2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target		2.52		
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.08	
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.12	
Effective School Leadership	Not Meeting Target	1.8	84		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.12		
Trust	Approaching Target		2.72	2	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.45		
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	84	75	96
Grade 1	73	82	81
Grade 2	64	74	63
Grade 3	63	74	68
Grade 4	68	61	70
Grade 5	49	73	58
Grade 6	72	44	-
All students	491	501	485

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	14%	12%	14%
% Free Lunch Eligible	87%	87%	90%
% Student with IEPs	18%	21%	21%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	11%	11%	11%
% HRA Eligible	-	70%	62%
% Temporary Housing	-	25%	24%
% Asian	2%	1%	2%
% Black	11%	11%	12%
% Hispanic	84%	85%	84%
% White	3%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	0%

10X360 P.S. 360



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.45									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA	_									
Average Student Proficiency		186	2.22	1.94	2.14	2.27	2.42	2.69	2.62	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		186	16.1%	0.8%	9.1%	15.1%	21.8%	33.8%	3.15	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		115	50.0	45.7	54.7	62.4	67.8	80.6	1.48	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thi	rd	42	68.0	60.7	68.9	76.0	80.9	92.6	1.89	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		64	2.02	0.39	1.04	1.61	2.01	2.99	4.01	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		183	2.13	1.92	2.18	2.36	2.56	2.92	1.81	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		183	12.6%	0.5%	11.8%	19.8%	29.0%	44.2%	2.10	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		114	40.0	36.3	48.2	58.6	65.8	82.9	1.31	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thi	rd	38	61.0	54.6	64.2	72.5	78.3	92.1	1.67	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		63	1.60	0.19	1.20	2.08	2.70	4.20	2.45	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		40	88.3%	73.6%	81.0%	86.4%	92.4%	100.0%	3.32	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.35

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4	22	· - - • /			0.00/		4.004	a a (0.000
• Self-Contained	33	17.7%	87.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	1	0.5%	2.5%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	9	4.8%	45.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	30	16.4%	81.6%	3.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.17	0.030	0.009
Integrated Co-Teaching	1	0.5%	2.6%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
o setss	9	4.9%	47.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	23	20.0%	42.7%	26.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.01	0.030	0.008
• Lowest Third Citywide	56	48.7%	70.5%	35.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.71	0.030	0.005
• Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	29.6%	74.9%	35.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.93	0.030	0.007
SC/ICT/SETSS	28	24.3%	58.5%	42.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.81	0.030	0.014
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
O ELL	23	20.2%	41.5%	13.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	1.36	0.030	0.003
• Lowest Third Citywide	68	59.6%	81.9%	26.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.55	0.030	0.004
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	32	28.1%	73.2%	31.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.15	0.030	0.009
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	23.7%	57.6%	37.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.69	0.030	0.013
ELL Progress	60	13.8%	35.0%	71.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.28	0.030	0.025
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.10
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.45

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

10X360

P.S. 360

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	2.68	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.52	
Ilaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	82%	2.72	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	90%	3.04	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	68.8%	3.12	
HS			
Overall	68.8%	3.12	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.16	2.18	
HS			
Overall	0.16	2.18	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	67%	1.84	100%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.84	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	79%	2.12	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.12	
ust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	86%	2.72	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
0			

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

10X360

P.S. 360

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		Survey /s roshire	bottom of hunge	city Aig	rop of hunge	r creent of hange	50010
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	88	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	2.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.57	3.28
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	83	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		88%					2.68
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	91	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	91	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	90	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	61	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.19	1.76
School commitment	Teachers	82	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20
Innovation	Teachers	79	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.29	2.16
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	87	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.40	2.60
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	75	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.23	1.92
• Collective responsibility	Teachers	73	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.21	1.84
Section Results:		82%					2.72
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	87	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.34	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	87				0.34	2.36
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	90	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.69	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	90				0.69	3.76
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	94	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	89	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.57	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	89				0.57	3.28
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	86	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.40	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	89				0.45	2.80
Section Results:		90%					3.04

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
•	Doronto	70	70.2	00.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	78	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.00	1.00
• Teacher influence	Teachers	43	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.23	1.92
Program coherence	Teachers	72	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.30	2.20
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	73	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.29	2.16
Section Results:		67%					1.84
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	87	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	85	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	86				0.25	2.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	71	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.30	2.20
Section Results:		79%					2.12
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	90	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	82	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	77	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.48	2.92
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:		86%					2.72

10X360 P.S. 360

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
tate Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.22	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.36	2.37 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.82	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	16.1%	12.2% or lower	12.3% to 17.7%	17.8% to 21.9%	22.0% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.13	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.53	2.54 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.74	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	12.6%	17.0% or lower	17.1% to 24.3%	24.4% to 29.8%	29.9% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	88.3%	86.0% or lower	86.1% to 89.5%	89.6% to 92.2%	92.3% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.77	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 or higher
SETSS	1.97	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
ELL	2.19	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.78	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.70	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.33	2.34 or higher
SETSS	1.82	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 or higher
ELL	2.11	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.45	2.46 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.80	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.78	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
LL Progress	71.7%	46.6% or lower	46.7% to 56.5%	56.6% to 64.1%	64.2% or higher

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	68.8%	68.3% or lower	68.4% to 75.1%	75.2% to 80.3%	80.4% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.16	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.33	0.34 or higher		