NYC - Business Integrity Commission September 17, 2018

1	THE NEW YORK CITY BUSINESS INTEGRITY COMMISSION
2	x
3	PUBLIC HEARING
4	AMENDMENT OF RULES REGARDING IMPROVING THE SAFETY OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC
5	X
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	September 17, 2018
11	10:10 a.m.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Reported by:
18	Danielle Rivera
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	NEW YORK CITY BUSINESS INTEGRITY COMMISSION
4	
5	EMILY ANDERSON Executive Agency Counsel
6	
7	SALVADOR ARRONA Director of Policy
8	
9	
10	* * * * *
11	
12	
1314	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MS. ANDERSON: Good morning, and welcome to today's public hearing. The time is 10:10 on Monday,

September 17, 2018. We are located in the second floor conference room of 100 Church Street. My name is Emily Anderson. I am Executive Agency Counsel at the New York City Business Integrity Commission.

Thank you for attending today's hearing. The Commission is conducting today's hearing pursuant to the requirements set forth by the City Administrative Procedure Act, commonly referred to as "CAPA."

The purpose of today's hearing is to receive comments from the public on the Commission's proposed amendments to Title 17 of the Rules of the City of New York. These amendments are designed to improve the safety of the general public.

The Commission published the proposed rules that are the subject of today's hearing in the City Record on

2.3

August 17, 2018. The Commission also disseminated a copy of the rules via e-mail to all New York City local elected officials, the managers of all 59 community boards, several media organizations in the City and other interested parties. Lastly, the Commission posted the proposed rules on both the Commission and the New York City Rules websites.

The amendments will require
licensees to increase the limits on
commercial general liability, business
automobile liability and employer's
liability insurance, and require
registrants to increase the limit on
business automobile liability insurance.

The amendments will also require
licensees and registrants to notify BIC
of their drivers' Vehicle and Traffic
Law violations and driver's license
suspensions or revocations, crashes that
involve a vehicle used in the licensee's
or registrant's business, and to notify
BIC of certain adverse actions by any

federal, state and local government authorities, including actions that result in the suspension or revocation of a permit, license or other permission required in connection with the operation of the licensee's or applicant's business or a penalty or fine of \$1,000 or more.

The amendments will also require licensees and registrants to maintain written policies and procedures regarding compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations of the federal, state and local government authorities.

The amendments will also require licensees and registrants to maintain Report of Motor Vehicle Accident, MV-104, Forms and other forms related to crashes, as well as adverse determinations by any federal, state and local government authorities.

The proposed rules that are the subject of today's hearing are being promulgated under the Commission's current authority, which permits the

2.3

Commission to establish standards for service and regulation of conduct of businesses licensed and registered with the Commission, including, but not limited to, requirements governing the maintenance and inspection of records, the maintenance of appropriate insurance and compliance with safety and health measures.

Today's amendments are the first step to improving safety in the trade waste industry. Our next steps include pursuing legislation to empower BIC to do more in the safety area and adopting a zone collection system for the trade waste industry.

The Commission will now receive oral and written comments from members of the public attending today's hearing. The Commission has been accepting written comments on the proposed rule since the publication in the City Record and will continue to do so through the close of business today.

The Commission will also make

available a copy of any written comments that are received in connection with today's hearing. The Commission will carefully review and consider all comments and submissions and make changes, if the Commission deems them necessary. The rules will go into effect after they are published in the City Record.

We will now begin calling those of you who wish to speak this morning in the order in which you have signed in.

When you speak, please state your full name and affiliation. Also, please spell your name and affiliation. Speak slowly and clearly so that the court reporter can understand and accurately transcribe your statement. We ask that you limit your statement to five minutes.

The Commission's Director of Policy, Salvador Arrona, will now begin calling up members of the public to testify.

MR. ARRONA: Good morning. The first speaker is Steve Changaris.

MR. CHANGARIS: This might be helpful (handing).

Good morning. My name is Steve
Changaris. I'm the Chapter Director for
the New York City Chapter of the
National Waste and Recycling
Association. I've submitted formal
written comments to the stenographer.
I'm going to try to be conversational
instead of reading this verbatim.

The written testimony is submitted in the response to the BIC proposed rule to amend Title 17 of the Rules of the City of New York to improve the safety of the general public, and as noted earlier, it's been posted on the web and to the regulated companies.

The New York City Chapter supports
the introduction of the proposed
regulation, and the direction it signals
that BIC will be going, in the
furtherance of its oversight role for
the City's private carting industry. We
will make some general comments, some
specific questions, and then finish with

a final recommendation.

In essence, this is a recordkeeping and notice rule for BIC permittees. In our analysis, the key to understanding or thinking about it in the context of safety turns on what BIC will do with this new information that will be provided by the private carting industry.

Since the regulation is largely silent on this, we, as the regulated community, have justifiable concerns about its final adoption as currently drafted. And other than fines for not reporting certain occurrences within a given timeframe, the regulation really doesn't give us a lot of -- a glimpse into how this information is going to be used.

So, the second point we want to mention is that we know the trade waste commission regulates the maximum rates that can be charged by licensees. Last month, the recently adopted rate cap increase went into effect. During that

rate-making process, we talked about the cost of the administrative regulation on the industry. We also noted the impacts of the China nationalist war and the other problems going on in the world of recycling.

We used that opportunity then, as we will now, to combine the cost impacts of these new administrative regulations, along with the pre-existing cost about the collapse of the recycling markets, so that the BIC conducts further rate analysis to grant economic hardship relief to the industry via another increase in the rate cap.

If I might, the specific questions that we have on the regulation. First, we ask, why is the BIC proposing one of the coverages in a half-million dollar increment? We don't know where that came from. Why is the BIC proposing an A-10 rating standard for insurance companies to be eligible to underwrite BIC insurance policies for BIC companies? What are the reasons and

2.3

rationale that the new proposed BIC

limits are going to be increased for CGL

to \$5 million, business automobile

liability to \$2 million, and employer's

liability at \$1.5 million?

Another question is, what is the reasoning for the one-size-fits-all maximum not to exceed a deductible of \$50,000 for CGL coverage? Another question, how will the BIC reconcile the differences between these new regulations and a regulated company's existing coverage in a self-insurance or a captive program or one with a higher preset deductible limit?

Another question, -- I've got three or four more -- how did BIC come to the proposed definition of "crash" in these proposed regulations? Can the Commission, by policy or directive, after this regulation is adopted, alter or refine the scope of what crash-related information regulated companies will be required to provide?

And can the Commission amend this

proposal and add an equivalency waiver or a work-around performance standard provision to accommodate conflicts that are sure to arise between sound, existing industry practices in this area and the new practices required by the existing proposed regulation?

So, finally, the recommendation we're going to make, as noted initially, the Chapter is supportive of this proposed regulation and the expanded BIC role in safety oversight of the industry and the trendline of where it's going.

And we've raised, in this testimony, many legitimate questions with unknown answers.

So, accordingly, we respectfully request that the BIC put a hold on the further movement of this regulation and make use of a 60- or 90-day period and get further input from all stakeholders, including the regulated companies, insurance experts, safety experts and other appropriate interested parties.

Through that process, we really believe

that the regulation proposal can be 1 2 better for the BIC, can be better for 3 the safety of the citizens of New York and can be more workable to the 4 5 companies regulated by the BIC, having to comply with the regulation. 6 7 So, we pledge to work with the BIC 8 towards that end, and we are grateful for the opportunity to participate in 9 10 BIC activities and present these 11 remarks. Thank you very much. 12 MR. ARRONA: Thank you, Steve. The next speaker is Daniel Wright from Local 13 14 813. 15 MR. WRIGHT: Good morning. 16 Daniel Wright, W-R-I-G-H-T, Teamsters 17 Local 813. Thank you for the 18 opportunity to testify today. 19 As the Union that represents private 20 sanitation workers in New York City, 21 Teamsters Local 813 sees this industry's 22 safety crisis on a daily basis. Last 23 month, the Business Integrity Commission 24 took appropriate action by suspending

the license of Sanitation Salvage to

25

protect New Yorkers from the company's dangerous and reckless practices.

Unfortunately, the problems go far beyond Sanitation Salvage. In most of the industry's companies, big and small, workers are pushed to the limit with six-day work weeks and shifts longer than 12 hours. The few companies with safe schedules are the exception that proves the rule.

The private sanitation industry is responsible for an inordinate number of fatal crashes. Mouctar Diallo, Leon Clarke, Neftaly Ramirez; these are just a few of the New Yorkers who have lost their lives beneath the wheels of private sanitation trucks in recent years.

We believe all these deaths were preventable. The industry needs to begin to take safety seriously. It's not about photo ops and press releases. It's about following the law for truck maintenance, not overworking drivers and putting lives ahead of profits. It's

about taking responsibility for crashes rather than sweeping them under the rug, as too often happens among private carters.

This is a lawless industry. There are still companies that don't provide legally-required boots, gloves, vests and other safety equipment. There are still companies that are covering up injuries. There are still companies that assign routes that cannot be completed on time without breaking traffic laws.

Not every company is shirking safety. There are some good companies that have regular safety training and maintain their trucks. But it's hard for them to compete with the cheap carters who are cutting every corner.

That is why Mayor de Blasio's commercial waste zone policy is so important. Until that policy is fully in place, we won't be addressing the fundamental problems plaguing the industry. We will finally have

reasonable routes. We will finally have safety standards with real teeth. And we will finally have accountability, so carters have to follow the rules or risk losing their contract.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify to the Commission today, and thank you for working with us to improve safety in the private sanitation industry.

MR. ARRONA: Thank you, Daniel. The next speaker is Thomas Toscano from Mr. T Carting.

MR. TOSCANO: (Handing.) Good morning. My name is Thomas Toscano, and I'm the CEO of Mr. T Carting Corporation.

I want to start by saying that I fully recognize safety is an issue in this industry, and I commend the City and the Business Integrity Commission for trying to address it. Know that I am in full support of increasing safety for all, and that requires increased standards that will be in the form of

regulations.

As a start, I would like to briefly list all of the reporting requirements currently on the carters who serve the City of New York. First, there is a customer registry that requires 96 pieces of data on each and every customer I have. This is prepared quarterly. Annually, there is a very detailed financial statement due to the BIC.

Recently, carters have been asked to provide detailed information regarding their vehicles on a real-time basis for compliance with Local Law 145. We are required to continually update the BIC on all new employees and principals of our companies. My company actually does this weekly.

There are nine specific journals and ledgers that are required to be maintained by the BIC rules that were designed at a time when most businesses did not use computers. These rules are antiquated and require double

bookkeeping to be fully compliant because most computer software programs are not designed this way.

Recently, there have been very detailed requests for route data for the franchising study, and this has been required because the data gathered in 2014 was done so hastily and retroactively to rush out a report endorsing franchising. This is not a comprehensive list, but the major highlights have been hit.

I start here so we understand the baseline of where we are when this legislation is proposed to increase reporting requirements. Again, and to be clear, I support where this effort is going and its goal, and I understand the need for data to get there. I also support the new insurance requirements with a few small proposed changes that have been brought up by my very able colleagues. However, the data requests, the requests, will give you a lot of noise and thereby decrease your ability

to proactively spot safety issues before accidents happen.

I start with the definition of the word "crash" in the proposed regulations. In a highly-populated area with millions of parked cars and over 100,000 customers picked up nightly, small property damage incidents are bound to happen. Cars suffer minor damage and the carters pay to resolve these issues, many times without going through insurance.

Under your proposed regulations,
every one of these incidents will need
to be reported. For that reason, I
propose amending the definition of the
word "crash" to only include property
damage over \$5,000 or where an injury
occurs. This will eliminate reporting
of minor accidents on the carters and
noise, again, in the data.

I make the same general comment with regard to violations, starting with the fact that there seems to be some contraindication in the revised

regulations, notably Sections 1-12(b) and 2-05(a)(2). I agree with the \$1,000 threshold on violations that is absent in the latter.

Another Section, 1-12(c) deals with Vehicle and Traffic Law Violations, and there, there's no threshold. The violations reporting has two problems. First, it's unnecessary. The BIC already requires me to provide all of my drivers' information, and the DMV provides, in real-time, reporting on violations and license action. If my company can access that information, I am sure the BIC, as a government agency, can as well.

Second, other types of violations, like most of those in front of the Environmental Control Board, should only be required where the amount of the fine exceeds \$1,000. As an attorney, I have fought these violations and have been very successful in having them dismissed. However, many companies just pay them as a cost of doing business to

avoid spending hours at the ECB or hiring an attorney.

My last comment to the proposed regulations is on the part I will call the 'Code of Conduct' provisions. There are several in there that require carters to have written policies regarding compliance with the law.

These are extremely general provisions, and I support their intention.

However, vague regulations where lack of compliance will only come to light after an accident to assess fines does not get us where we need to be. If you want a code of conduct that all carters are required to follow, lets work together and draft specific actions that carters are required to take or to avoid that are conditions of our licenses.

In conclusion, I again repeat that I support this effort wholeheartedly, and I want this industry to be better in all aspects, especially safety. As Commissioner Brownell has said, "When

one of these accidents happen, we might as well all be behind the wheel of that vehicle," and I agree with that point completely.

Punishing companies that are good actors with onerous and unnecessary reporting requirements and vague policy requirements will not make us better.

In fact, if this is viewed by carters as a simple revenue generation by the City, it will be made worse because its seriousness will be diluted.

Targeted reporting that is designed to flag bad actors before they cause accidents and specific policy requirements are what will accomplish this. I welcome the opportunity of working with the BIC to that end. Thank you.

MR. ARRONA: Thank you, Tom. The next speaker is Melissa Iachan.

MS. IACHAN: Good morning. My name is Melissa Iachan. I'm the Senior Staff Attorney in the Environmental Justice Program at New York Lawyers for the

Public Interest.

2.3

NYLPI is a member of 'Transform

Don't Trash New York City,' a coalition

of labor, community and environmental

groups advocating for fundamental reform

of the broken commercial waste system.

NYLPI strongly supports the adoption of the proposed rules as a modest, but important, step towards holding private hauling companies accountable for their poor safety practices, the deteriorating conditions of their truck fleets and unreasonably long hours and routes required of drivers and helpers in this dangerous industry.

Given the troubling increase in the amount of serious and fatal crashes involving commercial haulers in recent years, we are particularly encouraged that these rules will require waste companies to report all crashes, driver's license suspensions and traffic law violations to BIC, which can then take these safety indicators into consideration when making a

2.3

determination of whether a company has the requisite good character, honesty and integrity to operate in our City.

We add that we would like to see additional employee-specific requirements added to the recordkeeping and/or reporting obligations imposed on haulers under Title 17 of the Rules of the City of New York, including, but not limited to, the maintenance and provision of employee time sheets.

The promulgation and adoption of these rules alone will not be enough to alter the dangerous inefficiency and race-to-the-bottom atmosphere in the private carting system. If there is no way to enforce or hold haulers accountable for failing to comply with them, there is no point in publishing these rules at all.

Currently, despite many existing rules requiring a litany of obligations on haulers in order for them to receive licenses and operate in the City, including the requirement to disclose

2.3

all employees to BIC within ten days of hire, we see little enforcement of these rules, and few violations being issued for failing to adhere to them.

Further, there is ample evidence that the already existing recordkeeping and reporting requirements for trade waste haulers are actually violated routinely, despite not seeing haulers issued serious violations or denials for such behavior.

For example, the 2016 Private

Carting study performed for DSNY and BIC

by Buro Happold Engineering found that

trade waste licensees reported an

unrealistically small number of helpers.

This is evidence of widespread

off-the-books employment of casual, or

day laborers, as helpers, which

undermines safety practices and makes

these workers particularly vulnerable to

wage theft, exploitation and being

unprepared to handle unsafe situations,

which can lead to tragedy, as we saw

with Mouctar Diallo.

2.3

BIC's investigation of Sanitation
Salvage also revealed regular systemic
failures by the company to disclose
employees. And yet, this modus operandi
went on for years without repercussion.
We believe non-disclosure of employees
is widespread in the waste industry and
that enforcement of existing and
potential new rules, such as requiring
regular submission of employee time
sheets and payroll, is a necessary
measure.

However, we want to stress that even with the adoption and enforcement of more robust safety rules, the commercial waste industry remains in dire need of more significant improvements. Only the fundamental reform and increased enforcement leverage enabled by the upcoming transition to a zoned commercial waste system will ensure that waste companies adopt safer, more efficient and more environmentally-sound operating practices.

Under the zone system, the City will

2.3

execute long-term contracts with the hauler or haulers selected to serve each commercial district, giving BIC and DSNY much greater leverage to negotiate and enforce safety, environmental and equity standards.

In conclusion, we at NYLPI voice our full-throated support of the proposed rules, but encourage BIC to do much more to raise the standards of operation in this dirty and dangerous industry.

We look forward to continuing to work together with BIC, DSNY, the Mayor's Office and City Council to ensure that the upcoming zoning plan truly implements the holistic and transformative reforms that we believe are necessary to actually make this industry safe for all its workers and everyone on our streets. Thank you.

MR. ARRONA: Thank you, Melissa.

The next speaker is Counsel Member

Reynoso.

MR. REYNOSO: Thank you and good morning to all. My name is Antonio

2.3

Reynoso. I'm the Council Member from the 34th District representing
Williamsburg and Bushwick in Brooklyn and Ridgewood in Queens. I also chair the City Counsel's Committee on
Sanitation and Solid Waste Management, which has oversight over the Business
Integrity Commission. I am pleased to have the opportunity to testify today on important rules being proposed.

For many years I, along with countless workers and advocates, have been sounding the alarm about the deeply dangerous and reckless practices present in the private carting industry.

Ultimately, the threats to pedestrian and worker safety come from the often unsafe manner in which companies handle the 40-ton trucks that carry out the work of collecting commercial waste of off our streets on a nightly basis.

The operation of such large
machinery requires the utmost attention
to safety, which for far too long has
taken a backseat to trying to move

2.3

through routes as quickly as possible.

The proposed rules are an incremental step toward improving safety among private carters by collecting information on drivers' histories,

Vehicle and Traffic Law violations, as well as vehicle driver's license suspensions and revocations. The agency will be better equipped to identify those drivers with a pattern of unsafe behavior.

Furthermore, by requiring companies to report on any adverse action taken against them by federal, state and local authorities, we can ensure the unsafe behavior does not simply get masked through a lack of inter-agency communication. I'm glad that BIC is taking steps, but I continue to have my concerns. These rules are only meaningful if they are aggressively enforced.

Time and time again, we find that companies have simply disregarded BIC's existing reporting requirements without

suffering even minor consequences. This was highlighted most recently in the hearing regarding the suspension of Sanitation Salvage's license, where we found out that the company had failed to register its employees with BIC on numerous occasions. This is highly disturbing when we consider that employee registration is a critical component of BIC's core mission, ensuring that members of organized crime are not active in the industry.

I'm supportive of BIC enacting these new rules, but I strongly urge them to aggressively enforce them once enacted.

Otherwise, they will simply stand as a hollow gesture with no impact on industry practices.

Finally, I want to stress that this industry continues to be plagued by a range of problematic behaviors and practices that will require nothing short of a complete overhaul of the entire private waste industry. A management system, through which, I

believe, commercial waste zoning would be the solution. While we work to ensure the enactment of a responsible and effective zoning system, these rules can serve as a stop-gap measure ensuring that we are doing everything we can to respond immediately to the dangers posed by our current system.

I look forward to working with BIC to continue exploring ways we can take steps now to protect the safety of pedestrians and workers alike, while moving towards comprehensive reform.

Thank you for allowing me to testify today.

MR. ARRONA: Thank you, Counsel Member Reynoso. The next speaker is Counsel Member Cornegy.

MR. CORNEGY: Thank you, and good morning. I believe that the height of this microphone is probably discriminatory. I am Counsel Member Cornegy. I represent the 36th Council District in Bedford Stuyvesant and Northern Crown Heights. I currently

2.3

chair the Committee on Housing and Buildings, and previously chaired the Committee on Small Business Services.

So, the watchdog of the private sector of the commercial waste industry, the Business Integrity Commission, has on more than one occasion come before the New York City Council to say that they need more authority to regulate the industry that they were tasked to oversee. We were all tremendously saddened by the senseless preventable injuries and deaths of New Yorkers by both private sanitation and DSNY vehicles.

The level of incidents in the private and City-run sanitation sectors is unacceptable. I believe that we can no longer wait for the administration's mythical remedy to franchise the commercial system about six years from now. Franchising is not a real solution to prevent accidents, to put bad actors out of service, to lessen congestion, nor to protect our environment.

Today, as we view updated regulations that aim to encourage transparency and update safety standards in this industry, I want to holler at a piece of legislation that I've introduced, Intro 996, which, when passed, will immediately give BIC the tools to better regulate and improve standards across the board. 996 will immediately give BIC a better audit and reporting authority over the private waste industry.

By increasing BIC's regulatory and reporting authority, Intro 996 will protect the safety and jobs of the workers in the commercial waste collection industry, especially second-chance workers; protect the over 200,000 businesses in the City who pay for their waste removal, especially small-business owners such as supermarkets, storefront mom-and-pops and restaurants from losing control of the cost and quality of their services; and protect the environment and quality

of our neighborhoods now from bad-acting companies.

If any private sanitation fails to meet these new standards, BIC will have the authority to shut that company down and deny them their license to operate.

BIC will also have the authority to create new rules to improve safety and to report any action on our streets that may put workers and the public in harm's way.

Intro 996 is a partnership with those who work in and are served by the industry, including the Mason Tenders District Council Local 108, who represents the majority of unionized employees in private waste, the New York State NAACP, the National Supermarket Association, the Bodega Association, and Chambers of Commerce throughout the City.

When I introduced 996, I had an employee of the industry stand with me named Dwayne. At the rally, he talked about how difficult it was for him after

his incarceration to find a job, even with the experience he had. He had been working now in the industry steadily for over six years. And like his colleagues, who are predominantly Hispanic and African American, he relies on the industry for his livelihood. He is one of the thousands of people who are employed in this industry whose jobs would be on the line and at risk if franchising is implemented.

I introduced this bill with these people in mind. With middle-class jobs being far and few between, and as our City is becoming more and more unaffordable, government needs to make absolutely sure that we work to protect these employees and improve conditions, not jeopardize New Yorker's jobs.

The City Administration, in its proposal to franchise the commercial waste industry, has made bold, unsupportable claims that if we wait to study the issue for six-plus years, that all the issues in the sanitation

2.3

industry will resolve if we implement this system of waste collection.

But the City is doing this without any real explanation or examination of any quicker alternative options of how franchising would solve any of these problems. And, I believe the City is potentially wasting \$8 million on an out-of-town consultant to tell us what we already know from watching these same deliberations on zones in three major cities; Chicago, Boston and Los Angeles.

New York City needs to immediately examine all methods available to improve private waste collection, not just pursue a zone system without having an honest discussion about the upsides and the downsides. Chicago and Boston considered franchising and concluded that it would just proportionally hurt small businesses by decreasing the level of service and hurting workers who already experience barriers of employment because they have less than a high school education or were formally

incarcerated.

2.3

Chicago and Boston rejected zones and have engaged in strengthening standards of environmental and safety outcomes for those sanitation companies. Los Angeles, just like the current process that we are experiencing in New York, did not seriously look at the cost of franchising.

Their city's process, like ours, was not forthcoming with the hidden cost associated with franchising and made promises of lower prices and improved service that only resulted in the doubling and tripling and quadrupling of prices. Particularly hurt were small businesses who report that they've had to close off employment opportunities to those who need the job most.

And most importantly, Intro 996
works to find solutions that we believe
can immediately stop the carnage on our
streets. I believe that we can avoid
future accidents if we stop the
condemnation, finger-pointing and

political outmaneuvering, and if we did our jobs in government to pass common sense reform now. Thank you.

MR. ARRONA: Thank you, Counsel Member Cornegy. The next speaker is Jose Maldonado.

MR. MALDONADO: Good morning, and thank you for the opportunity to offer testimony on behalf of New Yorkers for Responsible Waste Management. My name is Joe Maldonado. I'm testifying on behalf of the Association's Executive Director, Kendall Christiansen.

New Yorkers for Responsible Waste

Management respects and appreciates the

efforts of the Business Integrity

Commission to propose new laws and

updated regulations with respect to

establishing best practices for all of

BIC's licensees and registrants.

To date, all of the industry
associations have been supportive and
appreciative of BIC's leadership in
making safety a top priority for all
concerned, including facilitating

discussions with colleagues at other
City agencies; like the Department of
Sanitation, the Department of
Transportation and the New York City
Police Department, as well as
collaborating on producing a series of
safety symposia and a handbook on best
practices.

This initial set of proposed regulations are generally thoughtful and appropriate. They speak primarily to requiring better recordkeeping, timely notification to BIC of various events involving other agencies, as well as crashes of all types and maintenance of policies and procedures that effectively integrate a wide range of compliance requirements into company practices.

Others will speak to particular concerns that should be considered and resolved.

Should these regulations be adopted and formed similar to what is proposed, we expect that the industry's associations will work with our respective members to provide active

2.3

guidance regarding compliance with any new requirements and will work with BIC to produce guidance documents, workshops and other means of assisting the industry in meeting our obligations.

As the number and nature of these types of requirements expand, it should be understood that licensees must devote additional time and resources to compliance-related matters, which will increase administrative costs for licensees and registrants. If it is not otherwise clear in BIC regulations, guidance should be provided as to the electronic maintenance of records and conveyance of them to BIC, including through electronic portals that enable real-time updates to company records.

Specifically, the maintenance of policies and procedures should be clear as to whether they need to be provided proactively to BIC or made available on a timely basis upon request.

For the sake of discussion, the collection of data of the type outlined

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by the proposed regulations begs at least two questions worthy of further review before they are finalized. first is the harmonization of new reporting requirements with those of other federal, state and local regulatory agencies, so that compliance can be both simplified and more effective. That means adopting similar definitions of "crash" and other incidents, and using the same reporting requirements and processes. In any event, the definition should be meaningful and rational and avoid sweeping in inconsequential information.

The second question is the question of BIC's current capacity to receive, analyze, and assess additional data of the types requested, given the presumption that they are requested for rational purposes not fully clear within the language of the proposed regulations. If the purpose of the data collection exercise is to provide a better snapshot as to industry

performance, the capacity to assess and issue reports should be considered, and to use those benchmarks for industry performance. For example, similar to the crash report prepared by DCAS for City-agency fleets, will reports be produced? Will new data be included in the Mayor's management report?

If, in fact, the purpose is to provide BIC with additional information related to the performance of individual companies, especially during license-renewal processes or under special circumstances outside of the renewal process, the use of the information should be clearly explained and discussed further. The corollary is that due consideration should be given to privacy and other proprietary business information, considerations regarding certain data that will be collected by BIC.

Now, with respect to insurance requirements, given that insurance markets require a comprehensive and

timely understanding of external markets through which insurances are obtained, we respectfully suggest that BIC engage in dialogue with knowledgeable experts regarding its proposals and how to make them more effective in light of industry standards and requirements. It has been suggested, for example, that liability insurance is typically sold in one-million dollar increments, making the proposed requirement -- or the current proposed requirement -- or the first standard and, therefore, difficult to comply with.

Additionally, the insurance requirements that are proposed need to take into account that some larger companies insure through other legal and appropriate means, including self-insurance and other types of risk pools, which the existing and proposed language may not adequately recognize.

Overall, we strongly encourage an ongoing, collaborative process for consideration of any new regulations, as

well as proposed legislation, especially as various proposals are introduced by other concerned stakeholders, as we have experienced with BIC over the past two years through the Trade Waste Advisory Board and the working group convened under the Department of Sanitation's Advisory Board offers this.

The industry's commitment, both nationally and locally, to improve standards, technologies and practices with respect to improving safety is considerable. But those mutual goals are best pursued and achieved when rational and thoughtful people work together, and not when safety becomes politicized and weaponized or imposed by one party on another as part of a larger agenda.

As initially suggested, these proposed regulations should be considered in conjunction with the laws that BIC will be proposing. The new laws should include ideas from stakeholders, including the industry,

and focus on what is most likely to make an effective difference in actual safety of the general public and industry employees.

Finally, while we understand BIC's focus is on its licensees and registrants, consideration should be given to consistency as to how such reporting performance and insurance standards are applied to other transportation-related businesses that operate through various City licenses, including, but not limited to, school buses and delivery vehicles of all types. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on BIC's regulatory proposals.

MS. ANDERSON: Is there anyone else who would like to testify?

(No response.)

MS. ANDERSON: Seeing no one else is here to testify, we will now close the record for this hearing. As a reminder, the Commission will continue to accept written comments through the close of business. This hearing is now closed.

	_		46
1	m.1	10.50	m. 1
1	l'he	time is now 10:50.	
2		(TIME NOTED: 10:50	a.m.)
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
۷ ک			

CERTIFICATE STATE OF NEW YORK) ss: COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Danielle Rivera, a shorthand reporter within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that the within is a true and accurate transcript of the statement taken on September 17, 2018. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or by marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 17th day of September 2018.

	actually 17.16	aiiiiauvii		аррисант 8 3.7
accepting 6:20	actually 17:18	affiliation	alternative 36:5	applicant's 5:7
accept 45:23	actual 45:2	advocating 23:5	alter 11:21 24:14	anyone 45:17
absolutely 35:17	actors 22:6,14 32:23	advocates 28:12	34:7 (11)	antonio 27:25
absent 20:3	activities 13:10	advisory 44:5,8	6:25 7:14 10:3 18:19 26:2 28:4	antiquated 17:25
34:25 36:17 (11)		5:19 29:13	also 4:1,18 5:9,15	answers 12:16
15:1 28:13 32:21	active 30:12 39:25	adverse 4:25	25:6 36:10,23 (4)	44:18 (6)
10:1,10 14:22,23		(4)	already 20:10	11:6,9,16 20:5
about 9:5,13	actions 4:25 5:2 21:17	adoption 9:13 23:7 24:12 26:14	along 10:10 28:11	annually 17:9 another 10:14
able 18:22	47:13 (5)	41:9		
ability 18:25	action 13:24 20:13 29:13 34:9	adopting 6:14	alone 24:13	angeles 36:12 37:6
a10 10:22	act 3:14	adopted 9:24 11:21 39:21	allowing 31:14	andor 24:7
90day 12:20	across 33:9	adopt 26:22	alike 31:12	3:1,7 45:17,20 (5)
40ton 28:19	achieved 44:14	(4)	alarm 28:13	anderson 2:5
36th 31:23	accurately 7:17	administrative 3:14 10:2,9 40:11	aim 33:2	analyze 41:18
34th 28:2	accurate 47:9	32:19	ahead 14:25	analysis 9:4 10:13
205a2 20:2	23:10 24:18	administration's	agree 20:2 22:3	ample 25:5
17th 47:17	accountable	administration 35:20	aggressively 29:21 30:15	23:17
112c 20:5	accountability 16:3	adhere 25:4	agenda 44:19	amount 20:20
112b 20:1	account 43:17	adequately 43:22	20:15 29:8 (4)	among 15:3 29:3
transform 23:2	12:17	addressing 15:23	agency 2:5 3:7	american 35:6
'code 21:5	accordingly	address 16:22	agencies 39:2,14 41:7	3:18,20 4:11,18 5:9,15 6:10 (7)
\$8 36:8	accomplish 22:16	43:15	against 29:14	amendments
\$5000 11:9	accommodate 12:3	additionally	(4)	amendment 1:4
\$5000 19:18	37:24 (6)	40:9 41:18 42:10 (4)	again 18:16 19:21 21:21 29:23	amending 19:16
\$5 11:3	accidents 19:2,20 22:1,15 32:23	additional 24:5	21:13 34:25 (4)	amend 8:13 11:25
\$2 11:4	21:13	added 24:6	after 7:8 11:21	47:12,14 (9)
\$15 11:5 43:13	accident 5:17	add 12:1 24:4	african 35:6	16:23 20:15 28:8 31:22 46:2
\$1000 5:8 20:2,21	access 20:14	25:8 27:18	7:14,15	am 1:11 3:7

appreciates	attorney 20:21 21:2 22:24	behalf 38:9,12	30:10 33:13	business 1:1 2:3
appreciates	21:2 22:24			
			38:20,23 41:17	3:8 4:13,17,24 5:7
20.15		behavior 25:11	45:5,16 (9)	6:24 11:3 13:23
38:15	audit 33:10	29:11,16		16:21 20:25 28:7
			big 14:5	32:3,6 38:16
appreciative	august 4:1	behaviors 30:21		42:20 45:25 (18)
38:23			bill 35:12	, , ,
	authorities	behind 22:2		businesses 6:3
appropriate 6:7	5:2,14,21 29:15		blasio's 15:20	17:23 33:19 36:21
	(4)	being 5:23		37:17 45:11 (6)
43:19 (5)		25:3,22 28:10	blood 47:13	
	authority 5:25	35:14 (5)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	c 2:1 47:1,1
	32:9 33:11,14	5511 (6)	board 20:19 33:9	
	34:5,7 (6)	believe 12:25	44:6,8 (4)	call 21:4
17.0	<i>-</i> 1.2,7 (0)	14:19 26:6 27:17	17.0,0 (T)	Can 21.7
arise 12:4	automobile	31:1,20 32:18	boards 4:5	calling 7:10,22
	4:14,17 11:3		Duarus 4.3	canning 1.10,22
1	4.14,1 / 11:3	36:7 37:21,23 (10)	hodoss 24:10	aama 10.21
arrona 2:7	9-1-1-1-7-1	h h	bodega 34:19	came 10:21
	available 7:1	benchmarks 42:3	1 11 25 22	7.47.0.00
	36:14 40:22		bold 35:22	can 7:17 9:23
31:16 38:4 (9)		beneath 14:16		11:19,25 13:1,2,4
	avoid 21:1,19		bookkeeping	20:14,16 23:23
ask 7:18 10:18	37:23 41:14 (4)	best 38:19 39:7	18:1	25:24 29:15
		44:14		31:5,6,10 32:18
asked 17:12	backseat 28:25		boots 15:7	37:22,23 41:8 (19)
		better 13:2,2		
aspects 21:24	bad 22:14 32:23	21:23 22:8 29:9	boston 36:12,18	cannot 15:11
-		33:8,10 39:12	37:2	
assess 21:13	badacting 34:1	41:25 (9)		cap 9:24 10:15
41:18 42:1		\- <i>\</i>	both 4:9 32:14	
1	barriers 36:23	between 11:11	41:8 44:9 (4)	capa 3:15
assign 15:11		12:4 35:14	(1)	
	baseline 18:14	12.100.11	bound 19:9	capacity 41:17
assisting 40:4	Dustine 10.17	beyond 14:4	Sound 17.7	42:1
	basis 13:22 17:14	Deyona 17.7	breaking 15:12	14.1
		bic 4:19,25 6:13	DICANIII 13.12	captive 11:14
associated 37:12	28:21 40:23 (4)		hadeller 17.0	capuve 11:14
0.7	1007	8:12,21 9:3,6	briefly 17:2	
	because 18:2,7	10:12,18,21,24,24		carefully 7:4
34:19,19	22:11 36:24 (4)	11:1,10,17	broken 23:6	
		12:11,18		carnage 37:22
1	becomes 44:16	13:2,5,7,10	brooklyn 28:3	
38:12		17:11,16,22		carry 28:19
1	becoming 35:15	20:9,15 22:18	brought 18:22	
associations		23:23 25:1,13	=	cars 19:6,9
	bedford 31:24	27:3,9,13 29:18	brownell 21:25	
		30:6,13 31:9		carters 15:4,19
atmosphere	before 19:1 22:14	33:7,10 34:4,7	buildings 32:2	16:4 17:4,12
	32:7 41:3 (4)	39:13		19:10,20
	(1)	40:2,13,16,22	buro 25:14	21:7,16,18 22:9
attending 3:10	begin 7:10,22	42:10,22 43:3	20.11	29:4 (12)
	14:21	44:4,23 (51)	buses 45:14	27.1 (12)
0.17	17.41	¬¬,43 (31)	Duscs TJ.17	carting 8:23 9:8
attention 20:22	hogs /1.1	bic's 26:1 29:24	hughwial, 20.2	
attention 28:23	begs 41:1	DIC'S 20:1 29:24	bushwick 28:3	16:13,16 24:16

25:13 28:15 (7)	city 1:1 2:3 3:8,13,19,25	collection 6:15 33:17 36:2,15	29:18	concerned 38:25 44:3
casual 25:18	4:3,6,10 6:22 7:9	40:25 41:24 (6)	community 4:5	
casual 23.16	1 1 1	40.23 41.24 (0)	9:12 23:4	0.12
22.14	8:5,14,18 13:20	100	9:12 23:4	concerns 9:12
cause 22:14	16:20 17:5 22:10	combine 10:8		29:20 39:19
	24:3,9,24 26:25		companies 8:17	
ceo 16:16	27:14 28:5 32:8	come 11:17 21:12	10:23,25 11:24	concluded 36:19
	33:19 34:21	28:17 32:7 (4)	12:22 13:5 14:5,8	
certain 4:25 9:15	35:15,20 36:3,7,13		15:6,9,10,15 17:18	conclusion 21:21
42:21	39:2,4 45:12 (35)	commend 16:20	20:24 22:5	27:7
			23:10,21 26:22	
certify 47:9,12	city' 23:3	comment 19:22	28:18 29:12,24	condemnation
corting 17.5,12		21:3 45:16	34:2 37:5 42:12	37:25
cgl 11:2,9	city's 8:23 37:10	21.5 45.10	43:18 (25)	37.23
cgi 11.2,9	city \$ 6.23 37.10	comments 3:17	45.16 (25)	conditions 21:19
1 . 20.4.22.1	., 42.6		15.14	1
chair 28:4 32:1	cityagency 42:6	6:18,21 7:1,5	company 15:14	23:12 35:18
		8:8,24 45:24 (8)	17:18 20:14 24:1	
chaired 32:2	cityrun 32:17		26:3 30:5 34:5	conduct 6:2
		commerce 34:20	39:18 40:18 (9)	21:15
chambers 34:20	claims 35:23			
		commercial 4:13	company's 11:12	conduct' 21:5
changaris 7:25	clarke 14:14	15:21 23:6,18	14:1	
8:1,4		26:15,21 27:3		conducting 3:11
, -	clear 18:17	28:20 31:1	compete 15:18	
changes 7:6	40:13,20 41:21 (4)	32:5,21 33:16	compete 12.10	conducts 10:12
18:21	10.13,20 11.21 (1)	35:21 (13)	complete 30:23	conducts 10.12
10.21	clearly 7:16	33.21 (13)	complete 50.25	conference 3:5
chapter 8:4,5,18	42:16	commission 1:1	completed 15:12	contende 3.3
	42.10		completed 13.12	
12:10 (4)	.1 (.22.27.10	2:3 3:9,11,23	22.4	conflicts 12:3
24.2	close 6:23 37:18	4:1,8,9	completely 22:4	
character 24:2	45:21,24 (4)	6:1,4,17,20,25		congestion 32:24
		7:3,6 9:22	compliance 5:12	
charged 9:23	closed 45:25	11:20,25 13:23	6:8 17:15 21:8,12	conjunction
		16:7,21 28:8 32:6	39:17 40:1 41:7	44:22
cheap 15:18	coalition 23:3	38:17 45:23 (25)	(8)	
				connection 5:5
chicago 36:12,18	code 21:15	commission's	compliancerelate	7:2
37:2		3:18 5:24 7:21	d 40:10	
	collaborating			consequences
china 10:4	39:6	commissioner	compliant 18:1	30:1
		21:25		
christiansen	collaborative		comply 13:6	consider 7:4 30:8
38:13	43:24	commitment	24:18 43:14	
		44:9		considerable
church 3:6	collapse 10:11	,	component 30:10	44:13
Jimital J.O	comapse 10.11	committee 28:5	component 50.10	11115
circumstances	colleagues 18:23	32:1,3	comprehensive	consideration
42:14	35:5 39:1	34.1,3	18:11 31:13 42:25	23:25 42:18 43:25
1 2.14	33.3 39.1	20.2	10.11 31.13 42.23	
26.12	11 4 1 40 00	common 38:2	100	45:7 (4)
cities 36:12	collected 42:22		computer 18:2	
		commonly 3:14		considerations
citizens 13:3	collecting 28:20		computers 17:24	42:20
	29:4	communication		

		11:8,15	43:14	
corporation 16:17	37:6 41:17 43:12 (5)	deductible	difficult 34:25	dollar 10:19 43:10
corollary 42:17	crown 31:25 current 5:25 31:8	decrease 18:25 decreasing 36:21	difference 45:2	doing 20:25 31:6 36:3
corner 15:19				
(4)	critical 30:9	deaths 14:19 32:13	dialogue 43:4	doesn't 9:17
cornegy 31:18,19,23 38:5	crisis 13:22	deals 20:5	diallo 14:13 25:25	does 17:18 21:14 29:16
core 30:10	crime 30:11	de 15:20	devote 40:8	documents 40:3
copy 4:2 7:1	create 34:8	dcas 42:5	5:20	dmv 20:11
40:16	crashrelated 11:23	days 25:1	determinations	disturbing 30:8
conveyance	23:17,21 39:15 (7)	day 25:19 47:17	determination 24:1	31:24 34:15 (4)
conversational 8:9	crashes 4:22 5:19 14:13 15:1	date 38:21	23:11	district 27:3 28:2
convened 44:6	42:5 (5)	42:7,21 (11)	deteriorating	disseminated 4:2
control 20:19 33:23	crash 11:18 19:4,17 41:10	data 17:7 18:5,7,19,23 19:21 40:25 41:18,23	detailed 17:10,13 18:5	disregarded 29:24
19:25	covering 15:9	47:7	despite 24:21 25:9	dismissed 20:24
contraindication	coverages 10:19	danielle 1:18	(4)	discussions 39:1
contracts 27:1	coverage 11:9,13	daniel 13:13,16 16:11	designed 3:20 17:23 18:3 22:13	discussion 36:17 40:24
contract 16:5	court 7:16	dangers 31:7	39:2,3,5 44:7 (4)	discussed 42:17
continuing 27:12	county 47:5	28:14 (5)	department	31:22
continues 30:20	countless 28:12	dangerous 14:2 23:15 24:14 27:11	deny 34:6	discriminatory
29:19 31:10 45:23 (4)	counsel's 28:5	19:8,10,18	denials 25:10	disclose 24:25 26:3
continue 6:23	27:22 31:16,18,22 38:4 (7)	damage	delivery 45:14	dirty 27:11
continually 17:16	counsel 2:5 3:7	daily 13:22	deliberations 36:11	8:4 38:13 (4)
context 9:5	28:1 31:23 32:8 34:15 (5)	cutting 15:19	definitions 41:10	director 2:7 7:21
consultant 36:9	council 27:14	customers 19:7	19:3,16 41:13 (4)	directive 11:20
consistency 45:8	costs 40:11	customer 17:6,8	definition 11:18	direction 8:20
39:20 42:2 44:22 (4)	20:25 33:24 37:8,11 (7)	17:4 24:21 31:25 (4)	deeply 28:13	dire 26:16
considered 36:19	cost 10:2,8,10	currently 9:13	deems 7:6	diluted 22:12

done 18:8	21:22	encouraged	33:17,20 42:12	expanded 12:11
double 17:25	efforts 38:16	23:19	44:1 (5)	expect 39:23
doubling 37:15	elected 4:4	end 13:8 22:18	essence 9:2	experience 35:2
down 34:5	electronic	endorsing 18:10	establish 6:1	36:23
downsides 36:18	40:15,17	enforce 24:17 27:5 30:15	establishing 38:19	experienced 44:4
draft 21:17	eligible 10:23	enforced 29:22	even 26:13 30:1	experiencing 37:7
drafted 9:14	eliminate 19:19	enforcement	35:1	experts 12:23,23
driver's 4:21	else 45:17,20	25:2 26:8,14,19 (4)	event 41:13	43:4
23:22 29:7	email 4:3	engage 43:3	events 39:13	explained 42:16
drivers 14:24 23:14 29:10	emily 2:5 3:6	engaged 37:3	every 15:14,19 17:7 19:14 (4)	explanation 36:4
drivers' 4:20	employed 35:9	engineering 25:14	everyone 27:20	exploitation 25:22
20:11 29:5	employee 24:11 26:10 30:9 34:23		everything 31:6	exploring 31:10
dsny 25:13 27:3,13 32:14 (4)	(4) employees 17:17	enough 24:13 ensure 26:21	evidence 25:5,17	external 43:1
due 17:10 42:18	25:1 26:4,6 30:6 34:17 35:18 45:4	27:15 29:15 31:3 (4)	examination 36:4	extremely 21:9
during 9:25 42:12	(8)	ensuring 30:11	examine 36:14	facilitating 38:25
dwayne 34:24	employeespecific 24:5	31:5	example 25:12 42:4 43:8	fact 19:24 22:9 42:9
each 17:7 27:2	employer's 4:14	entire 30:24	exceed 11:8	failed 30:5
earlier 8:16	11:4	environment 32:25 33:25	exceeds 20:21	failing 24:18 25:4
ecb 21:1	employment 25:18 36:24 37:18	environmental	exception 14:9	fails 34:3
economic 10:13	empower 6:13	20:19 22:24 23:4 27:5 37:4 (5)	execute 27:1	failures 26:3
education 36:25	enable 40:17	environmentallys	executive 2:5 3:7	far 14:3 28:24
effect 7:8 9:25	enabled 26:19	ound 26:23	38:12	35:14
effective 31:4	enacted 30:15	equipment 15:8	exercise 41:24	fatal 14:13 23:17
41:9 43:6 45:2 (4)	enacting 30:13	equipped 29:9	existing 11:13 12:5,7 24:21 25:6	federal 5:1,13,20 29:14 41:6 (5)
effectively 39:16	enactment 31:3	equity 27:5	26:8 29:25 43:21 (8)	few 14:8,15 18:21
efficient 26:23	encourage 27:9	equivalency 12:1	expand 40:7	25:3 35:14 (5)
effort 18:17	33:2 43:23	especially 21:24		final 9:1,13

finalized 41:3	forward 27:12	get 12:21 18:19	40:1,3,14	45:22,25 (12)
finally 12:8 15:25	31:9	21:14 29:16 (4)	halfmillion 10:19	height 31:20
16:1,3 30:19 45:5 (6)	fought 20:22	give 9:17 18:24 33:7,10 (4)	hand 47:17	heights 31:25
	found 25:14 30:5			
financial 17:10	four 11:17	given 9:16 23:16 41:19 42:18,24	handbook 39:7	helpers 23:14 25:16,19
find 29:23 35:1 37:21	franchise 32:20	45:8 (6)	handing 8:2	helpful 8:2
	35:21	giving 27:3		
fine 5:8 20:20	franchising	glad 29:18	handle 25:23 28:18	hereby 47:8
fines 9:14 21:13	18:6,10 32:22 35:11 36:6,19	glimpse 9:17	happen 19:2,9	hereunto 47:16
fingerpointing 37:25	37:9,12 (8)	gloves 15:7	22:1	hidden 37:11
	front 20:18		happens 15:3	high 36:25
finish 8:25	full 7:13 16:23	go 7:7 14:3	happold 25:14	higher 11:14
first 6:10 7:25 10:17 17:5 20:9	fullthroated 27:8	goal 18:18	hard 15:17	highlighted 30:2
41:4 (6)	fully 15:22 16:19	goals 44:13		highlights 18:12
five 7:19	18:1 41:21 (4)	going 8:9,21 9:18	hardship 10:13	
flag 22:14	fundamental	10:5 11:2 12:9,13 18:18 19:11 (9)	harm's 34:10	highly 30:7
fleets 23:12 42:6	15:24 23:5 26:18	good 3:1 7:24 8:3	harmonization 41:4	highlypopulated 19:5
	further 10:12	13:15 15:15 16:14		him 34:25
floor 3:5	12:19,21 25:5 41:2 42:17 47:12	22:5,22 24:2 27:24 31:19 38:7	has 6:20 18:6 20:8 21:25 24:1	
focus 45:1,6	(7)	(12)	28:7,24 32:6 35:22 43:7 (10)	hire 25:2
follow 16:4 21:16	furtherance 8:22	got 11:16	hastily 18:8	hiring 21:2
following 14:23	furthermore	governing 6:5		hispanic 35:6
form 16:25	29:12	government	hauler 27:2	histories 29:5
formal 8:7	future 37:24	5:1,14,21 20:15 35:16 38:2 (6)	haulers 23:18 24:8,17,23 25:8,9	hit 18:12
formally 36:25	gathered 18:7	grant 10:13	27:2 (7)	hold 12:18 24:17
	general 1:4 3:21		hauling 23:10	
formed 39:22	4:13 8:15,24 19:22 21:9 45:3	grateful 13:8	having 13:5	holding 23:9
forms 5:18,18	(8)	greater 27:4	20:23 36:16	holistic 27:16
forth 3:13	generally 39:10	group 44:6	health 6:8	holler 33:4
forthcoming	generation 22:10	groups 23:5	hearing 1:3	hollow 30:17
37:11	gesture 30:17	guidance	3:2,11,12,16,25 5:23 6:19 7:3 30:3	honest 36:17

honesty 24:2	importantly 37:20	increment 10:20	instead 8:10	42:2
hours 14:8 21:1 23:13	imposed 24:7	incremental 29:2	insurance 4:15,17 6:7	issued 25:3,10
housing 32:1	44:17	increments 43:10	10:22,24 12:23 18:20 19:12	issues 19:1,11 35:25
nousing 52.1	improve 3:21	indicators 23:24	42:23,24 43:9,15	33.23
how 9:18	8:14 16:8 33:8	marcators 25.2	45:9 (13)	its 8:22 9:13
11:10,17 34:25	34:8 35:18 36:14	individual 42:11	, ,	18:18 22:11 27:19
36:5 43:5 45:8 (7)	44:10 (8)		insurances 43:2	30:6 35:20 43:5
however 18:23	·	industry 6:12,16	insure 43:18	45:6 (9)
20:24 21:11 26:13	improved 37:13	8:23 9:9 10:3,14 12:5,12 14:11,20	insure 45:18	jeopardize 35:19
(4)	improvements	15:5,25 16:10,20	integrate 39:17	Jeopardize 33.17
	26:17	21:23 23:15	meegrade synty	job 35:1 37:19
hurt 36:20 37:16		26:7,16 27:11,19	integrity 1:1 2:3	
	improving 1:4	28:15	3:8 13:23 16:21	jobs 33:15
hurting 36:22	6:11 29:3 44:12	30:12,18,20,24	24:3 28:8 32:6	35:9,13,19 38:2
i'm 8:4,9 13:15	(4)	32:5,10 33:4,12,17 34:14,23	38:16 (9)	(5)
16:16 22:23 28:1	incarcerated	35:3,7,9,22 36:1	intention 21:10	joe 38:11
29:18 30:13 38:11	37:1	38:21 40:5 41:25	intention 21.10	Joc 30.11
(9)		42:3 43:6 44:25	interagency	jose 38:6
	incarceration	45:3 (44)	29:17	
i've 8:7 11:16	35:1			journals 17:20
33:5		industry's 13:21	interest 23:1	14.14.20.24
iachan	incidents 19:8,14 32:16 41:11 (4)	14:5 39:23 44:9 (4)	interested 4:7	just 14:14 20:24 36:15,20 37:6 (5)
22:21,22,23	32.10 41.11 (4)	(4)	12:24 47:14	30.13,20 37.0 (3)
	include 6:12	inefficiency		justice 22:24
ideas 44:24	19:17 44:24	24:14	into 7:7 9:18,25	
			23:24 39:18 43:17	justifiable 9:12
identify 29:9	included 42:7	information	(6)	kendall 38:13
immediately 31:7	including 5:2 6:4	9:7,18 11:23 17:13 20:11,14	intro 33:6,14	Kendan 38:13
33:7,10 36:13	12:22 24:9,25	29:5 41:15	34:12 37:20 (4)	key 9:4
37:22 (5)	34:14 38:25 40:16	42:10,16,20 (11)		-20,
, ,	43:19 44:25 45:13		introduced 33:6	know 9:21 10:20
impact 30:17	(11)	initial 39:9	34:22 35:12 44:2	16:22 36:10 (4)
immonta 10.2 0	in congagneration	initially 12.0	(4)	lm oxylod acabla
impacts 10:3,8	inconsequential 41:15	initially 12:9 44:20	introduction	knowledgeable 43:4
implement 36:1	71.13	77.20	8:19	TJ.T
P	increase 4:12,16	injuries 15:10		labor 23:4
implemented	9:25 10:15 18:15	32:13	investigation	
35:11	23:16 40:11 (7)	10.10	26:1	laborers 25:19
implom anda	inamaged 11.2	injury 19:18	involve 4:22	lask 21.12.20.17
implements 27:16	increased 11:2 16:24 26:18	inordinate 14:12	involve 4:23	lack 21:12 29:17
21.10	10.27 20.10	morumate 14.12	involving 23:18	language 41:22
important 15:22 23:9 28:10	increasing 16:23 33:13	input 12:21	39:14	43:22
		inspection 6:6	issue 16:19 35:24	large 28:22
		_		_

		1	I	
largely 9:10	27:4	local 4:3	35:16 43:5 45:1	31:5
		5:1,14,21	(11)	
larger 43:17	liability	13:13,17,21 17:15		measures 6:9
44:18	4:13,14,15,17	29:14 34:15 41:6	makes 25:20	incusures 0.7
1.10			makes 23.20	modia 4.5
los4 0.22 12:22	11:4,5 43:8 (7)	(11)		media 4:5
last 9:23 13:22	4 21 5 4	1 11 44 10	making 23:25	. 24.4
21:3	license 4:21 5:4	locally 44:10	38:24 43:10	meet 34:4
	13:25 20:13 23:22			
lastly 4:7	29:7 30:4 34:6 (8)	located 3:4	maldonado	meeting 40:5
l			38:6,7,11	
latter 20:4	licensed 6:3	long 23:13 28:24		melissa 22:21,23
			management	27:21
law 4:21 14:23	licensee's 4:23	longer 14:7 32:19	28:6 30:25	
17:15 20:6 21:8	5:6		38:10,15 42:8 (5)	member 23:2
23:23 29:6 (7)		longterm 27:1		27:22 28:1
()	licensees 4:12,19	8	managers 4:4	31:17,18,22 38:5
lawless 15:5	5:10,16 9:23	look 27:12 31:9		(7)
IN THOUSE IS.S	25:15 38:20	37:8	manner 28:18	
laws 5:12 15:13	40:8,12 45:6 (10)	37.0	manner 20.10	members 6:18
	70.0,12 43.0 (10)	los 36:12 37:6	many 12:15	7:23 30:11 39:25
38:17 44:22,24 (5)	12	108 30:12 37:0	many 12:15	
	licenserenewal	16.5.22.22	19:11 20:24 24:21	(4)
lawyers 22:25	42:13	losing 16:5 33:23	28:11 (5)	
				mention 9:21
lead 25:24	licenses 21:20	lost 14:15	markets 10:11	
	24:24 45:12		42:25 43:1	methods 36:14
leadership 38:23		lot 9:17 18:24		
	light 21:13 43:6		marriage 47:14	microphone
least 41:2		lower 37:13		31:21
	like 17:2 20:18		masked 29:16	
ledgers 17:21	24:4 35:4 37:6,10	machinery 28:23		middleclass
J	39:2 45:18 (8)	•	mason 34:14	35:13
legal 43:18		made 22:11		
9	likely 45:1	35:22 37:12 40:22	matter 47:15	might 8:1 10:16
legallyrequired		(4)		22:1
15:7	limit 4:16 7:19		matters 40:10	
	11:15 14:6 (4)	maintain 5:10,16	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	million 11:3,4,5
legislation 6:13	11.10 17.0 (7)	15:17	maximum 9:22	36:8 43:13 (5)
18:15 33:5 44:1	limited 6:5 24:10	13.17	11:8	30.0 43.13 (3)
	45:13	maintained	11.0	millions 19:6
(4)	43.13	maintained		minions 19:0
12424 10:15	1:	17:22	may 34:10 43:22	
legitimate 12:15	limits 4:12 11:2		15.00	mind 35:13
14.10	. 25.10	maintenance	mayor 15:20	
leon 14:13	line 35:10	6:6,7 14:24 24:10		minor 19:9,20
		39:15 40:15,19 (7)	mayor's 27:14	30:1
less 36:24	list 17:3 18:11		42:8	
		major 18:11		minutes 7:20
lessen 32:24	litany 24:22	36:11	meaningful	
			29:21 41:14	mission 30:10
lets 21:16	little 25:2	majority 34:16		
		J	means 40:4 41:9	modest 23:8
level 32:16 36:21	livelihood 35:7	make 6:25 7:5	43:19	
		8:24 12:9,20		modus 26:4
leverage 26:19	lives 14:16,25	19:22 22:8 27:18	measure 26:12	2000
10,101 age 20,17	11.10,23	17.22 22.0 27.10	Micasult 20.12	

momandpops 33:22	mythical 32:20	16:12 22:21 27:22 31:17 38:5 (7)	occasion 32:7	opportunities 37:18
	naacp 34:18		occasions 30:7	
monday 3:3	muliip o mas	nightly 19:7		opportunity 10:7
monday 5.5	name 3:6 7:14,15	28:21	occurrences 9:15	13:9,18 16:6
month 9:24	8:3 16:15 22:22	20.21	occurrences 5.15	22:17 28:9 38:8
13:23	27:25 38:10 (8)	nine 17:20	occurs 19:19	45:15 (8)
13.23	27.23 36.10 (6)	Inne 17.20	occurs 19.19	45.15 (8)
more 5:8 6:14	named 34:24	no 20:7 24:16,19	off 28:21 37:18	ong 14.22
	named 34:24	30:17 32:19	011 28:21 37:18	ops 14:22
11:17 13:4			. cc 20.0	26.5
26:15,17,22,23	national 8:6	45:19,20 47:14 (8)	offer 38:8	options 36:5
27:9 32:7,9	34:18	10.05.10.01	60 440	1.617
35:15,15 41:8		noise 18:25 19:21	offers 44:8	oral 6:17
43:6 (15)	nationalist 10:4			
		nondisclosure	office 27:14	order 7:12 24:23
morning 3:1	nationally 44:10	26:6		
7:11,24 8:3 13:15			officials 4:4	organizations 4:6
16:15 22:22 27:25	nature 40:6	nor 32:25		
31:20 38:7 (10)			offthebooks	organized 30:11
	necessary 7:7	northern 31:25	25:18	
most 14:4 17:23	26:11 27:18			other 4:6 5:4,18
18:2 20:18 30:2		notably 20:1	often 15:3 28:17	9:14 10:5 12:24
37:19,20 45:1 (8)	need 18:19 19:14			15:8 20:17
	21:14 26:16 32:9	noted 8:15 10:3	once 30:15	39:1,14 40:4
motor 5:17	37:19 40:21 43:16	12:9 46:2 (4)		41:6,10 42:19
	(8)		one 10:18 11:14	43:18,20 44:3
mouctar 14:13		nothing 30:22	19:14 22:1 32:7	45:10 (18)
25:25	needs 14:20		35:8 44:18 45:20	
	35:16 36:13	notice 9:3	(8)	others 39:19
move 28:25	33.10 30.13	notice 3.5		others 33.13
11010 20.23	neftaly 14:14	notification	onemillion 43:10	otherwise 30:16
movement 12:19	licitary 11.11	39:13		40:13
movement 12.19	negotiate 27:4	37.13	onerous 22:6	10.15
moving 31:13	negotiate 27.4	notify 4:19,24	Uncibus 22.0	ours 37:10
moving 31.13	noighborhoods	notify 4.19,24	onesizefitsall	ours 37.10
ms 3:1 22:22	neighborhoods 34:1	now 6:17 7:10,22	11:7	out 18:9 28:19
ms 3:1 22:22 45:17,20 (4)	J4.1	10:8 31:11 32:22	11./	
75.17,20 (4)	now 1.1 2.2		ongoing 42:24	30:5 32:24 (4)
muah 12.11	new 1:1 2:3	34:1 35:3 38:3	ongoing 43:24	outcome 47.15
much 13:11	3:8,19 4:3,9	42:23 45:21,25	ambr. 10.17.20.10	outcome 47:15
27:4,9	8:5,14,18 9:7 10:9	46:1 (13)	only 19:17 20:19	27.5
	11:1,11 12:6		21:12 26:17 29:20	outcomes 37:5
must 40:8	13:3,20 14:1,15	number 14:12	37:14 (6)	1 40 25
	17:5,17 18:20	25:16 40:6		outlined 40:25
mutual 44:13	22:25 23:3 24:9	20.5	operandi 26:4	1 .
404.7.10	26:9 30:14	numerous 30:7		outmaneuvering
mv104 5:18	32:8,13 34:4,8,17	1	operate 24:3,24	38:1
	35:19 36:13 37:7	nylpi 23:2,7 27:7	34:6 45:12 (4)	
my 3:6 8:3 16:15	38:9,14,17 39:4			outoftown 36:9
17:18 18:22	40:2 41:4 42:7	obligations	operating 26:24	
20:10,13 21:3	43:25 44:23	24:7,22 40:5		outside 42:14
22:22 27:25 29:19	47:3,5,8 (46)		operation 5:6	
38:10 47:17 (13)		obtained 43:2	27:10 28:22	over 19:6,18 28:7
	next 6:12 13:13			33:11,18 35:4
L		I.	I.	L

pedestrians	40:20 (5)		program 11:14	(7)
pedestrian 28:16	policies 5:11 10:24 21:7 39:16	preventable 14:20 32:12	profits 14:25	15:6 17:13 20:10 39:25 41:24 42:10
payroll 26:11	police 39:5	prevent 32:23	producing 39:6	provide 11:24
33:19	24:19	41:20	produced 42:7	proves 14:10
pay 19:10 20:25	point 9:20 22:3	presumption	produce 40:3	33:15,18,25 35:17 (7)
pattern 29:10	pledge 13:7	press 14:22	42:13	protect 14:1 31:11 32:25
past 44:4	pleased 28:8	preset 11:15	processes 41:12	42:19
passed 33:7	please 7:13,14	present 13:10 28:14	12:25 37:7,10 42:15 43:24 (6)	proprietary
pass 38:2	plan 27:15	42:5	process 10:1	proposing 10:18,21 44:23
party 44:18	plaguing 15:24	prepared 17:8	procedures 5:11 39:16 40:20	44:1,21 (31)
partnership 34:12	plagued 30:20	preexisting 10:10	procedure 3:14	39:9,22 41:1,22 43:11,12,16,21
parties 4:7 12:24 47:13	pieces 17:7 place 15:23	predominantly 35:5	14:3 15:24 20:8 36:7 (5)	12:7,11 18:15,21 19:4,13 21:3 23:8 27:8 28:10 29:2
23:19 25:21 37:16	piece 33:5	30:18,22 38:19 39:8,18 44:11 (13)	problems 10:5	4:8 5:22 6:21 8:12,19 11:1,18,19
particularly	picked 19:7	14:2 23:11 25:20 26:24 28:14	problematic 30:21	proposed 3:18,24
particular 39:19	photo 14:22	practices 12:5,6	probably 31:21	propose 19:16 38:17
participate 13:9	permittees 9:3	potentially 36:8	40:22	44:2 45:16
part 21:4 44:18	permits 5:25	potential 26:9	proactively 19:1	proposals 43:5
parked 19:6	permit 5:4	posted 4:8 8:16	32:4,14,17 33:11 34:3,17 36:15 (20)	proposal 12:1 13:1 35:21
p 2:1,1	permission 5:4	possible 29:1	24:16 25:12 28:15 29:4 30:24	36:20
owners 33:21	period 12:20	posed 31:7	13:19 14:11,17 15:3 16:9 23:9	proportionally
overworking 14:24	performed 25:13	portals 40:17	private 8:23 9:8	property 19:8,17
12:12 28:7	45:9 (5)	poor 23:11	privacy 42:19	promulgation 24:12
oversight 8:22	performance 12:2 42:1,4,11	pools 43:21	priority 38:24	5:24
oversee 32:11	44:15	politicized 44:17	principals 17:17	promises 57.13
overhaul 30:23	people 35:8,13	political 38:1	primarily 39:11	promises 37:13
overall 43:23	penalty 5:7	policy 2:7 7:21 11:20 15:21,22 22:7,15 (7)	previously 32:2 prices 37:13,16	22:25 programs 18:2

provided 9:8	question	reasonable 16:1	regarding 1:4	releases 14:22
40:14,21	11:6,10,16		5:12 17:13 21:8 30:3 40:1 42:21	relief 10:14
provides 20:12	41:16,16 (5)	reasoning 11:7	43:5 (8)	rener 10:14
12.2	questions 8:25 10:16 12:15 41:2	reasons 10:25	20.6	relies 35:6
provision 12:3 24:11	(4)	receive 3:17 6:17	register 30:6	remains 26:16
21.50	26.5	24:23 41:17 (4)	registered 6:3	1 12 11
provisions 21:5,9	quicker 36:5	received 7:2	registrant's 4:24	remarks 13:11
public 1:3,4	quickly 29:1	. 14.17		remedy 32:20
3:2,17,22 6:19 7:23 8:15 23:1	racetothebottom	recent 14:17 23:18	registrants 4:16,19 5:10,16	reminder 45:22
34:10 45:3 (11)	24:15		38:20 40:12 45:7	
publication 6:22	raise 27:10	recently 9:24 17:12 18:4 30:2	(7)	removal 33:20
•		(4)	registration 30:9	renewal 42:15
published 3:23 7:8	raised 12:14	reckless 14:2	registry 17:6	repeat 21:21
	rally 34:24	28:14		_
publishing 24:19	ramirez 14:14	recognize 16:19	regular 15:16 26:2,10	repercussion 26:5
punishing 22:5		43:22	,	
purpose 3:16	range 30:21 39:17	recommendation	regulate 32:9 33:8	report 5:17 18:9 23:21 29:13 34:9
41:23 42:9		9:1 12:8		37:17 42:5,8 (8)
purposes 41:21	rate 9:24 10:12,15	reconcile 11:10	regulated 8:17 9:11 11:12,23	reported 1:17
purposes 41.21			12:22 13:5 (6)	19:15 25:15
pursuant 3:12	ratemaking 10:1	record 3:25 6:22 7:9 45:22 (4)	regulates 9:22	reporter 7:17
pursue 36:16	rates 9:22	7.9 43.22 (4)	regulates 9.22	47:7
pursued 44:14	rather 15:2	recordkeeping 9:2 24:6 25:6	regulation 6:2 8:20 9:10,16	reporting 9:15
•	Tather 13.2	39:12 (4)	10:2,17 11:21	17:3 18:16 19:19
pursuing 6:13	rating 10:22	records 6:6	12:7,11,19 13:1,6 (12)	20:8,12 22:7,13 24:7 25:7 29:25
pushed 14:6	rational 41:14,21	40:15,18	(12)	33:11,14 41:5,11
- 12.10 22.22	44:15	manyalina 9.6	regulations 5:13	45:9 (16)
put 12:18 32:23 34:10	rationale 11:1	recycling 8:6 10:6,11	10:9 11:12,19 17:1 19:5,13 20:1	reports 42:2,6
	9.10		21:4,11 33:2	_
putting 14:25	reading 8:10	referred 3:15	38:18 39:10,21 40:13 41:1,23	represent 31:23
quadrupling	real 16:2 32:22	refine 11:22	43:25 44:21 (19)	representing
37:15	36:4	reform 23:5	regulatory 33:13	28:2
quality 33:24,25	really 9:16 12:25	26:18 31:13 38:3	41:7 45:16	represents 13:19
quarterly 17:9	realtime 17:14	(4)	rejected 37:2	34:16
, v	20:12 40:18	reforms 27:17		request 12:18
queens 28:4	reason 19:15	regard 19:23	related 5:18 42:11 47:12	40:23

requested 41:19,20	respects 38:15	route 18:5	same 19:22 36:10 41:11	senior 22:23
,	respond 31:7	routes 15:11 16:1		sense 38:3
requests		23:13 29:1 (4)	sanitation	
18:5,23,24	response 8:12		13:20,25	senseless 32:12
10.0,20,2	45:19	routinely 25:9	14:4,11,17 16:9	Seliseless 52.12
require	15.17	Toutinery 25.5	26:1 28:6 30:4	september 1:10
4:11,15,18 5:9,15	responsibility	rug 15:2	32:14,17 34:3	3:4 47:11,17 (4)
17:25 21:6 23:20	15:1	Tug 13.2	35:25 37:5 39:3	3.4 47.11,17 (4)
30:22 42:25 (10)	13.1	rule 6:21 8:12 9:3		series 39:6
30.22 42.23 (10)	waan anaihla	14:10 (4)	(15)	series 39.0
	responsible	14:10 (4)		22.17
required 5:5	14:12 31:3	1.42.1024	sanitation's 44:7	serious 23:17
11:24 12:6	38:10,14 (4)	rules 1:4 3:19,24	25.24	25:10
17:16,21 18:7		4:2,8,10 5:13,22	saw 25:24	
20:20 21:16,18	restaurants	7:7 8:13 16:4		seriously 14:21
23:14 (10)	33:23	17:22,24 23:8,20	say 32:8	37:8
		24:8,13,20,22 25:3		
requirement	result 5:3	26:9,15 27:9	saying 16:18	seriousness 22:12
24:25 43:11,12		28:10 29:2,20		
	resulted 37:14	30:14 31:4 34:8	schedules 14:9	serve 17:4 27:2
requirements		(29)		31:5
3:13 6:5 17:3	retroactively		school 36:25	
18:16,20 22:7,8,16	18:9	rush 18:9	45:13	served 34:13
24:6 25:7 29:25				
39:18 40:2,7	revealed 26:2	saddened 32:12	scope 11:22	service 6:2 32:24
41:5,12 42:24	10,00000 20.2		scope III.	36:22 37:14 (4)
43:7,16 (19)	revenue 22:10	safe 14:9 27:19	second 3:5 9:20	30.22 37.11 (1)
13.7,10 (17)	Tevenue 22.10	Saic 11.5 27.15	20:17 41:16 (4)	services 32:3
requires 16:24	review 7:4 41:3	safer 26:22	20.17 41.10 (4)	33:24
17:6 20:10 28:23	1 CVICW 7.7 71.3	Salei 20.22	secondchance	JJ.27
	revised 19:25	safety 1:4 3:21	33:18	set 3:13 39:9
(4)	revised 19.23		33.10	1
	4. 5.2	6:8,11,14 8:14 9:6	4: 20.5	47:16
requiring 24:22	revocation 5:3	12:12,23 13:3,22	section 20:5	1 4 5 21 6
26:9 29:12 39:12		14:21 15:8,15,16		several 4:5 21:6
(4)	revocations 4:22	16:2,9,19,23 19:1	sections 20:1	
	29:8	21:24 23:11,24		sheets 24:11
requisite 24:2		25:20 26:15 27:5	sector 32:5	26:11
	reynoso 27:23,24	28:17,24 29:3		
resolve 19:10	28:1 31:17 (4)	31:11 33:3,15	sectors 32:17	shifts 14:7
36:1		34:8 37:4 38:24		
	ridgewood 28:4	39:7 44:12,16	see 24:4 25:2	shirking 15:14
resolved 39:20		45:2 (39)		
	risk 16:4 35:10		seeing 25:9 45:20	short 30:23
resources 40:9	43:20	said 21:25		
			seems 19:24	shorthand 47:7
respect 38:18	rivera 1:18 47:7	sake 40:24		
42:23 44:12			sees 13:21	should 20:19
.2.20 / 11.12	robust 26:15	salvador 2:7 7:22	3003 13.21	39:20,21
respectfully	100436 20.13	54174401 2.77.22	selected 27:2	40:7,14,20 41:13
12:17 43:3	role 8:22 12:12	salvage 13:25	Science 27.2	42:2,16,18
14.11 43.3	1010 0.22 12.12	14:4 26:2	selfinsurance	44:21,24 45:7 (13)
respective 39:25	room 3:5	17.7 20.2	11:13 43:20	77.41,47 43.7 (13)
respective 39.23	100111 3.3	solvegels 20.4	11.13 43.20	shut 34:5
		salvage's 30:4		SHUL 34.3

signals 8:20	some 8:24,24	state 5:1,14,20	submissions 7:5	30:25 31:4,8
	15:15 19:24 43:17	7:13 29:14 34:18		32:21 36:2,16 (11)
signature 47:19	(5)	41:6 47:3,8 (9)	submitted 8:7,11	
. 1.7.10	1 10 4		6 1 20 22	systemic 26:2
signed 7:12	sound 12:4	statement	successful 20:23	4-1 14-01-01-10
significant 26:17	sounding 28:13	7:18,19 17:10 47:10 (4)	such 25:11 26:9	take 14:21 21:18 23:24 31:10 43:17
significant 20.17	Sounding 28.13	47.10 (4)	28:22 33:21 45:8	(5)
silent 9:11	speak 7:11,13,15	steadily 35:3	(5)	
Shent 3.11	39:11,19 (5)	steadily 33.3		taken 28:25
similar 39:22		stenographer 8:8	suffer 19:9	29:13 47:10
41:9 42:4	speaker 7:25			
	13:13 16:12 22:21	step 6:11 23:9	suffering 30:1	taking 15:1 29:19
simple 22:10	27:22 31:17 38:5	29:3		
	(7)		suggest 43:3	talked 10:1 34:24
simplified 41:8		steps 6:12 29:19		
simply 20.16.24	special 42:14	31:11	suggested 43:8	targeted 22:13
simply 29:16,24 30:16	specific 8:25	steve 7:25 8:3	44:20	tasked 32:10
30.10	10:16 17:20 21:17	13:12	supermarket	tasked 32.10
since 6:21 9:10	22:15 (5)	15.12	34:18	teamsters
SINCE 0.21 9.10	22.13 (3)	still 15:6,9,10	31.10	13:16,21
situations 25:23	specifically 40:19		supermarkets	
		stop 37:22,24	33:22	technologies
six 32:21 35:4	spell 7:15			44:11
		stopgap 31:5	support 16:23	
sixday 14:7	spending 21:1		18:17,20 21:10,22	teeth 16:2
1 25.24	10.1	storefront 33:22	27:8 (6)	4.11.26.0
sixplus 35:24	spot 19:1	street 3:6	supportive 12:10	tell 36:9
slowly 7:16	ss 47:4	Street 3.0	30:13 38:22	ten 25:1
310 WIY 7.10	33 17.1	streets 27:20	30.13 30.22	ten 23.1
small 14:5 18:21	staff 22:23	28:21 34:9 37:23	supports 8:18	tenders 34:14
19:8 25:16 32:3		(4)	23:7	
36:21 37:16 (7)	stakeholders			testify 7:23 13:18
	12:21 44:3,25	strengthening	sure 12:4 20:15	16:7 28:9 31:14
smallbusiness	1.20.16	37:3	35:17	45:18,21 (7)
33:21	stand 30:16 34:23	26.12		44 :6 20.11
snapshot 41:25	34:23	stress 26:13 30:19	suspending 13:24	testifying 38:11
snapsnot 41.23	standard 10:22	30.19	suspension 5:3	testimony 8:11
software 18:2	12:2 43:13	strongly 23:7	30:3	12:14 38:9
501011410 10.2	12.2 .6.12	30:14 43:23		
sold 43:9	standards 6:1		suspensions 4:22	than 9:14 14:8
	16:2,25 27:6,10	study 18:6 25:13	23:22 29:8	15:2 32:7 36:24
solid 28:6	33:3,9 34:4 37:4	35:24		(5)
	43:7 44:11 45:10		sweeping 15:2	
solution 31:2	(12)	stuyvesant 31:24	41:15	thank 3:10
32:22	start 16:18 17:2	subject 2:24 5:22	symnosia 20:7	13:11,12,17
solutions 37:21	18:13 19:3 (4)	subject 3:24 5:23	symposia 39:7	16:6,8,11 22:18,20 27:20,21,24
SOIUHOHS 3/.41	10.15 15.5 (4)	submission 26:10	system 6:15 23:6	31:14,16,19
solve 36:6	starting 19:23	Sabinission 20.10	24:16 26:21,25	38:3,4,8 45:15

threshold 20:3 7	trade 6:11 15	trying 16:22	unprepared
	9:21 25:7,15 44:5	28:25	25:23
	(6)	turns 9:6	unrealistically
	traffic 4:20 15:13	turns 7.0	25:16
		two 20:8 41:2	23.10
			unreasonably
			23:13
throughout	tragedy 25:24	type 40:25	
34:20	15.16	20 17 20 15	unsafe 25:23
4 2.2 15.12	training 15:16		28:18 29:10,15 (4)
	transariha 7:18	1	unsupportable
	transcribe 7.16	45.15 (0)	35:23
	transcript 47:10	typically 43.9	33.23
10.1,2 (10)	transcript 47.10	typicany 43.5	until 15:22
timeframe 9:16	transformative	ultimately 28:16	unu 15.22
	27:17		up 7:23 15:9
timely 39:12		unacceptable	18:22 19:7 (4)
40:23 43:1	transition 26:20	32:18	, ,
			upcoming 26:20
times 19:11	transparency	unaffordable	27:15
	33:3	35:16	
			update 17:16
24:8			33:3
4- 1 6-24 12-19	39:4		
	tuangnautatianual	42:13 44:7 (7)	updated 33:1 38:18
		undorminos	36.16
33.1 (0)	ateu 43.11		updates 40:18
todav's	trash 23.3	23.20	updates 40.10
	114311 23.3	understand 7:17	upon 40:23
	tremendously		upon voize
·	32:11		upsides 36:17
		understanding	•
together 21:17	trendline 12:13	9:4 43:1	urge 30:14
27:13 44:16			
	tripling 37:15	understood 40:8	us 9:17 16:8
tom 22:20	22.16	10.00	21:14 22:8 36:9
4 1 12.24	troubling 23:16	underwrite 10:23	(5)
took 13:24	4 als 14.22		12.20 17.24
tools 22.8			use 12:20 17:24 42:3,15 (4)
10015 33.0	23.12	14.3	74.5,15 (4)
ton 38·24	trucks 14·17	union 13·19	used 4:23 9:19
10p 50.21		union 13.17	10:7
toscano		unionized 34:16	
16:12,14,15	true 47:9		using 41:11
		unknown 12:15	
toward 29:3	truly 27:16		utmost 28:23
		unnecessary 20:9	
towards 13:8	try 8:9	22:6	vague 21:11 22:7
23:9 31:13			
	time 3:3 15:12 17:23 24:11 26:10 29:23,23 40:9 46:1,2 (10) timeframe 9:16 timely 39:12 40:23 43:1 times 19:11 title 3:19 8:13 24:8 today 6:24 13:18 16:7 28:9 31:15 33:1 (6) today's 3:2,10,12,16,25 5:23 6:10,19 7:3 (9) together 21:17 27:13 44:16 tom 22:20 took 13:24 tools 33:8 top 38:24 toward 29:3	through 6:23 12:25 19:12 29:1,17 30:25 40:17 43:2,18 44:5 45:12,24 (12) throughout 34:20 tragedy 25:24 training 15:16 transcribe 7:18 29:23,23 40:9 46:1,2 (10) timeframe 9:16 times 19:11 transparency 33:3 title 3:19 8:13 24:8 transportation 39:4 transpo	12:25 19:12 29:1,17 30:25 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 45:15 (6) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 44:5 45:12,24 (12) 45:15 (6) 44:5 45:15 (6) 44:5 45:15 (6) 44:5 45:15 (6) 44:4 44:4 44:4 44:4 44:4 44:5 44

	I	I	Ī	1
various 39:13	33:12,16,20 34:17	where 10:20	williamsburg	36:6,20 45:18 (7)
44:2 45:12	35:22 36:2,15	12:13 18:14,17	28:3	
	38:10,14 44:5 (28)	19:18 20:20		wright
vehicle 4:20,23		21:11,14 30:4 (9)	wish 7:11	13:13,15,16,16 (4)
5:17 20:6 22:3	wasting 36:8			,,,(')
29:6,7 (7)	, , usering trave	whereof 47:16	within 9:15 25:1	written 5:11
	watchdog 32:4		41:21 47:8,9 (5)	6:18,20 7:1 8:8,11
vehicles 17:14	Waterland 52.1	whether 24:1	11.21 17.0,5 (5)	21:7 45:24 (8)
32:15 45:14	watching 36:10	40:21	without 15:12	21.7 13.21 (0)
32.13 13.11	watching 50.10	10.21	19:11 26:5 29:25	x 1:2,5
verbatim 8:10	way 18:3 24:17	which 5:25 7:12	36:3,16 (6)	A 1.2,3
verbatiii 6.10	34:11 47:14 (4)	23:23 25:19,24	30.3,10 (0)	years 14:18
very 13:11 17:9	34.11 47.14 (4)	28:7,18,24 30:25	witness 47:16	23:19 26:5 28:11
	21.10	1 1	withess 47.10	
18:4,22 20:23 (5)	ways 31:10	33:6 40:10	14 15 22	32:21 35:4,24
4 15 7	120	43:2,21 (13)	won't 15:23	44:5 (8)
vests 15:7	we're 12:9	1.9. 21.2.12	1 10 4 17	1 26 4
. 421014	. 10.14	while 31:2,12	word 19:4,17	yet 26:4
via 4:2 10:14	we've 12:14	45:5		, , , , , ,
			work 13:7 14:7	york 1:1 2:3
view 33:1	weaponized	who 7:11 14:15	21:17 27:13 28:20	3:8,20 4:3,9
	44:17	15:19 17:4 33:19	31:2 34:13 35:17	8:5,14,18 13:3,20
viewed 22:9		34:13,15 35:5,8	39:24 40:2 44:15	17:5 22:25 23:3
	web 8:16	36:22 37:17,19	(11)	24:9 32:8 34:17
violated 25:8		45:18 (13)		36:13 37:8 39:4
	websites 4:10		workable 13:4	47:3,5,8 (23)
violations 4:21		wholeheartedly		
19:23	weekly 17:19	21:22	workaround	yorker's 35:19
20:3,6,8,13,17,22			12:2	
23:23 25:3,10	weeks 14:7	whose 35:9		yorkers 14:1,15
29:6 (12)			worker 28:17	32:13 38:9,14 (5)
	welcome 3:2	why 10:18,21		
voice 27:7	22:17	15:20	workers 13:20	zone 6:15 15:21
			14:6 25:21 27:19	26:25 36:16 (4)
vulnerable 25:21	well 5:19 20:16	wide 39:17	28:12 31:12	
	22:2 29:7 39:5,14		33:16,18 34:10	zoned 26:20
wage 25:22	44:1 (7)	widespread	36:22 (10)	
0		25:17 26:7		zones 36:11 37:2
wait 32:19 35:23	went 9:25 26:5		working 16:8	
		will 4:11,18	22:18 31:9 35:3	zoning 27:15
waiver 12:1	what 9:6 10:25	5:9,15 6:17,22,25	44:6 (5)	31:1,4
	11:6,22 22:16	7:3,7,10,22	"""	, -
want 9:20 16:18	36:9 39:22 45:1	8:21,24 9:6,7 10:8	works 37:21	813 13:14,17,21
21:15,23 26:13	(8)	11:10,24 15:25	TOTAL JI.ZI	010 10.11,17,21
30:19 33:4 (7)		16:1,3,25 18:24	workshops 40:3	996 33:6,9,14
50.17 55.7 (1)	wheel 22:2	19:14,19 21:4,12	mornshops TO.3	34:12,22 37:20 (6)
war 10:4	WHICH 22.2	22:8,11,12,16	world 10:5	37.12,22 37.20 (0)
wai 10.4	wheels 14:16	23:20 24:13	WULLU 10.5	1010 1:11 3:3
waste 6:12,16 8:6	WHEELS 14.10	26:21,25 29:9	worse 22:11	1010 1.11 3.3
9:21 15:21	when 7:13 17:23	30:16,22 33:7,9,14	WUISC 22.11	1050 46:1,2
		34:4,7 36:1	worthy 41.2	1030 40.1,2
23:6,20 25:8,15	18:14 21:25 23:25	1	worthy 41:2	2014 10.0
26:7,16,21,22	30:8 33:6 34:22	39:19,24 40:2,10	would 17:2.24:4	2014 18:8
28:6,20 30:24	44:14,16 (10)	42:6,7,21 44:23	would 17:2 24:4	2016 25:12
31:1 32:5		45:21,23 (54)	31:1 35:10	2016 25:12
	•	•	•	

2018 1:10 3:4 4:1 47:11,17 (5)		
100000 19:7		
200000 33:19		