2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target		2.48		
Collaborative Teachers	Approaching Target		2.36		
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.48		
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.40		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.08	
Trust	Approaching Target			2.96	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.8	1	
		1	2 3	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

J.H.S. 145 Arturo Toscanini

2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 5	7	-	-
Grade 6	135	103	116
Grade 7	165	136	108
Grade 8	144	179	144
All students	451	418	368

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	35%	33%	33%
% Free Lunch Eligible	86%	86%	86%
% Student with IEPs	20%	21%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	13%	7%	14%
% HRA Eligible	-	80%	74%
% Temporary Housing	-	17%	21%
% Asian	2%	1%	1%
% Black	33%	33%	31%
% Hispanic	64%	64%	68%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	0%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.55	2.23	2.21
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.76	2.27	2.24

09X145 J.H.S. 145 Arturo Toscanini

2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating Approaching Target	Student Achievement Score 2.81									
	2.01									
					-	2014-15 Targets				
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		318	2.09	1.91	2.07	2.16	2.25	2.52	2.22	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		318	5.3%	1.0%	5.2%	8.2%	11.5%	19.8%	2.03	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		269	67.0	49.9	54.8	61.8	66.4	74.8	4.07	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		92	81.0	65.1	70.2	77.4	82.3	90.9	3.73	9.80%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		346	1.98	1.80	2.00	2.11	2.24	2.57	1.90	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		346	3.5%	0.0%	4.4%	7.7%	11.4%	20.9%	1.80	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		298	59.0	42.0	48.6	58.1	64.5	76.0	3.14	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		120	69.5	58.7	64.5	72.8	78.4	88.3	2.60	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates										
ELA		339	74.3%	54.9%	68.4%	77.7%	88.1%	100.0%	2.63	1.96%
Math		339	71.4%	57.0%	69.7%	78.6%	88.6%	100.0%	2.19	1.96%
Science		339	85.3%	57.7%	70.3%	79.1%	88.8%	100.0%	3.64	1.96%
Social Studies		339	76.7%	52.9%	66.9%	76.6%	87.6%	100.0%	3.01	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit		133	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	11.1%	16.3%	26.9%	1.00	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		147	82.0%	65.0%	74.0%	80.0%	87.0%	96.0%	3.29	9.80%
									Weighted Average Score	2.69

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	52	16.4%	85.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	10	3.1%	17.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	21	6.6%	71.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	52	15.0%	79.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	9	2.6%	14.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	22	6.4%	69.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	104	38.7%	86.6%	37.5%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%	3.08	0.030	0.016
Lowest Third Citywide	162	60.2%	78.1%	44.4%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	2.64	0.030	0.012
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	96	35.7%	79.9%	45.8%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	2.95	0.030	0.015
SC/ICT/SETSS	76	28.3%	69.6%	47.4%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	2.57	0.030	0.012
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	129	43.3%	89.3%	34.9%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	3.16	0.030	0.016
Lowest Third Citywide	218	73.2%	90.3%	41.3%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	2.75	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	119	39.9%	89.7%	40.3%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	2.65	0.030	0.012
SC/ICT/SETSS	78	26.2%	64.6%	38.5%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	2.47	0.030	0.011
ELL Progress	118	32.1%	88.9%	30.5%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%	2.68	0.030	0.013
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.12
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	2.81

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

09X145 J.H.S. 145 Arturo Toscanini

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
09X145
```

J.H.S. 145 Arturo Toscanini

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	86%	3.36	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.48	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	81%	2.68	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.36	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Developing	2.00	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	89%	3.76	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	57.1%	1.52	
HS			
Overall	57.1%	1.52	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.18	2.06	
HS			
Overall	0.18	2.06	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.48	
ctive School Leadership	70%	2.40	1000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	72%	2.40	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.40	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	79%	3.08	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
שבנוסה המנווצ. שכבנווצ ומוצפו	Section Score:	3.00	
st	0.57	2.00	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	86%	2.96	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
Section Nating. Approaching raiger	Section Store:	2.50	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
09X145
J.H.S. 145 Arturo Toscanini
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			-				
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.71	3.84
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	82	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.42	2.68
Course clarity	Students	96	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.85	4.40
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	72	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.39	2.56
Section Results:		86%					3.36
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.77	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	90	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.75	
	Combined	92	70.0	84.Z	97.8	0.78	4.08
 Cultural awareness Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	98	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.89	4.08
	Teachers	80	54.0	95.5 77.4	100.0	0.89	3.28
Quality of professional development School commitment	Teachers	73	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.37	2.32
	Teachers	73	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.33	1.84
	Teachers	97	86.6	95.8	100.0	0.21	4.00
	Teachers	80	76.7	95.8	100.0	0.73	1.48
 Peer collaboration Focus on student learning 	Teachers	71	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.12	1.40
 Collective responsibility 	Teachers	59	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.03	1.40
Section Results:	reachers	81%	57.5	02.5	100.0	0.05	2.68
		01/0					2.00
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	87	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.63	
Safety	Combined	87				0.63	3.52
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	86	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.73	
Classroom behavior	Combined	86				0.73	3.92
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	95	84.7	95.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students	89	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.81	4.24
Next-level guidance	Students	91	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.62	3.48
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	91	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.68	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	91				0.68	3.72
Personal attention and support	Students	91	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.74	3.96
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	89	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.52	
Peer support for academic work	Students	72	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.63	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	80				0.57	3.28
Section Results:		89%					3.76

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
09X145
J.H.S. 145 Arturo Toscanini
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.52	3.08
• Teacher influence	Teachers	41	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.10	1.40
Program coherence	Teachers	72	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.29	2.16
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	83	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.47	2.88
Section Results:		72%					2.40
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	90	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.51	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	68	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.54	3.16
Section Results:		79%					3.08
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	89	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.75	4.00
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	79	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.44	2.76
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	74	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.01	1.04
Section Results:		86%					2.96

2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
State Test Results - ELA*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.09	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.15	2.16 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.80	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	5.3%	4.9% or lower	5.0% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher				
tate Test Results - Math*									
Average Student Proficiency	1.98	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.19	2.20 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.76	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	3.5%	5.3% or lower	5.4% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher				
ore Course Pass Rates									
ELA	74.3%	77.6% or lower	77.7% to 82.8%	82.9% to 87.1%	87.2% or higher				
Math	71.4%	77.9% or lower	78.0% to 83.1%	83.2% to 87.3%	87.4% or higher				
Science	85.3%	80.0% or lower	80.1% to 84.7%	84.8% to 88.5%	88.6% or higher				
Social Studies	76.7%	75.6% or lower	75.7% to 81.3%	81.4% to 86.0%	86.1% or higher				
ercent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%	7.4% or lower	7.5% to 11.6%	11.7% to 15.1%	15.2% or higher				
h Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	82.0%	73.9% or lower	74.0% to 78.9%	79.0% to 81.9%	82.0% or higher				
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets					
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
A - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	1.76	1.71 or lower	1.72 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.94	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher				
SETSS	1.92	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.13	2.14 or higher				
ELL	1.96	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.04	2.05 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
lath - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	1.72	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.77	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.02	2.03 or higher				
SETSS	1.85	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 or higher				
ELL	1.91	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.15	2.16 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	1.82	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.80	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
LL Progress	30.5%	30.6% or lower	30.7% to 40.4%	40.5% to 48.4%	48.5% or higher				

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	57.1%	62.7% or lower	62.8% to 68.6%	68.7% to 73.4%	73.5% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.18	0.18 or lower	0.19 to 0.28	0.29 to 0.37	0.38 or higher			

* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

09X145

са	r	۱	I	ľ	۱	I