	Students	71	45.3	61.7	78.1	0.78	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	80				0.68	3.72
ection Results:		88%					3.88

27Q262

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	76.7	88.9	100.0	0.58	3.32
Teacher influence	Teachers	76	44.5	71.1	97.7	0.60	3.40
Program coherence	Teachers	96	52.0	80.8	100.0	0.92	4.68
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	97	56.6	85.0	100.0	0.93	4.72
Section Results:		90%					4.04
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	77.3	90.9	100.0	0.91	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	76.9	88.1	99.3	0.59	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	94				0.75	4.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	59	48.2	65.0	81.8	0.32	2.28
Section Results:		77%					3.16
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	86.5	93.3	100.0	0.57	3.28
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	97	84.5	93.1	100.0	0.77	4.08
Student-teacher trust	Students	84	69.1	79.9	90.7	0.69	3.76
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	96	56.9	85.9	100.0	0.90	4.60
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	97	74.0	90.8	100.0	0.89	4.56
Section Results:		94%					4.04

27Q262

Targets for 2015-16

Channel View School for Research

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.63	2.54 or lower	2.55 to 2.61	2.62 to 2.67	2.68 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.20	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	28.6%	25.0% or lower	25.1% to 28.7%	28.8% to 31.7%	31.8% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.47	2.51 or lower	2.52 to 2.64	2.65 to 2.74	2.75 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.05	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	19.0%	23.7% or lower	23.8% to 29.5%	29.6% to 34.2%	34.3% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	94.2%	79.5% or lower	79.6% to 84.3%	84.4% to 88.2%	88.3% or higher			
Math	93.7%	82.4% or lower	82.5% to 86.5%	86.6% to 89.8%	89.9% or higher			
Science	96.3%	83.2% or lower	83.3% to 87.1%	87.2% to 90.3%	90.4% or higher			
Social Studies	94.7%	77.3% or lower	77.4% to 82.6%	82.7% to 87.0%	87.1% or higher			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	40.9%	18.2% or lower	18.3% to 28.6%	28.7% to 37.1%	37.2% or higher			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	98.0%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 87.9%	88.0% to 90.9%	91.0% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained		1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.30	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.36	2.37 or higher			
SETSS		2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.48	2.49 or higher			
ELL	2.35	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.50	2.51 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained		1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.11	2.12 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.18	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.38	2.39 or higher			
SETSS		2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.47	2.48 or higher			
ELL	2.21	2.39 or lower	2.40 to 2.55	2.56 to 2.68	2.69 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
ELL Progress		33.4% or lower	33.5% to 43.3%	43.4% to 51.3%	51.4% or highe			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2014-15 2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	85.9%	75.8% or lower	75.9% to 81.5%	81.6% to 86.1%	86.2% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.38	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.29	0.30 or higher		

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.