
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 35 The Clove Valley School

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	72	61	77
Grade 1	52	76	60
Grade 2	57	53	75
Grade 3	59	59	51
Grade 4	62	60	59
Grade 5	47	60	57
All students	349	369	379

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	4%	3%	2%
% Free Lunch Eligible	30%	30%	31%
% Student with IEPs	19%	19%	18%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	1%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	22%	23%
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	2%
% Asian	7%	5%	8%
% Black	10%	8%	8%
% Hispanic	22%	24%	22%
% White	59%	61%	59%
% Other	0%	2%	3%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

31R035 P.S. 35 The Clove Valley School

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.93

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	165	3.13	2.40	2.71	2.88	3.08	3.31	4.22	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	165	62.4%	23.5%	37.6%	46.6%	56.8%	68.7%	4.47	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	111	69.0	49.2	57.3	64.4	69.3	81.1	3.94	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	40	75.5	54.4	62.8	70.2	75.4	87.7	4.01	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	51	2.04	1.12	1.75	2.24	2.58	3.41	2.59	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	165	3.32	2.49	2.88	3.09	3.34	3.65	3.92	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	165	66.1%	27.0%	43.9%	54.4%	66.3%	80.4%	3.98	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	110	73.0	43.2	53.6	62.6	68.9	83.8	4.28	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	37	81.0	51.3	60.8	69.0	74.7	88.4	4.46	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	51	2.32	0.92	1.83	2.53	3.02	4.26	2.70	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	50	95.1%	87.1%	92.4%	94.5%	97.0%	100.0%	3.24	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.80

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	11	6.7%	33.0%	18.2%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	8	4.8%	24.4%	25.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	6	3.6%	34.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	11	6.7%	33.3%	36.4%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	8	4.8%	24.5%	12.5%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	6	3.6%	34.6%	33.3%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	6	5.4%	11.5%	33.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	9	8.1%	9.7%	77.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1	0.9%	2.3%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	17	15.3%	32.7%	47.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.23	0.030	0.017
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	6	5.5%	11.3%	50.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	10	9.1%	12.5%	70.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	1.8%	4.7%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
• SC/ICT/SETSS	17	15.5%	34.0%	52.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.06	0.030	0.023
ELL Progress	8	2.1%	5.3%	62.5%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.13
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.93

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

31R035

DС	35 The	Clovo	Valloy	School
P.S.	35 me	ciove	vallev	SCHOOL

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient .	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	99%	4.88	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	96%	4.36	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.68	
ipportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	99%	4.68	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	86.2%	2.76	
HS			
Overall	86.2%	2.76	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	1.21	4.99	
HS			
Overall	1.21	4.99	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
Could's raining. Executing rainger		0	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	85%	3.72	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	87%	3.44	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
r ust NYC School Survey - Trust	96%	4.24	100%
	3070		100/0
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	



			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		Julvey /0 Fositive	bottom of Kange	City Avg	Top of hange	reitellt of halige	30016
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students	100	84.3	92.7	100.0	1.00	7.55
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	97	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.90	4.60
Section Results:	reactiers	99%	00.7	63.3	100.0	0.90	4.88
Section Results.		99%					4.00
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.83	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness		95				0.50	
Cultural awareness Cultural awareness	Students Combined	95	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.66	3.64
Inclusive classroom instruction			017	94.6	100.0		
	Teachers	98	84.2		100.0	0.84	4.36
Quality of professional development	Teachers	87	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.73	3.92
School commitment	Teachers	94	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.85	4.40
• Innovation	Teachers	99	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.97	4.88
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.95	4.80
Focus on student learning	Teachers	97	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.91	4.64
Collective responsibility	Teachers	96	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.89	4.56
Section Results:		96%					4.36
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	100	7 110	33.3	_00.0	1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:	Combined	100				1.00	1.55
Classroom behavior	Teachers	99	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.98	
Classroom behavior	Students	33	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.50	
Classroom behavior	Combined	99	07.5	04.3	100.0	0.98	4.92
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	95	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.92
Peer interactions	Students	33	68.2	84.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	04.0	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	100	75.0	00 0	100.0	1.00	
Press toward academic achievement		100		88.8		1.00	
	Students	100	85.3	91.9	98.5	1.00	4.00
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	100	77.0	00.6	400.0	1.00	4.99
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	-	400		61.7	400.0	4.00	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.77	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	99				0.88	4.52
Section Results:		99%					4.68

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.66	3.64
Teacher influence	Teachers	68	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.61	3.44
Program coherence	Teachers	86	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.64	3.56
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.82	4.28
Section Results:	. 60.61.61.6	85%	<u> </u>	00		0.0_	3.72
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.76	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.60	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.68	3.72
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	78	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.54	3.16
Section Results:		87%					3.44
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	92	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.56	3.24
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	98	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.96	4.84
Section Results:		96%					4.24

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.13	2.76 or lower	2.77 to 2.87	2.88 to 2.95	2.96 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.63	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.40	2.41 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	62.4%	40.5% or lower	40.6% to 46.0%	46.1% to 50.1%	50.2% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.32	2.84 or lower	2.85 to 2.99	3.00 to 3.11	3.12 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.74	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	66.1%	43.8% or lower	43.9% to 51.0%	51.1% to 56.5%	56.6% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.1%	88.7% or lower	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% to 93.7%	93.8% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.38	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.46	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.33	2.34 or higher		
SETSS	2.24	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
ELL	2.41	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.44	2.45 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.38	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.67	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.28	2.29 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.56	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.46	2.47 to 2.59	2.60 or higher		
SETSS	2.47	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
ELL	2.63	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.58	2.59 to 2.72	2.73 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.39	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
ELL Progress	62.5%	35.9% or lower	36.0% to 45.9%	46.0% to 53.5%	53.6% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	86.2%	78.4% or lower	78.5% to 83.9%	84.0% to 88.0%	88.1% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	1.21	0.20 or lower	0.21 to 0.31	0.32 to 0.39	0.40 or higher			