


**Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

**State Accountability Status: Good Standing**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

**Note**

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

### Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	93	78	57
Grade 7	112	104	78
Grade 8	110	109	92
<b>All students</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>227</b>

### Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	5%	5%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	88%	88%	85%
% Student with IEPs	30%	29%	33%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	6%	8%	13%
% HRA Eligible	-	71%	68%
% Temporary Housing	-	22%	21%
% Asian	2%	3%	3%
% Black	74%	76%	71%
% Hispanic	18%	16%	16%
% White	1%	2%	4%
% Other	0%	2%	7%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.64	2.39	2.39
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.99	2.52	2.47

2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS  
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

16K267  
M.S. 267 Math, Science & Technology

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
<b>Exceeding Target</b>	<b>4.16</b>

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
<b>State Test Results - ELA</b>									
● Average Student Proficiency	211	<b>2.43</b>	1.94	2.14	2.24	2.36	2.62	<b>4.27</b>	9.80%
● Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	211	<b>22.3%</b>	0.8%	6.9%	11.4%	16.4%	24.7%	<b>4.71</b>	9.80%
● Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	201	<b>68.0</b>	48.7	54.1	62.0	67.2	76.4	<b>4.09</b>	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	74	<b>78.5</b>	65.9	70.8	77.7	82.3	90.5	<b>3.17</b>	9.80%
<b>State Test Results - Math</b>									
● Average Student Proficiency	211	<b>2.42</b>	1.72	2.02	2.22	2.45	2.79	<b>3.87</b>	9.80%
● Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	211	<b>22.7%</b>	0.0%	6.9%	12.1%	17.9%	27.2%	<b>4.52</b>	9.80%
● Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	200	<b>73.0</b>	37.6	46.0	58.1	66.1	80.4	<b>4.48</b>	9.80%
● Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	71	<b>82.0</b>	57.6	64.0	73.2	79.3	90.2	<b>4.25</b>	9.80%
<b>Core Course Pass Rates</b>									
○ ELA	207	<b>80.2%</b>	60.8%	72.0%	80.3%	89.5%	100.0%	<b>2.99</b>	1.96%
● Math	207	<b>89.9%</b>	61.8%	72.7%	80.8%	89.8%	100.0%	<b>4.01</b>	1.96%
○ Science	207	<b>88.4%</b>	63.7%	74.1%	81.7%	90.3%	100.0%	<b>3.78</b>	1.96%
○ Social Studies	207	<b>83.1%</b>	57.8%	69.9%	78.8%	88.7%	100.0%	<b>3.43</b>	1.96%
○ Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	84	<b>0.0%</b>	0.0%	9.3%	16.2%	23.9%	34.7%	<b>1.00</b>	3.92%
○ 9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	99	<b>78.0%</b>	59.0%	70.0%	78.0%	87.0%	97.0%	<b>3.00</b>	9.80%
								<b>Weighted Average Score</b>	<b>3.88</b>

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
<b>ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4</b>												
● Self-Contained	35	16.6%	86.0%	<b>5.7%</b>	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	<b>4.99</b>	0.030	0.030
● Integrated Co-Teaching	25	11.8%	64.8%	<b>8.0%</b>	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	<b>4.88</b>	0.030	0.029
● SETSS	8	3.8%	40.9%	<b>12.5%</b>	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	<b>4.80</b>	0.030	0.029
<b>Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4</b>												
● Self-Contained	35	16.6%	87.8%	<b>2.9%</b>	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	<b>4.40</b>	0.030	0.026
● Integrated Co-Teaching	25	11.8%	65.2%	<b>4.0%</b>	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	<b>2.67</b>	0.030	0.013
● SETSS	9	4.3%	46.7%	<b>11.1%</b>	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	<b>4.10</b>	0.030	0.023
<b>ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile</b>												
○ ELL	12	6.0%	13.4%	<b>58.3%</b>	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%		0.030	0.000
○ Lowest Third Citywide	78	38.8%	48.4%	<b>52.6%</b>	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	<b>3.59</b>	0.030	0.019
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	43	21.4%	47.9%	<b>51.2%</b>	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	<b>3.52</b>	0.030	0.019
○ SC/ICT/SETSS	64	31.8%	80.2%	<b>56.3%</b>	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	<b>3.60</b>	0.030	0.020
<b>Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile</b>												
○ ELL	12	6.0%	12.4%	<b>75.0%</b>	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
○ Lowest Third Citywide	101	50.5%	62.1%	<b>51.5%</b>	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	<b>3.70</b>	0.030	0.020
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	52	26.0%	58.4%	<b>59.6%</b>	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	<b>4.22</b>	0.030	0.024
○ SC/ICT/SETSS	64	32.0%	82.5%	<b>64.1%</b>	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	<b>4.61</b>	0.030	0.027
○ ELL Progress	11	4.9%	13.6%	<b>36.4%</b>	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
											<b>CtAG Additional Points</b>	<b>0.28</b>
											<b>Overall Student Achievement Score</b>	<b>4.16</b>

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	97%	4.48	34%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.76</b>	

<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	3.96	50%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.68</b>	

<b>Supportive Environment</b>			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	86%	3.40	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	54.4%	1.60	
HS			
Overall	54.4%	1.60	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.42	3.40	
HS			
Overall	0.42	3.40	5%
<b>Section Rating: Approaching Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>2.88</b>	

<b>Effective School Leadership</b>			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	92%	4.08	100%
<b>Section Rating: Exceeding Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>4.08</b>	

<b>Strong Family-Community Ties</b>			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	80%	3.48	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.48</b>	

<b>Trust</b>			
NYC School Survey - Trust	91%	3.56	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.56</b>	

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>							
• Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	79.4	91.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
• Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	68.9	87.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students	90	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.53	3.12
• Quality of student discussion	Teachers	98	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.95	4.80
<b>Section Results:</b>		<b>97%</b>					<b>4.48</b>
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	87	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.25	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.52	
Cultural awareness	Students	88	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.63	
Cultural awareness	Combined	90				0.47	2.88
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	89	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.42	2.68
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.64	3.56
School commitment	Teachers	88	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.71	3.84
• Innovation	Teachers	95	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
• Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	86.6	95.8	100.0	0.85	4.40
• Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.93	4.72
• Focus on student learning	Teachers	95	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.84	4.36
• Collective responsibility	Teachers	97	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.94	4.76
<b>Section Results:</b>		<b>93%</b>					<b>3.96</b>
<b>Supportive Environment</b>							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	84	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.55	
Safety	Combined	84				0.55	3.20
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	81	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.55	
Classroom behavior	Combined	81				0.55	3.20
• Social-emotional measure	Teachers	98	84.7	95.3	100.0	0.90	4.60
Peer interactions	Students	79	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.42	2.68
Next-level guidance	Students	93	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.69	3.76
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	88	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.49	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.49	2.96
Personal attention and support	Students	90	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.68	3.72
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	87	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.42	
Peer support for academic work	Students	69	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.57	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	78				0.50	3.00
<b>Section Results:</b>		<b>86%</b>					<b>3.40</b>

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
<b>Effective School Leadership</b>							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.50	3.00
• Teacher influence	Teachers	84	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.76	4.04
• Program coherence	Teachers	94	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.85	4.40
• Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	99	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
<b>Section Results:</b>		92%					<b>4.08</b>
<b>Strong Family Community Ties</b>							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.98	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.64	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.81	4.24
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	64	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.43	2.72
<b>Section Results:</b>		80%					<b>3.48</b>
<b>Trust</b>							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
• Parent-principal trust	Parents	96	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	81	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.47	2.88
• Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	93	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.82	4.28
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.66	3.64
<b>Section Results:</b>		91%					<b>3.56</b>

2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS  
Targets for 2015-16

16K267

M.S. 267 Math, Science & Technology

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>State Test Results - ELA*</b>					
Average Student Proficiency	2.43	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.99	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	22.3%	7.2% or lower	7.3% to 10.9%	11.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher
<b>State Test Results - Math*</b>					
Average Student Proficiency	2.42	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	22.7%	5.9% or lower	6.0% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher
<b>Core Course Pass Rates</b>					
ELA	80.2%	76.6% or lower	76.7% to 82.1%	82.2% to 86.5%	86.6% or higher
Math	89.9%	76.8% or lower	76.9% to 82.1%	82.2% to 86.5%	86.6% or higher
Science	88.4%	79.0% or lower	79.1% to 83.9%	84.0% to 87.9%	88.0% or higher
Social Studies	83.1%	76.1% or lower	76.2% to 81.7%	81.8% to 86.3%	86.4% or higher
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%	6.4% or lower	6.5% to 10.1%	10.2% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	78.0%	74.9% or lower	75.0% to 78.9%	79.0% to 82.9%	83.0% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>ELA - Average Proficiency Rating</b>					
Self-Contained	1.97	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.80	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.11	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
SETSS	2.28	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.17	2.18 or higher
ELL	2.64	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.10	2.11 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
<b>Math - Average Proficiency Rating</b>					
Self-Contained	1.92	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.05	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
SETSS	2.31	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 or higher
ELL	2.72	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	36.4%	30.3% or lower	30.4% to 40.2%	40.3% to 48.2%	48.3% or higher

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	54.4%	59.5% or lower	59.6% to 65.4%	65.5% to 70.2%	70.3% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.42	0.22 or lower	0.23 to 0.35	0.36 to 0.46	0.47 or higher

\* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.