
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	92	102	80
Grade 1	95	89	100
Grade 2	85	82	92
Grade 3	103	94	84
Grade 4	104	97	98
Grade 5	101	92	104
All students	580	556	594

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	1%	2%
% Free Lunch Eligible	70%	70%	72%
% Student with IEPs	26%	28%	25%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	15%	15%	14%
% HRA Eligible	-	49%	44%
% Temporary Housing	-	14%	14%
% Asian	3%	2%	3%
% Black	88%	88%	88%
% Hispanic	7%	7%	7%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

28Q080

PS 80 The Thurgood Marshall Magnet School of Multimedia and Communication

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.24

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Ctudout Achievement Matrice		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	269	2.26	2.00	2.20	2.32	2.46	2.73	2.50	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	269	14.5%	3.5%	12.3%	18.3%	25.1%	36.6%	2.37	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	173	62.0	44.0	52.9	60.6	66.0	78.8	3.26	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	60	81.0	54.6	64.4	72.9	78.8	92.9	4.16	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	82	1.61	0.46	1.10	1.65	2.04	3.00	2.93	9.09%
tate Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	267	2.45	1.94	2.24	2.44	2.67	3.04	3.04	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	267	24.3%	0.3%	13.4%	22.8%	33.5%	49.2%	3.14	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	170	59.5	37.1	48.9	59.2	66.3	83.3	3.04	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	61	70.0	53.0	63.0	71.7	77.8	92.3	2.80	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	81	2.13	0.02	1.16	2.16	2.85	4.52	2.97	9.09%
1S Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	76	91.4%	77.9%	84.2%	88.6%	93.7%	100.0%	3.55	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.07

						2	014-15 Target	S				
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	47	17.5%	86.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	15	5.6%	28.4%	6.7%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.07	0.030	0.016
O SETSS	10	3.7%	35.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	47	17.6%	87.6%	6.4%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.55	0.030	0.019
Integrated Co-Teaching	15	5.6%	28.6%	26.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.65	0.030	0.027
• SETSS	10	3.7%	35.6%	20.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.28	0.030	0.025
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	5	2.9%	6.2%	60.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	74	42.8%	61.7%	47.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.02	0.030	0.015
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	19.7%	49.9%	44.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.81	0.030	0.014
SC/ICT/SETSS	48	27.7%	68.2%	43.8%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.90	0.030	0.014
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	5	2.9%	6.0%	40.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	78	45.9%	63.0%	39.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.70	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	42	24.7%	64.3%	38.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.74	0.030	0.013
SC/ICT/SETSS	47	27.6%	68.9%	36.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.61	0.030	0.012
ELL Progress	11	2.0%	5.1%	63.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
									CtAG Additional Points			0.17
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.24

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 080 Thurgood Marshall Magnet

28Q080

Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 75% 1.76 50% 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Section Rating: Approaching Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 1.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.4% 3.56 35% Overall 78.4% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.20 2.55 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 22% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 90% 3.40 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Iaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 75% 1.76 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Sportive Environment 2.60 3.40 30% AVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 1.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.4% 3.56 30% HS 0.20 2.55 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8.6 3.0% EMS 0.20 2.55 5% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8.6 2.12 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 2.12 <td>orous Instruction</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 90% 3.40 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Illaborative Teachers Coulsily Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Poportive Environment 2.60 Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 1.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 3.56 30% HS 3.56 30% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8.2 3.56 30% EMS 0.20 2.55 5% Overall 0.20 2.55 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: <td></td> <td>Proficient</td> <td>3.40</td> <td>22%</td>		Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 90% 3.40 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.08 Compositive Teachers Compositive T	Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 75% 1.76 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	90%	3.40	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 75% 1.76 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 1.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 1.76 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78.4% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environment 5 US 1.55 US 1.56 US 1.55 US 1.56 US 1.5	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 75% 1.76 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 1.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.4% 3.56 BY Overall 78.4% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.20 2.55 HS 0.20 2.55 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 Section Score: 2.84 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 67% 2.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00	llaborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 75% 1.76 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 1.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.4% 3.56 BY Overall 78.4% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.20 2.55 HS Overall 0.20 2.55 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00	Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 1.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.4% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.20 2.55 EMS Overall 0.20 2.55 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00	·	75%	1.76	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 1.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.4% 3.56 30% Overall 78.4% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.20 2.55 5% 5% Overall 0.20 2.55 5% Over	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 1.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.4% 3.56 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78.4% 3.56 30% NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 30% NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 30% NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 30% NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 30% NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00	oportive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78% 1.76 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS Overall 78.4% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.20 2.55 HS Overall 0.20 2.55 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 ECTIVE School Survey - Effective School Leadership 67% 2.12 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00		Proficient	3.40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 78.4% 3.56 Whovement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.20 EMS Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00	·			
Overall 78.4% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.20 2.55 HS Overall 0.20 2.55 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 67% 2.12 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target 80% 2.20 100%	Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS			
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.20 2.55 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 67% 2.12 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00				
environments EMS HS Overall 0.20 2.55 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00		78.4%	3.56	30%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 85% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00	environments			
Overall 0.20 2.55 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.84 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 67% 2.12 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00		0.20	2.55	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Score: 3.00 Ist NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100%		0.20	2.55	5%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 67% 2.12 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 67% 2.12 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.12 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100%	ective School Leadership			
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100%	•	67%	2.12	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.12	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.00 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100%		85%	3.00	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 80% 2.20 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	
	ust			
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.20	NYC School Survey - Trust	80%	2.20	100%
	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.20	



P.S. 080 Thurgood Marshall Magnet

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigoro	ous Instruction			•	, .		•	
• Cc	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
• Cc	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	96	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Co	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	uality of student discussion	Teachers	78	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.31	2.24
	n Results:		90%					3.40
`ollab	orative Teachers							
	ultural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	89	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.25	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	93	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Students	33	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	91	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.38	2.52
In	clusive classroom instruction	Teachers	85	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.38	2.00
	uality of professional development	Teachers	58	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.23	1.56
	chool commitment	Teachers	63	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.14	1.28
	novation	Teachers	68	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.07	1.00
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	91	70.3 87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
	eer collaboration	Teachers	83	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.24	1.96
	ocus on student learning	Teachers	70	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.06	1.96
		Teachers	69	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.10	1.40
	ollective responsibility n Results:	reactiers	75%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.10	1.40
	ortive Environment offety:							
	Safety	Teachers	75	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
0	Safety	Combined	75				0.00	1.00
Cla	assroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	62	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.00	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
0	Classroom behavior	Combined	62				0.00	1.00
So	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	92	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Pe	eer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Ne	ext-level guidance	Students						
Pr	ess toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	80	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.21	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
0	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	80				0.21	1.84
Pe	ersonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Pe	eer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	75	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.00	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	90	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	82				0.25	2.00
ection	n Results:		78%					1.76

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

P.S. 080 Thurgood Marshall Magnet

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.66	3.64
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	43	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.22	1.88
Program coherence	Teachers	63	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.07	1.28
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	67	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.15	1.60
Section Results:		67%					2.12
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	88	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.52	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.39	2.56
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	79	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.60	3.40
Section Results:		85%					3.00
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	55	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	76	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.07	1.28
Section Results:		80%					2.20

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.26	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.55	2.56 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.5%	19.5% or lower	19.6% to 25.0%	25.1% to 29.2%	29.3% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.45	2.47 or lower	2.48 to 2.62	2.63 to 2.74	2.75 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.98	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	24.3%	25.6% or lower	25.7% to 32.8%	32.9% to 38.3%	38.4% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	91.4%	86.1% or lower	86.2% to 89.6%	89.7% to 92.3%	92.4% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.79	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.19	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
SETSS	1.97	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.18	2.19 or higher			
ELL	1.96	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.50	2.51 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.05	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.41	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.44	2.45 or higher			
SETSS	2.33	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
ELL	2.45	2.42 or lower	2.43 to 2.61	2.62 to 2.76	2.77 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
ELL Progress	63.6%	44.3% or lower	44.4% to 54.3%	54.4% to 61.9%	62.0% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	78.4%	72.6% or lower	72.7% to 79.5%	79.6% to 84.6%	84.7% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.20	0.21 or lower	0.22 to 0.32	0.33 to 0.40	0.41 or higher			