### **Summary of Section Ratings**

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2	3	4 4.9

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### **State Accountability Status: Local Assistance Plan**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

# P.S. 230 Dr Roland N. Patterson

## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

### **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	96	-	-
Grade 1	95	-	-
Grade 2	57	-	-
Grade 3	79	58	-
Grade 4	61	75	80
Grade 5	59	66	76
All students	447	199	156

## **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	18%	18%	13%
% Free Lunch Eligible	93%	93%	91%
% Student with IEPs	19%	32%	35%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	15%	24%	24%
% HRA Eligible	-	80%	81%
% Temporary Housing	-	14%	24%
% Asian	0%	1%	2%
% Black	42%	41%	43%
% Hispanic	55%	56%	51%
% White	0%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	3%	3%

**Student Achievement Scoring Appendix** 

09X230 P.S. 230 Dr Roland N. Patterson

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score

					2	2014-15 Target	s			
(	tudent Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
_	tudent Achievement Wethes	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
S	tate Test Results - ELA									
•	Average Student Proficiency	139	2.37	1.90	2.09	2.22	2.36	2.64	4.04	11.11%
•	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	139	20.1%	0.0%	7.8%	13.3%	19.7%	31.7%	4.03	11.11%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	129	70.0	46.1	55.3	63.2	68.8	82.1	4.09	11.11%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	43	86.0	62.9	70.8	77.6	82.3	93.5	4.33	11.11%
	Early Grade Progress			0.14	0.88	1.53	1.99	3.07		0.00%
S	tate Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	148	2.20	1.85	2.12	2.31	2.53	2.90	2.42	11.11%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	148	16.2%	0.0%	9.9%	17.1%	25.2%	40.3%	2.88	11.11%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	135	54.0	37.3	49.4	59.9	67.2	84.6	2.44	11.11%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	47	75.0	55.6	65.5	74.1	80.1	94.4	3.15	11.11%
	Early Grade Progress			0.02	1.08	2.00	2.65	4.22		0.00%
N	1S Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	61	92.7%	75.5%	82.4%	87.3%	93.0%	100.0%	3.95	11.11%
									Weighted Average Score	

							014-15 Target		_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
<ul> <li>Self-Contained</li> </ul>	35	25.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	5	3.6%	18.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	7	5.0%	47.6%	28.6%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	35	23.6%	100.0%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.00	0.030	0.008
Integrated Co-Teaching	5	3.4%	17.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	7	4.7%	45.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	32	24.8%	53.0%	53.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.29	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	59	45.7%	66.0%	61.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.29	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	32	24.8%	62.8%	56.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.03	0.030	0.023
• SC/ICT/SETSS	45	34.9%	88.8%	64.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.43	0.030	0.026
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	34	25.2%	51.7%	38.2%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.42	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	73	54.1%	74.3%	46.6%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.37	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	25.2%	65.6%	47.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.51	0.030	0.019
SC/ICT/SETSS	44	32.6%	83.3%	50.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.88	0.030	0.022
ELL Progress	20	12.8%	32.5%	30.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	

**Overall Student Achievement Score** 

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 230 Dr Roland N. Patterson

09X230

Quality Review 1.1 Quality Review 2.2 Quality Review 3.4 Section Rating:    Section Score:		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 Quality Review 2.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 98% 4.76  Section Rating: Section Score:    Ilaborative Teachers	gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2  NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction  Section Rating:  Section Score:    Developing	Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction  Section Rating:  Section Score:    Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	
Section Rating:   Section Score:	Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	
Company	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	98%	4.76	
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96% 4.52  Section Rating: Section Score:	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96% 4.52  Section Rating: Section Score:	llaborative Teachers			
Section Rating:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Sc		Develoning	2.00	
pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 2.88 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 52.8% 1.24 HS Overall 52.8% 1.24 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.14 2.00 HS Overall 0.14 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Rating: Section Score:  Programily-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.20 Section Rating: Section Score:	·	· -		
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 2.88 Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 52.8% 1.24 HS Overall 52.8% 1.24  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.14 2.00 HS Overall 0.14 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 82% 3.40  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.20  Section Rating: Section Score:	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 2.88 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 52.8% 1.24 HS Overall 52.8% 1.24  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.14 2.00 HS Overall 0.14 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 82% 3.40  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.20  Section Rating: Section Score:				
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 85% 2.88  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 52.8% 1.24  HS Overall 52.8% 1.24  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.14 2.00  HS Overall 0.14 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating: Section Score:	pportive Environment			
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 52.8% 1.24  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS Overall 0.14 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  CONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:  Sectio	·		3.40	
EMS HS Overall 52.8% 1.24  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.14 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating: Section Score:		85%	2.88	
Overall 52.8% 1.24  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.14 2.00  HS Overall 0.14 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 82% 3.40  Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.20  Section Rating: Section Score:				
Overall 52.8% 1.24  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.14 2.00 HS Overall 0.14 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 82% 3.40  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.20  Section Rating: Section Score:		52.8%	1.24	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EM/S  EM/S  Overall  0.14  2.00  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Score:				
environments  EMS HS Overall Outal 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Section Score:  Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:		52.8%	1.24	
EMS HS Overall 0.14 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Rating: Section Score:  Sect				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score:				
Overall 0.14 2.00  Section Rating: Section Score:  Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Scor		0.14	2.00	
Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:				
Fective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating:  Frong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:	Overall	0.14	2.00	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating:  Section Score:  Section Score:	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 82% 3.40  Section Rating: Section Score:  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.20  Section Rating: Section Score:				
Section Rating:  Fong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating:  Section Score:  UST  NYC School Survey - Trust  95%  4.24		020/	2.40	
Prong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating:  Section Score:  UST  NYC School Survey - Trust  95%  4.24	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.20  Section Rating: Section Score:   NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.24	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.20  Section Rating: Section Score:   UST  NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.24	ong Family-Community Ties			
ust  NYC School Survey - Trust  95%  4.24		91%	4.20	
ust  NYC School Survey - Trust  95%  4.24	Section Rating:	Section Sec	۵۰	
NYC School Survey - Trust 95% 4.24	Section Nating.	Section Scot	с.	
Section Rating: Section Score:	NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.24	
	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	



P.S. 230 Dr Roland N. Patterson

				City Range				
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
≀igorou	is Instruction		,	•			•	
• Con	nmon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
<ul><li>Con</li></ul>	nmon Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Cou	irse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	ality of student discussion	Teachers	94	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.82	4.28
	Results:		98%					4.76
`ollaho	rative Teachers							
	tural awareness:							
Cuit	Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
	Cultural awareness					99.3		
	Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9		0.75	
		Students	00	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.00	4.52
	Cultural awareness	Combined	98	04.0	0.4.6	400.0	0.88	4.52
	usive classroom instruction	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
	ality of professional development	Teachers	85	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.69	3.76
	ool commitment	Teachers	98	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.95	4.80
	ovation	Teachers	98	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.94	4.76
	lective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
	r collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
<ul><li>Foci</li></ul>	us on student learning	Teachers	96	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Coll	ective responsibility	Teachers	89	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.67	3.68
ection I	Results:		96%					4.52
	tive Environment							
Safe	•		0.7	00.0	0.4.6	400.0	0.05	
	Safety	Teachers	97	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.85	
	Safety	Students						
				74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	97	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.85	4.40
• Clas	ssroom behavior:						0.85	4.40
Clas	csroom behavior:  Classroom behavior	Teachers	<b>97</b> 77	66.9	85.5	100.0		4.40
Clas	classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Teachers Students	77				0.85	
Clas	csroom behavior:  Classroom behavior	Teachers		66.9	85.5	100.0	0.85	4.40 2.20
	classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Teachers Students	77	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.85	
<ul><li>Soci</li></ul>	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Teachers Students Combined	77 <b>77</b>	66.9 67.3	85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0	0.85 0.30 0.30	2.20
• Soci	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure	Teachers Students Combined Teachers	77 <b>77</b>	66.9 67.3 <b>89.0</b>	85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.85 0.30 0.30	2.20
<ul><li>Soci Peei Nex</li></ul>	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure r interactions	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	77 <b>77</b>	66.9 67.3 <b>89.0</b>	85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.85 0.30 0.30	2.20
<ul><li>Soci Peei Nex</li></ul>	classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure ir interactions ct-level guidance	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	77 <b>77</b>	66.9 67.3 <b>89.0</b>	85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	0.85 0.30 0.30	2.20
<ul><li>Soci Peei Nex</li></ul>	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure ir interactions ct-level guidance ss toward academic achievement:	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students	77 77 95	66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.85 0.30 0.30 0.75	2.20
<ul><li>Soci Peei Nex Pres</li></ul>	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure ir interactions ixt-level guidance ss toward academic achievement:  Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers	77 77 95	66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.85 0.30 0.30 0.75	2.20
<ul><li>Soci Peei Nex Pres</li></ul>	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure ir interactions ct-level guidance ss toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students	77 77 95	66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.85 0.30 0.30 0.75	2.20 4.00
<ul><li>Soci Peei Nex Pres</li><li>O</li><li>Pers</li></ul>	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure ir interactions it-level guidance is toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement academic achievement press toward academic achievement sonal attention and support	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	77 77 95	66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	0.85 0.30 0.30 0.75	2.20 4.00
<ul><li>Soci Peei Nex Pres</li><li>Pers</li></ul>	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure ir interactions ct-level guidance ss toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement sonal attention and support ir support for academic work:	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students	77 77 95 69 69	66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	0.85 0.30 0.30 0.75 0.00	2.20 4.00
<ul><li>Soci Peei Nex Pres</li><li>O</li><li>Pers</li></ul>	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure ir interactions it-level guidance is toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement is onal attention and support ir support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers	77 77 95 69 69	66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5 100.0	0.85 0.30 0.30 0.75 0.00	2.20 4.00
• Soci Peei Nex Pres	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure ir interactions it-level guidance is toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement is onal attention and support ir support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers	77 77 95 69 69	66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5 100.0	0.85 0.30 0.30 0.75 0.00	2.20 4.00
• Soci Peei Nex Pres	Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior ial-emotional measure ir interactions it-level guidance is toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement is onal attention and support ir support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers	77 77 95 69 69	66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5 100.0	0.85 0.30 0.30 0.75 0.00	2.20 4.00

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Cabaal Landonship							
Effective School Leadership				00.0	400.0		2.00
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.42	2.68
Teacher influence	Teachers	58	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.45	2.80
<ul> <li>Program coherence</li> </ul>	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	91	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.76	4.04
Section Results:		82%					3.40
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.77	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	96				0.76	4.04
<ul> <li>Parent involvement in the schools</li> </ul>	Parents	86	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.83	4.32
Section Results:		91%					4.20
Trust							
<ul> <li>Parent-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	92	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.82	4.28
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.93	4.72
Section Results:		95%					4.24

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.37	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.31	2.32 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.1%	7.4% or lower	7.5% to 11.7%	11.8% to 15.0%	15.1% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.20	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.37	2.38 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.82	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	16.2%	9.6% or lower	9.7% to 15.2%	15.3% to 19.4%	19.5% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	92.7%	84.7% or lower	84.8% to 88.6%	88.7% to 91.5%	91.6% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.85	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.99	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.07	2.08 or higher
SETSS	2.65	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
ELL	2.23	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.86	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.80	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.15	2.16 or higher
SETSS	2.04	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
ELL	2.02	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	30.0%	38.9% or lower	39.0% to 48.8%	48.9% to 56.4%	56.5% or higher

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	52.8%	62.8% or lower	62.9% to 69.6%	69.7% to 74.8%	74.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.14	0.17 or lower	0.18 to 0.28	0.29 to 0.36	0.37 or higher			