

# THE CITY RECORD.

## OFFICIAL JOURNAL.

VOL. XXVI.

NEW YORK, WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 5, 1898.

NUMBER 7,499.



### POLICE DEPARTMENT.

An adjourned meeting of the Board of Police, acting as the City Board of Canvassers, was held Friday, December 10, 1897, at 6 (six) o'clock, P. M.

Present—Moss, Andrews, Parker and Smith.

The Secretary submitted copies of opinion of the Corporation Counsel, dated December 9, relative to the duties of the Board of Police acting as the City Board of Canvassers, and December 10, 1897, stating that it is the duty of the City Board of Canvassers to close the canvass of the City vote had before them as the City Board of Canvassers at their meeting this day, Friday, December 10, 1897.

The Board proceeded to canvass the certified statements of the County Boards of Canvassers of the counties which are wholly or partly within the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, of the votes cast at the general election held November 2, 1897, for municipal offices in the City of New York, so constituted, or any part thereof, as follows:

### STATEMENT AND DECLARATION OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS, IN RELATION TO VOTE GIVEN FOR MUNICIPAL OFFICES.

ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 2, 1897.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, and canvassed the certified statements of the Boards of County Canvassers of the counties of New York, Kings, Queens and Richmond, of the votes cast at the election held on the second day of November, A. D. 1897, for municipal officers in the City of New York, so constituted, do hereby certify, determine and declare:

That Robert A. Van Wyck, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Mayor of the City of New York.

That Bird S. Coler, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Comptroller of the City of New York.

That Randolph Guggenheimer, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected President of the Council of the City of New York.

That Augustus W. Peters, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected President of the Borough of Manhattan.

That Louis F. Haffen, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected President of the Borough of the Bronx.

That Edward M. Grout, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected President of the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Frederick Bowley, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected President of the Borough of Queens.

That \_\_\_\_\_, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected President of the Borough of Richmond.

That Edward T. Fitzpatrick, Jacob E. Bausch, Edward W. Hart, Antonio Zucca, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Coroners for the Borough of Manhattan.

That Anthony McOwen, Thomas M. Lynch, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Coroners for the Borough of the Bronx.

That Anthony J. Burger, George W. Delap, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Coroners for the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Philip T. Cronin, Dr. Samuel S. Guy, Jr., Leonard Rouff, Jr., by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Coroners for the Borough of Queens.

That \_\_\_\_\_, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Coroners for the Borough of Richmond.

That Thomas F. Foley, John T. Oakley, Martin Engel, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Councilmen for the First District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Frank J. Goodwin, Charles F. Allen, Patrick J. Ryder, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Councilmen for the Second District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Harry C. Hart, George B. Christman, John J. Murphy, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Councilmen for the Third District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Eugene A. Wise, Stewart M. Brice, Herman Sulzer, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Councilmen for the Fourth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That William J. Hyland, Adolph C. Hottenroth, Bernard C. Murray, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Councilmen for the Fifth District, in the Borough of the Bronx.

That Charles H. Francisco, Francis F. Williams, Conrad H. Hester, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Councilmen for the Sixth District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Adam H. Leich, Henry French, Charles H. Ebbets, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Councilmen for the Seventh District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That John J. McGarry, William A. Doyle, Martin F. Conly, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Councilmen for the Eighth District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That David L. Van Nostrand, Joseph Cassidy, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Councilmen for the Ninth District, in the Borough of Queens.

That \_\_\_\_\_, by the greatest number of votes, were duly elected Councilmen for the Tenth District, in the Borough of Richmond.

That Jeremiah Kennefick, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the First District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Jeremiah Cronin, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Second District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Joseph E. Welling, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Third District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Bernard Glick, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Fourth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Joseph A. Flinn, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Fifth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Frederick F. Fleck, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Sixth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Patrick H. Keahon, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Seventh District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Louis Minsky, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Eighth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Henry Siefke, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Ninth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That John P. Koch, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Tenth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That William H. Gledhill, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Eleventh District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That James J. Smith, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twelfth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Charles Metzger, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Thirteenth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That James P. Hart, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Fourteenth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Robert Muh, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Fifteenth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Emil Neuleid, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Sixteenth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Dennis J. Harrington, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Seventeenth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That James E. Gaffney, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Eighteenth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That \_\_\_\_\_, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Nineteenth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Thomas F. Woods, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twentieth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That John S. Roddy, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twenty-first District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Michael Ledwith, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twenty-second District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Collin H. Woodward, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twenty-third District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Frank Dunn, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twenty-fourth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That P. Tecumseh Sherman, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twenty-fifth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Edward F. McEneaney, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twenty-sixth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Joseph Oatman, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twenty-seventh District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That John T. McCall, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twenty-eighth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Homer Folks, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twenty-ninth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That George A. Burrell, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Thirtieth District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Elias Goodman, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Thirty-first District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That William F. Schneider, Jr., by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Thirty-second District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Thomas F. McCaul, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Thirty-third District, in the Borough of Manhattan.

That Laurence W. McGrath, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Thirty-fourth District, in the Boroughs of Manhattan and the Bronx.

That Henry Geiger, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Thirty-fifth District, in the Borough of the Bronx.

That Frank Gass, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for those parts of the First and Second Assembly Districts of Westchester County included in the Borough of the Bronx.

That John L. Burleigh, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the First Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That James J. Bridges, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Second Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Moses J. Wafer, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Third Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That David S. Stewart, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Fourth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That James F. Elliott, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Fifth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That John Diemer, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Sixth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That William Keegan, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Seventh Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Francis P. Kenney, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Eighth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Frank Hennessy, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Ninth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Francis J. Byrne, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Tenth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Stephen W. McKeever, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Eleventh Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Matthew E. Dooley, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twelfth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Hector McNeil, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Thirteenth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Edward S. Scott, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Fourteenth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Jacob J. Veltou, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Fifteenth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That William Wentz, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Sixteenth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Jacob D. Ackerman, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Seventeenth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That James H. McInness, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Eighteenth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Bernard Schmitt, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Nineteenth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That John T. Lang, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twentieth Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That Elias Helgans, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Twenty-first Assembly District, in the Borough of Brooklyn.

That \_\_\_\_\_, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for the Borough of Richmond.

That Joseph Geiser, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for those parts of the County of Queens known as Long Island City and the Town of Newtown.

That William T. James, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Alderman for those parts of the County of Queens known as the towns of Jamaica and Flushing, and that part of the Town of Hempstead included within the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter.

That Francis J. Worcester, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Justice of the Municipal Court for the Eleventh District, Borough of Manhattan.

That William W. Penfield, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Justice of the Municipal Court for the First District, Borough of the Bronx.

That John M. Tierney, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Justice of the Municipal Court for the Second District, Borough of the Bronx.

That James H. Johnson, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Supervisor for the First Ward of the Borough of Queens.

That Daniel J. McDonald, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Supervisor for the Second Ward of the Borough of Queens.

That Charles Powell, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Supervisor for the Third Ward of the Borough of Queens.

That George H. Creed, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Supervisor for the Fourth Ward of the Borough of Queens.

That Louis C. Ott, by the greatest number of votes, was duly elected Supervisor for the Fifth Ward of the Borough of Queens.

We certify this determination and declaration to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.



POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given for Mayor, Comptroller and President of the Council.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York, as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

FOR MAYOR.

That it appears upon such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Mayor was Five hundred and thirty-one thousand six hundred and thirty-two..... 531,632  
Of which there were cast  
In the County of New York Three hundred and five thousand five hundred and seventy-eight..... 305,578  
In the County of Kings One hundred and ninety thousand eight hundred and seven..... 190,807  
In the County of Queens Twenty-three thousand nine hundred and twenty-seven..... 23,927  
In the County of Richmond Eleven thousand three hundred and twenty..... 11,320

Total..... 531,632

OF WHICH	NEW YORK COUNTY.	KINGS COUNTY.	QUEENS COUNTY.	RICHMOND COUNTY.	TOTAL.
Robert A. Van Wyck received.....	143,666	76,185	9,275	4,871	233,997
Seth Low received.....	77,210	65,650	5,876	2,798	151,540
Benjamin F. Tracy received.....	55,834	37,611	5,639	2,779	101,863
Lucien Sanial received.....	9,793	3,593	921	157	14,467
Henry George received.....	13,076	6,938	1,096	584	21,693
William T. Wardwell received.....	650	507	83	119	1,359
Alfred B. Cruikshank received.....	389	184	34	8	615
Patrick J. Gleason received.....	318	133	567	5	1,023
Henry George, Jr., received.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1
Joseph A. Chaud received.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1
And of which there were Blank.....	4,639	.....	434	.....	5,073
Total.....	305,578	190,807	23,927	11,320	531,632

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Mayor, was

Of which there were cast

In the County of New York Two thousand and eighteen..... 2,018  
In the County of Queens One hundred and twenty-two..... 122

The number of ballots which were rejected as void on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Mayor was counted, was

Of which there were cast

In the County of New York Two thousand one hundred and twenty-six..... 2,126  
In the County of Queens Two hundred and eight..... 208

FOR COMPTROLLER.

That it appears upon such estimate and canvass that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Comptroller was Five hundred and twenty-nine thousand and two..... 529,002  
Of which there were cast  
In the County of New York Three hundred and five thousand four hundred and fifty-one..... 305,451  
In the County of Kings One hundred and eighty-eight thousand six hundred and twenty-two..... 188,622  
In the County of Queens Twenty-three thousand nine hundred and twenty-seven..... 23,927  
In the County of Richmond Eleven thousand and two..... 11,002

Total..... 529,002

OF WHICH	NEW YORK COUNTY.	KINGS COUNTY.	QUEENS COUNTY.	RICHMOND COUNTY.	TOTAL.
Bird S. Coler received.....	137,762	78,155	9,302	4,903	230,122
Ashbel P. Fitch.....	66,399	47,220	7,175	3,323	124,117
Charles S. Fairchild received.....	59,408	49,138	3,617	1,718	113,881
Charles W. Dayton received.....	24,221	9,308	1,306	743	35,578
John G. Boyd received.....	347	342	44	10	743
Peter Fiebigler received.....	10,204	3,834	950	152	15,140
Albert H. Walker received.....	667	625	104	153	1,549
Henry Weiss received.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1
And of which there were Blank.....	6,443	.....	1,428	.....	7,871
Total.....	305,451	188,622	23,927	11,002	529,002

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Comptroller, was

Of which there were cast

In the County of New York Two thousand and eighteen..... 2,018  
In the County of Queens One hundred and eleven..... 111

The number of ballots which were rejected as void on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Comptroller was counted, was

Of which there were cast

In the County of New York Two thousand one hundred and twenty-six..... 2,126  
In the County of Queens One hundred and eighty-two..... 182

FOR PRESIDENT OF THE COUNCIL.

That it appears upon such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of President of the Council was Five hundred and twenty-eight thousand and ninety-four..... 528,094  
Of which there were cast  
In the County of New York Three hundred and five thousand one hundred and fifty-eight..... 305,158  
In the County of Kings One hundred and eighty-eight thousand one hundred and fifty-nine..... 188,159  
In the County of Queens Twenty-three thousand nine hundred and twenty-seven..... 23,927  
In the County of Richmond Ten thousand eight hundred and fifty..... 10,850

Total..... 528,094

OF WHICH	NEW YORK COUNTY.	KINGS COUNTY.	QUEENS COUNTY.	RICHMOND COUNTY.	TOTAL.
Randolph Guggenheimer received.....	145,419	77,435	9,336	4,986	237,236
John H. Schumann received.....	63,252	50,231	3,826	1,707	119,016
R. Ross Appleton received.....	62,690	48,187	6,918	3,267	121,062
Charles H. Matchett received.....	10,535	3,926	984	155	15,600
Winfield S. Overton received.....	457	306	70	13	846
Jerome O'Neill received.....	14,604	7,353	1,076	566	23,599
Francis Crawford received.....	760	721	107	156	1,744
John Gillen received.....	1	.....	.....	.....	1
F. W. Dunton received.....	.....	.....	1	.....	1
And of which there were Blank.....	7,440	.....	1,549	.....	8,989
Total.....	305,158	188,159	23,927	10,850	528,094

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of President of the Council, was

Of which there were cast

In the County of New York Two thousand and eighteen..... 2,018  
In the County of Queens One hundred and ten..... 110

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of President of the Council was counted, was

Of which there were cast

In the County of New York Two thousand one hundred and twenty-six..... 2,126  
In the County of Queens One hundred and eighty-two..... 182

In the County of New York Two thousand one hundred and twenty-six..... 2,126  
In the County of Queens One hundred and ninety-three..... 193

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given

FOR PRESIDENT OF THE BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York, as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November, in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears upon such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of President of the Borough of Manhattan was Two hundred and seventy-seven thousand three hundred and thirty-four..... 277,334  
Of which Augustus W. Peters received One hundred and thirty-nine thousand one hundred and fifty-eight..... 139,158  
Of which Robert J. Hoguet received Sixty-one thousand one hundred and sixty-eight..... 61,168  
Of which Louis Stern received Fifty-seven thousand eight hundred and sixty-six..... 57,866  
Of which Charles E. Thompson received Nine thousand six hundred and twenty-three..... 9,623  
Of which Francis T. Higgins received One thousand one hundred and sixty-seven..... 1,167  
Of which John McKee received Six hundred and fifty-five..... 655  
And of which there were Blank, Seven thousand six hundred and ninety-seven..... 7,697

277,334

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of President of the Borough of Manhattan, was One thousand eight hundred and ninety-four..... 1,894

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of President of the Borough of Manhattan was counted, was One thousand nine hundred and twenty-eight..... 1,928

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given

FOR PRESIDENT OF THE BOROUGH OF THE BRONX.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York, as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November, in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears upon such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of President of the Borough of the Bronx was Twenty-seven thousand nine hundred and thirty-eight..... 27,938  
Of which Louis F. Haffen received Fifteen thousand six hundred and fifty-four..... 15,654  
Of which Alonzo Bell received Six thousand two hundred and fifty-six..... 6,256  
Of which John E. Eustis received Three thousand seven hundred and fifty-eight..... 3,758  
Of which Charles Sperle received Seven hundred and ninety-eight..... 798  
Of which Charles R. Durham received One hundred and fifteen..... 115  
And of which there were Blank, One thousand three hundred and fifty-seven..... 1,357

27,938

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of President of the Borough of the Bronx, was One hundred and twenty-four..... 124

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of President of the Borough of the Bronx was counted, was One hundred and ninety-eight..... 198

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given

FOR PRESIDENT OF THE BOROUGH OF BROOKLYN.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York, as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November, in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears upon such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of President of the Borough of Brooklyn was One hundred and eighty-eight thousand and seventy-four..... 188,074  
Of which Edward M. Grout received Eighty-five thousand nine hundred and twenty-one..... 85,921  
Of which Frederic W. Hinrichs received Fifty thousand seven hundred and seventy-three..... 50,773  
Of which George H. Roberts, Jr., received Forty-six thousand three hundred and eighty-three..... 46,383  
Of which Benjamin Hanford received Three thousand eight hundred and forty..... 3,840  
Of which Isaac K. Funk received Six hundred and thirty-nine..... 639  
Of which Henry Nichols received Five hundred and sixteen..... 516  
Of which Arthur Howe received One..... 1  
Of which Westervelt received One..... 1

188,074

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS A CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given

FOR PRESIDENT OF THE BOROUGH OF QUEENS.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of



1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York, as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November, in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears upon such estimate and canvass, that  
The whole number of votes given for the office of President of the Borough of Queens was Twenty-three thousand nine hundred and twenty-seven..... 23,927  
Of which Frederick Bowley received Nine thousand two hundred and seventy-eight..... 9,278  
Of which Felix Fritsche received Six thousand three hundred and forty-one..... 6,341  
Of which Frederick W. Dunton received Three thousand six hundred and sixty..... 3,660  
Of which James A. Kenwick received Two thousand one hundred and seventy-one..... 2,171  
Of which Charles Berg received Nine hundred and nineteen..... 919  
Of which James Davren received Two hundred and twenty-nine..... 229  
Of which Earl Lee received One hundred and four..... 104  
Of which Frederick T. Bowley received One..... 1  
And of which there were Blank, One thousand two hundred and twenty-four..... 1,224

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of President of the Borough of Queens, was One hundred and twelve..... 112

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of President of the Borough of Queens was counted, was One hundred and eighty-three..... 183

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given

FOR CORONERS OF THE BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that  
The whole number of votes given for the office of Coroner of the Borough of Manhattan was One million one hundred and two thousand seven hundred and ninety..... 1,102,790  
Of which Edward T. Fitzpatrick received One hundred and thirty-nine thousand and thirty-eight..... 139,038  
Of which Jacob E. Bausch received One hundred and thirty-eight thousand seven hundred and thirty-six..... 138,736  
Of which Edward W. Hart received One hundred and thirty-eight thousand five hundred and sixty-nine..... 138,569  
Of which Antonio Zucca received One hundred and thirty-seven thousand five hundred and twenty-one..... 137,521  
Of which William Henry Terry received Sixty-one thousand two hundred and ninety-seven..... 61,297  
Of which Alfred E. Thayer received Sixty-one thousand and forty..... 61,040  
Of which Cyrus John Strong received Sixty thousand nine hundred and forty..... 60,940  
Of which Levi Francis Warner received Sixty thousand seven hundred and four..... 60,704  
Of which Theodore K. Tuthill received Fifty-seven thousand three hundred and seven..... 57,307  
Of which Moses J. Jackson received Fifty-seven thousand one hundred and ninety-seven..... 57,197  
Of which Gustav Scholer received Fifty-seven thousand and four..... 57,004  
Of which George W. Kram received Fifty-six thousand nine hundred and seventy-three..... 56,973  
Of which Herman Schlueter received Nine thousand six hundred and eleven..... 9,611  
Of which Waldemar Dorfman received Nine thousand five hundred and ninety-eight..... 9,598  
Of which Joseph Levitch received Nine thousand five hundred and ninety..... 9,590  
Of which Richard Mortan received Nine thousand five hundred and fifty..... 9,550  
Of which Richard Newman received One thousand and twenty-nine..... 1,029  
Of which John J. Brennan received One thousand and twenty-two..... 1,022  
Of which Richard F. Murphy received One thousand and seventeen..... 1,017  
Of which David Lloyd received One thousand and fifteen..... 1,015  
Of which W. R. Inge Dalton received Six hundred and thirty-four..... 634  
Of which Frank B. Carpenter received Five hundred and ninety-seven..... 597  
Of which J. H. Yarnall received Five hundred and eighty-nine..... 589  
Of which A. Worrall Palmer received Five hundred and eighty-eight..... 588  
And of which there were Blank, Thirty-one thousand six hundred and twenty-four..... 31,624

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Coroner of the Borough of Manhattan, was Two thousand two hundred and fifteen..... 2,215

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Coroner of the Borough of Manhattan was counted, was Two thousand two hundred and seventeen..... 2,117

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given

FOR CORONERS OF THE BOROUGH OF THE BRONX.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York as constituted by said act, at a general election held on the 2d day of November in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears upon such estimate and canvass, that  
The whole number of votes given for the office of Coroner of the Borough of the Bronx was Fifty-five thousand four hundred and eighty-five..... 55,485  
Of which Anthony McOwen received Thirteen thousand nine hundred and twenty-two..... 13,922  
Of which Thomas M. Lynch received Twelve thousand nine hundred and forty-one..... 12,941  
Of which Rufus R. Randall received Six thousand eight hundred and thirty-nine..... 6,839  
Of which John P. Gustavson received Six thousand five hundred and thirty-two..... 6,532  
Of which Patrick J. Byrne received Four thousand eight hundred and fifty..... 4,850  
Of which Emory L. Ernout received Four thousand six hundred and seven..... 4,617  
Of which Joseph H. Sauter received Eight hundred and fifty-five..... 855  
Of which Franz Leib received Eight hundred and thirty-five..... 835  
Of which George A. Crump received One hundred and forty-one..... 141  
Of which Charles Shirmer received One hundred and twenty-one..... 121  
And of which there were Blank, Three thousand eight hundred and thirty-two..... 3,832

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Coroner of the Borough of the Bronx, was One hundred and twenty-four..... 124

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Coroner of the Borough of the Bronx was counted, was One hundred and ninety-eight..... 198

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given

FOR CORONERS OF THE BOROUGH OF BROOKLYN.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that  
The whole number of votes given for the office of Coroner of the Borough of Brooklyn was Three hundred and seventy thousand six hundred and forty-eight..... 370,648  
Of which Anthony J. Burger received Eighty-four thousand four hundred and eighty-five..... 84,485  
Of which George W. Delap received Eighty-three thousand five hundred and fifty..... 83,550  
Of which George R. Kuhn received Forty-nine thousand nine hundred and eight..... 49,908  
Of which Herbert F. Williams received Forty-eight thousand seven hundred and seventy-five..... 48,775  
Of which Henry D. Lippman received Forty-six thousand eight hundred and fifty-nine..... 46,859  
Of which Joseph Meyer received Forty-six thousand five hundred and sixteen..... 46,516  
Of which John Louis Holm received Three thousand eight hundred and ninety-five..... 3,895  
Of which Christian Ludwig received Three thousand eight hundred and twenty-nine..... 3,829  
Of which Samuel Eden received Seven hundred and thirty-five..... 735  
Of which Joseph M. Thompson received Seven hundred and twenty-nine..... 729  
Of which I. Edgar Todd received Six hundred and eighty-four..... 684  
Of which Francis M. Burke received Six hundred and seventy-four..... 674  
Of which Dr. Scholler received Five..... 5  
Of which George Reeves received One..... 1  
Of which Thomas Platt received One..... 1  
Of which Lemuel Quigg received One..... 1  
Of which C. Dillingham received One..... 1

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given

FOR CORONERS OF THE BOROUGH OF QUEENS.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York, as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that  
The whole number of votes given for the office of Coroner of the Borough of Queens was Seventy-one thousand seven hundred and eighty-one..... 71,781  
Of which Phillip T. Cronin received Ten thousand eight hundred and ninety-two..... 10,892  
Of which Dr. Samuel S. Guy, Jr., received Nine thousand eight hundred and twenty-three..... 9,823  
Of which Leonard Rouff, Jr., received Nine thousand six hundred and sixty..... 9,660  
Of which Benjamin G. Strong received Nine thousand six hundred and fourteen..... 9,614  
Of which Lawrence E. Wise received Nine thousand five hundred and sixty-seven..... 9,567  
Of which Robert C. Haslam received Seven thousand and thirty-seven..... 7,037  
Of which Samuel D. Nutt received Two thousand two hundred and sixty-two..... 2,262  
Of which Charles M. Cannon received One thousand two hundred and thirty-eight..... 1,238  
Of which Joseph Zack received Nine hundred and fifty-three..... 953  
Of which Charles F. Hunziker received Nine hundred and forty-four..... 944  
Of which William Seubert received Nine hundred and forty-one..... 941  
Of which Patrick O. Bieme received Two hundred and eighteen..... 218  
Of which Robert S. Munson received One hundred and thirty-five..... 135  
Of which Francis Sheridan received One hundred and thirty-one..... 131  
And of which there were Blank, Eight thousand three hundred and sixty-six..... 8,366

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Coroner of the Borough of Queens, was Three hundred and fifteen..... 315

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Coroner of the Borough of Queens was counted, was Five hundred and thirty-five..... 535

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to the vote given

FOR MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

First Council District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that  
The whole number of votes given for the office of Member of the Council for the First Council District was One hundred and eighty-four thousand eight hundred and sixty-five..... 184,865  
Of which Thomas F. Foley received Thirty-four thousand four hundred and sixty-six..... 34,466  
Of which John T. Oakley received Thirty-four thousand three hundred and ninety-nine..... 34,399  
Of which Martin Engel received Thirty-three thousand and eighty-two..... 33,082  
Of which Patrick T. Cunningham received Eleven thousand seven hundred and sixteen..... 11,716



Of which Max J. Porges received Eleven thousand three hundred and forty-one	11,341
Of which August Buermann received Eleven thousand and seventy-seven	11,077
Of which Charles Bacigalupo received Nine thousand and ninety-three	9,093
Of which Harry D. Kramer received Eight thousand nine hundred and seventy-seven	8,977
Of which Louis A. Hauser received Eight thousand eight hundred and forty-seven	8,847
Of which Jacob Bernstein received Four thousand eight hundred and seventy-five	4,875
Of which Robert Glaser received Four thousand eight hundred and forty-nine	4,849
Of which Herman Eckstein received Four thousand eight hundred and eleven	4,811
Of which William S. Kellogg received One hundred and forty-one	141
Of which Timothy N. Holden received One hundred and twenty	120
Of which George B. Hillard received Ninety	90
And of which there were Blank, Six thousand nine hundred and eighty-one	6,981

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Member of the Council, was Eight hundred and thirty-four.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Member of the Council was counted, was Six hundred and thirty-two.

#### Second Council District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of Member of the Council for the Second Council District was Two hundred and twenty-seven thousand and twenty-three	227,023
Of which Frank J. Goodwin received Thirty-six thousand four hundred and eighty-eight	36,488
Of which Charles F. Allen received Thirty-six thousand four hundred and six	36,406
Of which Patrick J. Ryder received Thirty-six thousand three hundred and eighty-seven	36,387
Of which Richard H. Derby received Nineteen thousand one hundred and fifty-eight	19,158
Of which Robert Miller received Seventeen thousand five hundred and eighteen	17,518
Of which Frederick F. Culver received Seventeen thousand five hundred and nine	17,509
Of which Joseph T. Hackett received Sixteen thousand four hundred and sixty-eight	16,468
Of which Patrick H. Murphy received Sixteen thousand three hundred and twenty-nine	16,329
Of which Robert Gordon received Sixteen thousand two hundred and eighty-five	16,285
Of which Andrew Joseph Hussey received Two thousand one hundred and eleven	2,111
Of which John M. Daily received One thousand six hundred and fifty	1,650
Of which Frederick Biersch received One thousand and forty-four	1,044
Of which Herman Bohs received Nine hundred and sixty-nine	969
Of which Frank Harth received Nine hundred and thirty-eight	938
Of which John J. Gallagher received Five hundred and twenty-eight	528
Of which William Francis Byrne received Three hundred and thirty-three	333
Of which C. W. McLellan received Two hundred and forty	240
Of which George Gethin received Two hundred and thirty-seven	237
Of which Fletcher Hamlin received Two hundred and thirty-two	232
And of which there were Blank, Six thousand one hundred and ninety-three	6,193

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Member of the Council, was Five hundred and seventy-three.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Member of the Council was counted, was Five hundred and forty-seven.

#### Third Council District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of Member of the Council for the Third Council District was Two hundred and twenty-two thousand six hundred and thirty-eight	222,638
Of which Harry C. Hart received Forty-one thousand nine hundred and thirty-five	41,935
Of which George B. Christman received Forty-one thousand eight hundred and eighty-six	41,886
Of which John J. Murphy received Forty-one thousand eight hundred	41,800
Of which Rudolph E. Schirmer received Fifteen thousand and fifty	15,050
Of which Harry W. Roseborn received Fourteen thousand nine hundred and ninety-four	14,994
Of which Samuel H. Phillips received Fourteen thousand nine hundred and seventy-two	14,972
Of which Jacob Kahn received Eleven thousand eight hundred and seventy-nine	11,879
Of which Thomas H. Murphy received Eleven thousand four hundred and ninety-six	11,496
Of which Alfred F. Haenlein received Eleven thousand four hundred and seventy-eight	11,478
Of which Carl W. H. Wallstrom received Three thousand one hundred and eighty-one	3,181
Of which Johannes Jahns received Three thousand one hundred and sixty-four	3,164
Of which August Gillhaus received Three thousand one hundred and fifty-five	3,155
Of which Daniel Clancy received Three hundred and fifty-four	354
Of which John A. Connolly received Three hundred and fifty-three	353
Of which Thomas Markey received Three hundred and fifty-one	351
Of which D. W. Couch received One hundred and forty-six	146
Of which Albert Wadhams received One Hundred and forty-four	144
Of which James T. Kingston received One Hundred and forty	140
And of which there were Blank, Six thousand one hundred and sixty	6,160

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Member of the Council, was Three hundred and ninety-nine.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Member of the Council was counted, was Five hundred and twenty-two.

#### Fourth Council District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of Member of the Council for the Fourth Council District was One hundred and ninety-three thousand and twenty-two	193,022
Of which Eugene A. Wise received Twenty-four thousand nine hundred and thirty-five	24,935
Of which Stewart M. Brice received Twenty-four thousand six hundred and fifty-three	24,653
Of which Herman Sulzer received Twenty-four thousand two hundred and eighty-three	24,283
Of which Henry C. F. Koch received Nineteen thousand seven hundred and eighty-two	19,782
Of which Meyer Jonasson received Nineteen thousand seven hundred and thirty-eight	19,738
Of which Percival Knauth received Nineteen thousand four hundred and forty-seven	19,447
Of which Alexander T. Mason received Seventeen thousand six hundred and thirty-six	17,636
Of which John Rau received Seventeen thousand five hundred and thirty-three	17,533
Of which Harvey T. Andrews received Seventeen thousand four hundred and forty-five	17,445
Of which Reinhold Arndt received Six hundred and sixteen	616
Of which Fritz Werdenberg received Six hundred and ten	610
Of which Albert Hetzer received Six hundred and eight	608
Of which E. Payson Porter received One hundred and forty-two	142
Of which Wm. Fred. Layton received One hundred and forty-two	142

Of which James Shipman received One hundred and thirty-nine	139
And of which there were Blank, Five thousand three hundred and thirteen	5,313
The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Member of the Council, was Three hundred and two	302
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Member of the Council was counted, was Three hundred and fifty-four	354

#### Fifth Council District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of Member of the Council for the Fifth Council District was Eighty-two thousand eight hundred and forty-two	82,842
Of which William J. Hyland received Fourteen thousand and forty-three	14,043
Of which Adolph C. Hottenroth received Fourteen thousand and thirty	14,030
Of which Bernard C. Murray received Fourteen thousand and twelve	14,012
Of which Henry L. School received Six thousand eight hundred and forty-three	6,843
Of which Charles P. Hallock received Six thousand six hundred	6,600
Of which Samuel S. Miller received Six thousand five hundred and fifty-five	6,555
Of which William C. Hoefling received Four thousand three hundred and forty-six	4,346
Of which Jacob D. Patterson received Four thousand three hundred and thirty	4,330
Of which Herman Julich received Four thousand two hundred and fifty-six	4,256
Of which Charles Gall received Eight hundred and forty-eight	848
Of which Charles C. Crawford received Eight hundred and forty-three	843
Of which Ignatz H. L. Van Duffe received Eight hundred and twenty-six	826
Of which John H. Tienken received One hundred and twenty-four	124
Of which William MacElveen received One hundred and twenty-two	122
Of which Wilbur L. Varian received One Hundred and twenty-one	121
Of which William J. McCrea received Forty-eight	48
And of which there were Blank, Four thousand eight hundred and ninety-five	4,895

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Member of the Council, was One hundred and twenty-four.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Member of the Council was counted, was One hundred and ninety-eight.

#### Sixth Council District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of Member of the Council for the Sixth Council District was Two hundred and six thousand five hundred and sixteen	206,516
Of which Charles H. Francisco received Thirty-six thousand four hundred	36,400
Of which Francis F. Williams received Thirty-six thousand three hundred and twenty-eight	36,328
Of which Conrad H. Hester received Thirty-four thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven	34,897
Of which Patrick S. Kelly received Thirty-four thousand and thirty-nine	34,039
Of which Mathew Kennedy received Thirty-three thousand five hundred and ninety-eight	33,598
Of which Alfred T. Holey received Twenty-one thousand five hundred and fifty-four	21,554
Of which Gustave A. Rosenblath received Two thousand eight hundred and four	2,804
Of which Otto Bisang received Two thousand six hundred and eighty-one	2,681
Of which Carl Fahl received Two thousand six hundred and fifty-two	2,652
Of which John Bremer received Three hundred and nine	309
Of which William H. Squires received Two hundred and seventy-eight	278
Of which Wilbert G. Haring received Two hundred and seventy-six	276
Of which Daniel J. McCleary received Two hundred and forty-four	244
Of which Robert Griffiths received Two hundred and thirty-eight	238
Of which Bernath Hatskowitz received Two hundred and six	206
Of which George Smith received Six	6
Of which I. Kerwin received Two	2
Of which William Barteld received One	1
Of which Richard McNab received One	1
Of which Henry French received One	1
Of which William Tanner received One	1
And of which there were Blank, Four thousand eight hundred and ninety-five	4,895

#### Seventh Council District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of Member of the Council for the Seventh Council District was One hundred and eighty thousand eight hundred and fourteen	180,814
Of which Adam H. Leich received Thirty-five thousand seven hundred and twenty-one	35,721
Of which Henry French received Twenty-three thousand three hundred and eighty-seven	23,387
Of which Charles H. Ebbets received Twenty-three thousand three hundred and ten	23,310
Of which Henry R. Nostrand received Twenty-three thousand one hundred and eighty-three	23,183
Of which Gustave A. Jahn received Eighteen thousand four hundred and eighty-five	18,485
Of which Richard W. Bainbridge received Seventeen thousand nine hundred and sixty-nine	17,969
Of which George W. Bannerman received Seventeen thousand nine hundred and twenty-five	17,925
Of which Frederick W. Singleton received Seventeen thousand seven hundred and eight	17,708
Of which William A. Kelly received Seven hundred and twenty-four	724
Of which Adolph Vollborth received Six hundred and seventy	670
Of which Harry Studt received Six hundred and Sixty	660
Of which William W. Passage received Two hundred and one	201
Of which John R. Freer received One hundred and ninety-two	192
Of which Max Stade received One hundred and eighty-three	183
Of which Daniel J. Farrell received One hundred and Sixty-seven	167
Of which James F. Brown, received One hundred and Sixty-five	165
Of which Julius Albers received One hundred and Sixty-three	163
Of which James Bender received One	1
And of which there were Blank, Four thousand eight hundred and ninety-five	4,895

#### Eighth Council District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of Member of the Council for the Eighth Council District was One hundred and forty-nine thousand five hundred and twenty-two	149,522
Of which John J. McGarry received Twenty-seven thousand seven hundred and sixty-two	27,762
Of which William A. Doyle received Twenty-seven thousand five hundred and sixty-eight	27,568
Of which Martin F. Conly received Twenty-seven thousand five hundred and forty-three	27,543
Of which George J. Hardy received Twenty-one thousand three hundred and twenty-one	21,321
Of which Charles A. Smith received Eleven thousand nine hundred and six	11,906
Of which Herman A. Metz received Eleven thousand eight hundred and ninety-eight	11,898
Of which Thomas Cacciola received Nine thousand eight hundred and nine	9,819
Of which Frederick C. Dierking received Nine thousand six hundred and twenty	9,620
Of which Peter Larson received Four hundred and twenty-three	423
Of which James B. Cooper received Four hundred and six	406
Of which William Hugh Wherry received Four hundred	400
Of which Thomas W. F. Scanlon received Two hundred and thirty-two	232
Of which Alfred J. Wolf received One hundred and seventy-eight	178
Of which Benjamin F. Raynolds received One hundred and fifty-nine	159
Of which Denning W. Compton received One Hundred and forty-five	145
Of which Richard Peace received One hundred and forty-two	142
And of which there were Blank, Four thousand eight hundred and ninety-five	4,895



*Ninth Council District.*

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that  
The whole number of votes given for the office of Member of the Council for the Ninth Council District was Twenty-three thousand nine hundred and twenty-seven..... 23,927  
Of which Joseph Cassidy received Five thousand five hundred and forty-six..... 5,546  
Of which David L. Van Nostrand received Four thousand three hundred and fifty-nine..... 4,359  
Of which Eli A. Carle received Three thousand nine hundred and sixty-one..... 3,961  
Of which John W. Bennett received Three thousand seven hundred and sixty-six..... 3,766  
Of which Patrick J. McKeown received One thousand three hundred and thirty-three..... 1,333  
Of which Walfred E. Ossberg received Seven hundred and eighty-three..... 783  
Of which Andrew J. Ryan received Four hundred and thirty-nine..... 439  
Of which Robert Dickson received One Hundred and ninety-one..... 191  
Of which Lewis H. Thompson received Sixty-eight..... 68  
Of which Samuel Mills received Forty-six..... 46  
Of which John Canning received Fifteen..... 15  
Of which Earle received One..... 1  
And of which there were Blank, Three thousand four hundred and nineteen..... 3,419

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Member of the Council, was Ninety-seven..... 97  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Member of the Council was counted, was One hundred and eighty-one..... 181

*Tenth Council District.*

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that  
The whole number of votes given for the office of Member of the Council for the Tenth Council District was.....  
Of which Joseph F. O'Grady received.....  
Of which Benjamin J. Bodine received.....  
Of which William A. Johnston received.....  
Of which Guy S. Brantingham received.....  
Of which Erastus Wiman received.....  
Of which Henry B. Montgomery received.....  
Of which William H. Deput received.....  
Of which William Van Vorst received.....  
Of which George Schenk received.....  
Of which James C. Howard received.....  
And of which there were Blank.....

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Member of the Council, was.....  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Member of the Council was counted, was.....

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.  
FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NO. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to the votes given

FOR ALDERMEN IN THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, IN THE ANNEXED PART OF FIRST AND SECOND ASSEMBLY DISTRICTS OF WESTCHESTER, AND IN THE COUNTIES OF KINGS AND QUEENS.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York having met this 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, and 10th days December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November, in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

*COUNTY OF NEW YORK AND ANNEXED DISTRICT.**First Assembly District.*

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that  
The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the First Assembly District in the County of New York was Five thousand one hundred and two..... 5,102  
Of which Jeremiah Kennefick received Two thousand nine hundred and eighty-two..... 2,982  
Of which William A. Cummings received Eight hundred and eighty..... 880  
Of which Samuel C. Davis received Six hundred and sixty-five..... 665  
Of which James Petty received Two hundred and fifty-five..... 255  
Of which John M. Fitzgerald received One hundred and fifty-seven..... 157  
Of which Samuel Crystal received Thirty-two..... 32  
Of which George F. Carr received Twelve..... 12  
And of which there were Blank, One hundred and nineteen..... 119

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Five..... 5  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Sixteen..... 16

*Second Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Second Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand and sixty-five..... 7,065  
Of which Jeremiah Cronin received Four thousand eight hundred and seventy..... 4,870  
Of which Simon Gavin received One thousand and sixty-seven..... 1,067  
Of which John C. Gabler received Seven hundred and seventeen..... 717  
Of which John Nagel received One hundred and six..... 106  
Of which Reuben Johnson received Twenty..... 20  
And of which there were Blank, Two hundred and eighty-five..... 285

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Fifty-three..... 53  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Fifty-seven..... 57

*Third Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Third Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand four hundred and fourteen..... 7,414  
Of which Joseph E. Welling received Four thousand three hundred and thirty-four..... 4,334  
Of which Henry P. Siccardi received One thousand three hundred and eighty-two..... 1,382  
Of which John A. Fluckiger received Eight hundred and sixty-five..... 865  
Of which Thomas Dwyer received Three hundred and thirty-four..... 334  
Of which Rudolf Lovstrand received Fifty-seven..... 57  
Of which John M. Andrews received Thirty-two..... 32  
And of which there were Blank, Four hundred and ten..... 410

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Forty-four..... 44  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Fifty-eight..... 58

*Fourth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Fourth Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand one hundred and sixty-eight..... 7,168  
Of which Bernard Glick received Three thousand two hundred and ten..... 3,210  
Of which Patrick J. O'Brien received One thousand six hundred and forty..... 1,640  
Of which Julius Blumberg received One thousand four hundred and ninety-nine..... 1,499

Of which Gustav Nauman received Five hundred and forty-three..... 543  
Of which William H. Spencer received Thirty-one..... 31  
Of which John C. Marshall received Eight..... 8  
And of which there were Blank, Two hundred and thirty-seven..... 237

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Seventy-nine..... 79  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which no vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Eighty..... 80

*Fifth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Fifth Assembly District in the County of New York was Eight thousand and eighty-five..... 8,085  
Of which Joseph A. Flinn received Three thousand one hundred and seventy-two..... 3,172  
Of which Nelson H. Henry received Two thousand one hundred and sixty-nine..... 2,169  
Of which Francis C. Huntington received Two thousand and forty-three..... 2,043  
Of which James A. Hooper received Two hundred and forty-three..... 243  
Of which Alexander Jonas received Sixty-four..... 64  
Of which Alva Ames received Thirty..... 30  
Of which G. Mitchell received One..... 1  
Of which Frank P. Eastman received One..... 1  
And of which there were Blank, Three hundred and sixty-two..... 362

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Twenty-two..... 22  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Thirty-five..... 35

*Sixth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Sixth Assembly District in the County of New York was Eight thousand two hundred and sixty-six..... 8,266  
Of which Frederick F. Fleck received Four thousand seven hundred and twenty-one..... 4,721  
Of which Carmine La Vecchia received Two thousand one hundred and sixty-four..... 2,164  
Of which James A. Dowling received Seven hundred and ninety-two..... 792  
Of which Michael A. Scudia received One hundred and fifty..... 150  
Of which Henry Baumgartner received Seventeen..... 17  
And of which there were Blank, Four hundred and twenty-two..... 422

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was One hundred and seven..... 107  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Sixty-three..... 63

*Seventh Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Seventh Assembly District in the County of New York was Eight thousand three hundred and sixty-four..... 8,364  
Of which Patrick H. Keahon received Four thousand one hundred and forty-five..... 4,145  
Of which John J. Hannon received Two thousand three hundred and sixty-nine..... 2,369  
Of which Thomas Fitzpatrick received One thousand three hundred and twenty-six..... 1,326  
Of which George Wallenschlager received Fifty-two..... 52  
Of which Aaron R. Lewis received Fifty..... 50  
And of which there were Blank, Four hundred and twenty-two..... 422

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was One hundred and fifty-eight..... 158  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Seventy-six..... 76

*Eighth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Eighth Assembly District in the County of New York was Four thousand eight hundred and sixty-six..... 4,866  
Of which Louis Minsky received Two thousand one hundred and twenty-three..... 2,123  
Of which Pierre A. Siegelstein received Two thousand and seventy-four..... 2,074  
Of which Max Friedlander received Four hundred and thirty-nine..... 439  
Of which John Jaeger received Seventeen..... 17  
And of which there were Blank, Two hundred and thirteen..... 213

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was One hundred and twenty-three..... 123  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Forty-five..... 45

*Ninth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Ninth Assembly District in the County of New York was Eight thousand five hundred and twenty-two..... 8,522  
Of which Henry Siefke received Four thousand two hundred and seventy-two..... 4,272  
Of which Timothy O'Sullivan received One thousand eight hundred and fifteen..... 1,815  
Of which William Wilberforce Smith received One thousand five hundred and four..... 1,504  
Of which James E. Dougherty received Three hundred and fifty-five..... 355  
Of which Charles G. Teche received One hundred and three..... 103  
Of which William H. McNair received Forty-nine..... 49  
And of which there were Blank, Four hundred and twenty-four..... 424

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Twenty-two..... 22  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Fifty-six..... 56

*Tenth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Tenth Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand eight hundred and forty..... 7,840  
Of which John P. Koch received Three thousand seven hundred and sixty-six..... 3,766  
Of which Frederick L. Marshall received Two thousand and fifty-eight..... 2,058  
Of which User Marcus received One thousand and eighty-two..... 1,082  
Of which George Sieburg received Seven hundred and twenty-six..... 726  
Of which Charles J. Leopold received Eight..... 8  
And of which there were Blank, Two hundred..... 200

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was One hundred and twelve..... 112  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Fifty-eight..... 58

*Eleventh Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Eleventh Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand one hundred and sixty-six..... 7,166  
Of which William H. Gledhill received Four thousand and forty-nine..... 4,049  
Of which Frank J. Dedrick received One thousand six hundred and fifty-four..... 1,654  
Of which William H. Fearn received Eight hundred and sixty-one..... 861  
Of which John Kossack received One hundred and thirty-two..... 132  
Of which John J. Murphy received Thirty-six..... 36  
Of which Louis Diemert received Twenty-five..... 25  
And of which there were Blank, Four hundred and nine..... 409

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was One hundred and twelve..... 112  
The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Fifty-eight..... 58



The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Thirty-eight.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Twenty-six.

#### Twelfth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twelfth Assembly District in the County of New York was Six thousand two hundred and sixty-six.

Of which James J. Smith received Three thousand two hundred and eighty-one 3,281

Of which Edward Mandel received One thousand eight hundred and sixty-seven 1,867

Of which Julius Hammer received Nine hundred and forty-one 941

Of which John Hayes received Five 5

And of which there were Blank, One hundred and seventy-two 172

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Twenty-four.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Twelve.

#### Thirteenth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Thirteenth Assembly District in the County of New York was Six thousand six hundred and eighty-three.

Of which Charles Metzger received Three thousand seven hundred and three 3,703

Of which Samuel Gottschalk received One thousand two hundred and ninety-three 1,293

Of which Charles Saltman received Nine hundred and eighty-five 985

Of which James J. McCourt received Two hundred and sixty-one 261

Of which Charles Finkenstaedt received One hundred and ninety 190

Of which Frederick Haffner received One hundred and eighteen 118

Of which Max G. Womack received Twenty-five 25

And of which there were Blank, One hundred and eight 108

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Thirty.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Sixty.

#### Fourteenth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Fourteenth Assembly District in the County of New York was Eight thousand one hundred and fifty-three.

Of which James P. Hart received Three thousand six hundred and thirty-one 3,631

Of which Jacob Kunzenman received One thousand seven hundred and eighty-eight 1,788

Of which Frederick W. Kister received One thousand and ninety-eight 1,098

Of which John Bittner received Nine hundred and forty-three 943

Of which Francis H. Koenig received Four hundred and ninety 490

Of which George C. Faulkner received Eighteen 18

And of which there were Blank, One hundred and eighty-five 185

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Eighty-six.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was One Hundred and twelve.

#### Fifteenth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Fifteenth Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand two hundred and ninety-one.

Of which Robert Muh received Three thousand six hundred and ninety-three 3,693

Of which Peter J. Bresnan received One thousand four hundred and sixty-four 1,464

Of which William Baguley received One thousand and seventy-six 1,076

Of which Andrew Beiser received Seven hundred and fifty-seven 757

Of which Bodo Braune received One hundred and forty-two 142

Of which Peter Sternheim received Forty 40

Of which William Aylesworth received Twenty-six 26

And of which there were Blank, Ninety-three 93

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Sixty-nine.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Thirty-six.

#### Sixteenth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Sixteenth Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand two hundred and sixty-nine.

Of which Emil Neufeld received Three thousand three hundred and eighty 3,380

Of which Edward Kohn received One thousand five hundred and forty-one 1,541

Of which Paul Flaschel received One thousand one hundred and forty-one 1,141

Of which Philip I. Schick received Six hundred votes 600

Of which John J. Seery received Three hundred and fifty-nine 359

Of which Wilhelm C. F. Retzlaff received Twelve 12

And of which there were Blank, Two hundred and thirty-six 236

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Thirty-one.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Sixty-three.

#### Seventeenth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Seventeenth Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand one hundred and seventy.

Of which Dennis J. Harrington received Three thousand nine hundred and thirty-six 3,936

Of which Edward J. Hanley received One thousand five hundred and forty-three 1,543

Of which Patrick E. O'Brien received One thousand two hundred 1,200

Of which George Schaaf received One hundred and forty-eight 148

Of which Augustus G. Maurer received One hundred and forty-three 143

Of which Edward McArdle received Fifty-four 54

Of which Theophilus J. Manser received Fifteen 15

And of which there were Blank, One hundred and thirty-one 131

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Forty-five.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Fifty-four.

#### Eighteenth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Eighteenth Assembly District in the County of New York was Eight thousand two hundred and forty-eight.

Of which James E. Gaffney received Four thousand six hundred and seventy-eight 4,678

Of which Thomas F. Commerford received One thousand six hundred and sixty-six 1,666

Of which Terence F. McGowan received One thousand and forty-nine 1,049

Of which F. Neumiller received Three hundred and twenty-seven 327

Of which Charles H. Keveney received Two hundred and twenty 220

Of which Peter McCullough received One hundred and twenty-six 126

Of which John Benney received Twenty-one 21

And of which there were Blank, One hundred and sixty-one 161

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Fifty.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Thirty-seven.

#### Nineteenth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Nineteenth Assembly District in the County of New York was.

Of which Theodore P. Gilman received.

Of which John S. Geagan received.

Of which Howard P. Okie received.

Of which Frederick Richter received.

Of which William C. McGregor received.

And of which there were Blank.

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was.

#### Twentieth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twentieth Assembly District in the County of New York was Eight thousand one hundred and seventy.

Of which Thomas F. Woods received Four thousand nine hundred and thirty-five 4,935

Of which Julius Groh received One thousand four hundred and sixty-nine 1,469

Of which James Winfield Irwin received One thousand and fifty-three 1,053

Of which William Weisbord received One hundred and thirty 130

Of which Thomas Murtha received Fifty-four 54

Of which Patrick J. O'Geary received Sixteen 16

And of which there were Blank, Five hundred and thirteen 513

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Fifty.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Forty.

#### Twenty-first Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twenty-first Assembly District in the County of New York was Thirteen thousand four hundred and thirty-five.

Of which John S. Roddy received Four thousand four hundred and twenty-one 4,421

Of which William G. Ver Planck received Four thousand one hundred and ninety-one 4,191

Of which Plowdon Stevens received Three thousand nine hundred and sixty-seven 3,967

Of which Cord Von Halle received One hundred and twenty-seven 127

Of which A. F. Mead received Twenty-eight 28

And of which there were Blank, Seven hundred and one 701

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Eighty-six.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Sixty-seven.

#### Twenty-second Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twenty-second Assembly District in the County of New York was Eight thousand one hundred and fourteen.

Of which Michael Ledwith received Four thousand two hundred and eighty-one 4,281

Of which Eugene J. McCormack received Two thousand and eight 2,008

Of which Nicholas Herrick received One thousand four hundred and thirty-four 1,434

Of which James Boyd received One hundred and sixty-two 162

Of which James Peterson received Twenty-seven 27

And of which there were Blank, Two hundred and two 202

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Fifty-one.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Seventy-three.

#### Twenty-third Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twenty-third Assembly District in the County of New York was Eleven thousand three hundred and forty-six.

Of which Collin H. Woodward received Five thousand five hundred and seventy-nine 5,579

Of which Philip J. Ryan received Five thousand two hundred and ninety-one 5,291

Of which Frederick Ebbes received One hundred and sixty-seven 167

Of which James F. Gillespie received Forty-three 43

And of which there were blank, Two hundred and sixty-six 266

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Thirty-four.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Sixty-seven.

#### Twenty-fourth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twenty-fourth Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand three hundred and sixty-four.

Of which Frank Dunn received Four thousand two hundred and sixty-seven 4,267

Of which George C. Smith received One thousand three hundred and six 1,306

Of which Benjamin M. Jacobson received One thousand two hundred and thirty 1,230

Of which Emil Dietze received Three hundred and ninety-seven 397

Of which George W. Strebel received Fifteen 15

And of which there were Blank, One hundred and forty-nine 149

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Twenty-two.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Sixty-six.

#### Twenty-fifth Assembly District.

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twenty-fifth Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand eight hundred and seventy.

Of which P. Tecumseh Sherman received Two thousand seven hundred and eighty-eight 2,788

Of which Lewis Nixon received Two thousand five hundred and sixty-five 2,565

Of which Patrick J. Byrnes received One thousand eight hundred and sixty-five 1,865

Of which Henry C. Rover, Jr., received Two hundred and forty-eight 248

Of which Harry C. Taylor received Twenty-four 24

And of which there were Blank, Three hundred and eighty 380

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was One hundred and thirteen.

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Eighty-one.



*Twenty-sixth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twenty-sixth Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand one hundred and twenty..... 7,120

Of which Edward F. McEneaney received Three thousand five hundred and thirty-four..... 3,534

Of which Joseph Krulish received One thousand eight hundred and twenty..... 1,820

Of which Thaddeus A. Judson received One thousand and fifty-nine..... 1,059

Of which Anthony Gellrich received Four hundred and forty..... 440

Of which Adolph Koch received Thirty-three..... 33

Of which Frank Du Souchet received Eleven..... 11

And of which there were Blank, Two hundred and twenty-three..... 223

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Sixty-three..... 63

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Seventy..... 70

*Twenty-seventh Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twenty-seventh Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand one hundred and fifty-nine..... 7,159

Of which Joseph Oatman received Two thousand seven hundred and sixty-nine..... 2,769

Of which George H. Mundorf received Two thousand three hundred and seventy..... 2,370

Of which George A. Baker received One thousand five hundred and thirteen..... 1,513

Of which Osgood Smith received One hundred and ninety-seven..... 197

Of which William Forbes received Twenty-three..... 23

And of which there were Blank, Two hundred and Eighty-seven..... 287

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Thirty-two..... 32

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Sixty-four..... 64

*Twenty-eighth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twenty-eighth Assembly District in the County of New York was Seven thousand seven hundred and fifty-five..... 7,755

Of which John T. McCall received Four thousand four hundred and thirty-four..... 4,434

Of which Frank A. Kanzler received One thousand two hundred and three..... 1,203

Of which John Frederick Goode received One thousand one hundred and eleven..... 1,111

Of which William F. Ehret received Six hundred and six..... 606

Of which Joseph W. Dunwoody received Eleven..... 11

And of which there were Blank, Three hundred and ninety..... 390

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Sixteen..... 16

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Forty-eight..... 48

*Twenty-ninth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Twenty-ninth Assembly District in the County of New York was Nine thousand three hundred and seventy..... 9,370

Of which Homer Folks received Three thousand seven hundred and forty..... 3,740

Of which Frederick Haberman received Three thousand one hundred and forty-one..... 3,141

Of which Charles A. Parker received Two thousand one hundred and forty-four..... 2,144

Of which W. J. Clarke received Twenty-five..... 25

And of which there were Blank, Three hundred and twenty..... 320

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Thirty..... 30

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Twenty-four..... 24

*Thirtieth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Thirtieth Assembly District in the County of New York was Nine thousand four hundred and forty-seven..... 9,447

Of which George A. Burrell received Five thousand and seventy-one..... 5,071

Of which Edward J. Price received One thousand eight hundred and sixty-nine..... 1,869

Of which Louis H. Bold received One thousand seven hundred and eighty-eight..... 1,788

Of which Herman Wolter received Five hundred and seventeen..... 517

Of which John Koch received Thirteen..... 13

And of which there were Blank, One hundred and eighty-nine..... 189

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Twenty-five..... 25

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Forty-six..... 46

*Thirty-first Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Thirty-first Assembly District in the County of New York was Twelve thousand three hundred and five..... 12,305

Of which Elias Goodman received Four thousand three hundred and twenty-four..... 4,324

Of which William H. McCarthy received Four thousand two hundred and forty-six..... 4,246

Of which Henry C. Weismann received Two thousand nine hundred and thirty..... 2,930

Of which A. D. Engelsman received Three hundred and ninety-six..... 396

Of which Adolph Kerby received Eighty-six..... 86

Of which Albert T. Hull received Thirty-nine..... 39

And of which there were Blank, Two hundred and eighty-four..... 284

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Seventeen..... 17

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Ninety-one..... 91

*Thirty-second Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Thirty-second Assembly District in the County of New York was Nine thousand nine hundred and eighty-five..... 9,985

Of which William F. Schneider, Jr., received Five thousand two hundred and two..... 5,202

Of which Max Hirschburg received Two thousand and thirty-three..... 2,033

Of which Herman Robinson received One thousand four hundred and fifty-one..... 1,451

Of which Christopher Dittman received Four hundred and fifteen..... 415

Of which Timothy D. Gleeson received One hundred and twelve..... 112

Of which Alex. A. Lowande received Twenty..... 20

And of which there were Blank, Seven hundred and fifty-two..... 752

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Seventy-five..... 75

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Seventy-five..... 75

*Thirty-third Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Thirty-third Assembly District in the County of New York was Eight thousand three hundred and fifty..... 8,350

Of which Thomas F. McCaul received Four thousand five hundred and one..... 4,501

Of which Charles Wines received Two thousand and fifty-four..... 2,054

Of which Thomas H. Marshall received Four hundred and twenty..... 420

Of which Albert Falke received One hundred and eighty-three..... 183

Of which Morris Hartmann received One hundred and fifty-eight..... 158

Of which Joseph C. Israel received One hundred and thirty-three..... 133

Of which I. D. Sage received Fourteen..... 14

And of which there were Blank, Eight hundred and eighty-seven..... 887

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Forty-seven..... 47

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Sixty-seven..... 67

*Thirty-fourth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Thirty-fourth Assembly District in the County of New York was Fourteen thousand six hundred and thirty-five..... 14,635

Of which Laurence W. McGrath received Six thousand nine hundred and forty-three..... 6,943

Of which Charles Wall received Three thousand seven hundred and fourteen..... 3,714

Of which Joseph Bartels received Two thousand three hundred and forty-six..... 2,346

Of which M. B. McDonnell received Eight hundred and eighty-seven..... 887

Of which William Koller received Three hundred and forty-two..... 342

Of which Andrew J. Storms received Thirty-five..... 35

And of which there were Blank, Three hundred and sixty-eight..... 368

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Sixty-five..... 65

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Eighty-three..... 83

*Thirty-fifth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman for the Thirty-fifth Assembly District in the County of New York was Sixteen thousand eight hundred and forty-three..... 16,843

Of which Henry Geiger received Eight thousand five hundred and twelve..... 8,512

Of which Frederick Von Beesten received Six thousand six hundred and twenty-three..... 6,623

Of which Emil Wetzel received Five hundred and forty-four..... 544

Of which Michael Gent received Five hundred and forty..... 540

Of which Jesse H. Stout received Sixty-three..... 63

Of which Charles H. Cronin received One..... 1

And of which there were Blank, Five hundred and sixty..... 560

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Seventy-seven..... 77

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was One hundred and twenty-four..... 124

*Annexed District.*

The whole number of votes given for the office of Alderman in the Annexed Part of First and Second Assembly Districts of the County of Westchester was Three thousand five hundred and seventy-one..... 3,571

Of which Frank Gass received Two thousand and ninety-four..... 2,094

Of which George W. Lewis received One thousand and thirty-six..... 1,036

Of which William Eberle received Nine..... 9

And of which there were Blank, Four hundred and thirty-two..... 432

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Eleven..... 11

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Twenty-five..... 25

## COUNTY OF KINGS.

*First Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the First Assembly District in the County of Kings was Seven thousand eight hundred and twenty-three..... 7,823

Of which John L. Burleigh received Four thousand seven hundred and forty-eight..... 4,748

Of which John W. Fox received Two thousand eight hundred and seventy-three..... 2,873

Of which Frank D. Hahn received One hundred and fifteen..... 115

Of which John F. Moody received Fifty-three..... 53

Of which Richard Chilton received Thirty-four..... 34

*Second Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Second Assembly District in the County of Kings was Seven thousand eight hundred and thirty-seven..... 7,837

Of which James J. Bridges received Five thousand one hundred and eight..... 5,108

Of which Edward J. Frague received One thousand five hundred and fifty-eight..... 1,558

Of which William H. Safford, Jr., received One thousand and ninety-two..... 1,092

Of which James Wherry received Forty..... 40

Of which Edward Brosnahan received Thirty-four..... 34

Of which E. P. Hines received Five..... 5

*Third Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Third Assembly District in the County of Kings was Five thousand seven hundred and thirty-five..... 5,735

Of which Moses J. Wafer received Three thousand nine hundred and eighty-three..... 3,983

Of which John P. Shea received One thousand one hundred and eight..... 1,108

Of which Eugene F. Cooke received Four hundred and forty-five..... 445

Of which John J. F. Doyle received One hundred and twenty-one..... 121

Of which Bernard Hughes received Sixty-one..... 61

Of which Joseph F. Due received Seventeen..... 17

*Fourth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Fourth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Ten thousand three hundred and eighty-nine..... 10,389

Of which David S. Stewart received Five thousand five hundred and twenty-nine..... 5,529

Of which John H. Rowland received Four thousand eight hundred and five..... 4,805

Of which Charles E. Colgan received Thirty-three..... 33

Of which Carl A. Palmgren received Twenty-two..... 22

*Fifth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Fifth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Nine thousand three hundred and eighteen..... 9,318

Of which James F. Elliott received Three thousand six hundred and forty-four..... 3,644

Of which George W. Churchwell received Two thousand eight hundred and ninety-five..... 2,895

Of which George R. Gage, Jr., received Two thousand four hundred and seventy-eight..... 2,478

Of which Louis P. Webber received Two hundred and eleven..... 211

Of which John F. Robertson received Forty-seven..... 47

Of which Charles E. Furman received Forty-three..... 43

*Sixth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Sixth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Nine thousand two hundred and twenty-four..... 9,224

Of which John Diemer received Four thousand two hundred and seventy-four..... 4,274



Of which William H. Weisgerber received Four thousand and seventy-eight..	4,078
Of which Hans E. Meyen received Five hundred and forty-one.....	541
Of which Adolph Kinkle received Two hundred and seventy-eight.....	278
Of which William H. Ashton received Thirty-three.....	33
Of which John Gallagher received Nineteen.....	19
Of which Thomas Leslie received One.....	1

*Seventh Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Seventh Assembly District in the County of Kings was Eleven thousand one hundred and fifty-eight..	11,158
Of which William Keegan received Five thousand nine hundred and fourteen.....	5,914
Of which Peter A. Hegeman received Two thousand six hundred and sixty.....	2,660
Of which Michael D. Kelly received Two thousand four hundred and eighty.....	2,418
Of which John J. Spencer received One hundred and eleven.....	111
Of which John N. Jones received Fifty-five.....	55

*Eighth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Eighth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Six thousand and twenty-five.....	6,025
Of which Francis P. Kenny received Three thousand five hundred and sixty.....	3,560
Of which Thomas H. Thompson received One thousand four hundred and thirty-two.....	1,432
Of which Bernard Callaghan received One thousand and thirty-three.....	1,033

*Ninth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Ninth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Six thousand four hundred and sixty-two.....	6,462
Of which Frank Hennessy received Three thousand seven hundred and eighty-five.....	3,785
Of which John P. Fogarty, Jr., received One thousand four hundred and sixty-three.....	1,463
Of which Joseph Daly received One thousand one hundred and nineteen.....	1,119
Of which George Luck received Ninety-five.....	95

*Tenth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Tenth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Eight thousand seven hundred and seventy-eight.....	8,778
Of which Francis J. Byrne received Four thousand and seventy-eight.....	4,078
Of which Samuel E. Thompson received Two thousand six hundred and fifteen.....	2,615
Of which Webster R. Walkley received Two thousand and seventeen.....	2,017
Of which Joseph C. La Coste received Forty.....	40
Of which Henry Schenck received Twenty-eight.....	28

*Eleventh Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Eleventh Assembly District in the County of Kings was Nine thousand five hundred and eighty-four.....	9,584
Of which Stephen W. McKeever received Four thousand four hundred and sixteen.....	4,416
Of which Timothy C. Mayher received Four thousand three hundred and twenty-two.....	4,322
Of which Ahi Peace received Seven hundred and sixty-seven.....	767
Of which Charles Cederholm received Forty-six.....	46
Of which Carl Damm received Thirty-two.....	32
Of which Thomas Smith received One.....	1

*Twelfth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Twelfth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Ten thousand one hundred and fifty-one.....	10,151
Of which Matthew E. Dooley received Four thousand one hundred and fourteen.....	4,114
Of which William W. Morton received Two thousand nine hundred and ninety-four.....	2,994
Of which John Roebuck received Two thousand eight hundred and two.....	2,802
Of which William Taylor received One hundred and fifty-four.....	154
Of which William C. Young received Fifty.....	50
Of which Ashbel E. Daniels received Thirty-seven.....	37

*Thirteenth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Thirteenth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Ten thousand and fifty-nine.....	10,059
Of which Hector McNeil received Four thousand nine hundred and thirty-five.....	4,935
Of which Dennis Brady received Four thousand seven hundred and sixty-four.....	4,764
Of which John Her. Chr. Carstens received Two hundred and sixty-three.....	263
Of which Wilber S. Hobbs received Fifty-five.....	55
Of which George Smith received Forty-two.....	42

*Fourteenth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Fourteenth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Eight thousand three hundred and thirteen.....	8,313
Of which Edward S. Scott received Five thousand one hundred and seven.....	5,107
Of which James A. Kenney received Two thousand nine hundred and eighty-one.....	2,981
Of which Joseph B. Clayton received One hundred and fifty.....	150
Of which Franklin M. Devoe received Forty-seven.....	47
Of which Arthur Vail received Twenty-eight.....	28

*Fifteenth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Fifteenth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Six thousand six hundred and forty-eight.....	6,648
Of which Jacob J. Velton received Three thousand five hundred and twenty-six.....	3,526
Of which Isaac Engel received Two thousand three hundred and fifty-eight.....	2,358
Of which Bernard Mosesson received Four hundred and fifty-seven.....	457
Of which Frank W. Hellarth received Two hundred and ninety-five.....	295
Of which James Van De Wyngaard, Jr., received Twelve.....	12

*Sixteenth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Sixteenth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Nine thousand six hundred and sixty-five.....	9,665
Of which William Wentz received Five thousand six hundred and sixty-three.....	5,663
Of which Charles Schlott received Three thousand and forty-five.....	3,045
Of which Wesley A. Law received Eight hundred and four.....	804
Of which George Keim received Eighty-two.....	82
Of which John Campbell received Forty-seven.....	47
Of which Benjamin H. Clark received Twenty-four.....	24

*Seventeenth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Seventeenth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Nine thousand and seventy-five.....	9,075
Of which Jacob D. Ackerman received Six thousand three hundred and eighty-six.....	6,386
Of which Francis A. H. Bergmann received One thousand eight hundred and sixty-five.....	1,865
Of which William R. Holden received Seven hundred and fifty-three.....	753
Of which Albert M. Tuttle, Jr., received Forty-eight.....	48
Of which John Scott Moloney received Twenty-two.....	22
Of which Joseph F. Ellery received One.....	1

*Eighteenth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Eighteenth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Ten thousand one hundred and forty-three.....	10,143
Of which James H. McInness received Five thousand six hundred and twenty.....	5,620
Of which George W. Dalton received Four thousand four hundred and sixty-seven.....	4,467

Of which Charles F. Young received Thirty-one.....	31
Of which William C. Damron received Sixteen.....	16
Of which William L. Ryerson, Jr., received Seven.....	7
Of which James Farrell received Two.....	2
	10,143

*Nineteenth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Nineteenth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Six thousand seven hundred and ninety-eight.....	6,798
Of which Bernard Schmitt received Three thousand eight hundred and thirty-four.....	3,834
Of which Maxwell C. Burger received Two thousand five hundred and eighty-three.....	2,583
Of which Carl Kulkman received Three hundred and fifty-six.....	356
Of which Andrew Gibson received Eighteen.....	18
Of which Alfred Brumme received Six.....	6
Of which Joseph Strong received One.....	1
	6,798

*Twentieth Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Twentieth Assembly District in the County of Kings was Eight thousand nine hundred and eighty-five.....	8,985
Of which John T. Lang received Four thousand three hundred and fifty.....	4,350
Of which David Nelson received Three thousand and twenty-three.....	3,023
Of which Stefan Wenzel received Seven hundred and fifty-six.....	756
Of which Carl Mayer received Seven hundred and twenty-five.....	725
Of which James Hart received Eighty-one.....	81
Of which Edward A. Swezey received Forty-nine.....	49
Of which Charles Klein received One.....	1
	8,985

*Twenty-first Assembly District.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman for the Twenty-first Assembly District in the County of Kings was Eleven thousand three hundred and sixty-nine.....	11,369
Of which Elias Helgans received Four thousand three hundred and forty-seven.....	4,347
Of which Ernest Distler received Three thousand and seventy-three.....	3,073
Of which Carsten J. Mehrtens received Three thousand two hundred and forty-one.....	3,241
Of which John Groehl received Four hundred and five.....	405
Of which Meyer Levenson received Two hundred and fifty-five.....	255
Of which Ernest J. Foster received Forty-eight.....	48
	11,369

## COUNTY OF QUEENS.

*Long Island City and Town of Newtown.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman in those parts of the County of Queens known as Long Island City and the Town of Newtown was Thirteen thousand three hundred and ninety-three.....	13,393
Of which Joseph Geiser received Five thousand eight hundred and fifty-two.....	5,852
Of which J. N. F. Seibs received Three thousand five hundred and twenty-three.....	3,523
Of which Henry Strobel received One thousand one hundred and twenty-five.....	1,125
Of which Charles Wendelstein received Seven hundred and sixty-six.....	766
Of which Emil Gerber received Two hundred and eleven.....	211
Of which William Parks received Seventy-six.....	76
Of which Joseph N. F. Siebs received Fourteen.....	14
Of which James ——— received One.....	1
And of which there were Blank, One thousand eight hundred and twenty-five.....	1,825
	13,393

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Eighty-six..... 86

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Ninety-nine..... 99

*Towns of Jamaica, Flushing and Hempstead.*

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Alderman in those parts of the County of Queens known as the Towns of Jamaica and Flushing and that part of the Town of Hempstead included within the City of New York as constituted by the Greater New York Charter was Ten thousand five hundred and thirty-four.....	10,534
Of which William T. James received Four thousand four hundred and four.....	4,404
Of which John E. Prendeville received Four thousand three hundred and eighty-five.....	4,385
Of which William D. McHeath received Two hundred and two.....	202
Of which Charles L. Travis received Forty-six.....	46
Of which Oliver Crooks received Twenty.....	20
Of which John Prendeville received One.....	1
And of which there were Blank, One thousand four hundred and seventy-six.....	1,476
	10,534

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Alderman, was Seventeen..... 17

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Alderman was counted, was Seventy-two..... 72

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this 10th day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NO. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

## In relation to votes given

## FOR JUSTICE ELEVENTH DISTRICT MUNICIPAL COURT, BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York, as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November, in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that	
The whole number of votes given for the office of Justice Eleventh District Municipal Court, Borough of Manhattan, was Nineteen thousand two hundred and fifty-three.....	19,253
Of which Francis J. Worcester received Ten thousand one hundred and eighty-eight.....	10,188
Of which William E. Stillings received Eight thousand three hundred and thirty-two.....	8,332
Of which John C. Moller received Two hundred and twenty-two.....	222
Of which John McLaren received Fifty-two.....	52
And of which there were Blank, Four hundred and fifty-nine.....	459
	19,253

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Justice Eleventh District Municipal Court, Borough of Manhattan, was Forty..... 40

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Justice Eleventh District Municipal Court, Borough of Manhattan, was counted, was One Hundred and thirteen..... 113

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.



POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given

FOR JUSTICE FIRST DISTRICT MUNICIPAL COURT, BOROUGH OF THE BRONX.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York, as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the second day of November, in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of Justice First District Municipal Court, Borough of the Bronx, was Three thousand five hundred and eighty-five..... 3,585  
Of which William W. Penfield received Two thousand and eighty-two..... 2,082  
Of which Richard N. Arnow received One thousand four hundred and three..... 1,403  
Of which Victor Stikel received Thirty-three..... 33  
And of which there were Blank, Sixty-seven..... 67

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Justice First District Municipal Court, Borough of the Bronx, was Eleven..... 11

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Justice First District Municipal Court, Borough of the Bronx, was counted, was Twenty-five..... 25

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to votes given

FOR JUSTICE SECOND DISTRICT MUNICIPAL COURT, BOROUGH OF THE BRONX.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes given for the office of Justice Second District Municipal Court, Borough of the Bronx, was Twenty-four thousand four hundred and forty..... 24,440  
Of which John M. Tierney received Twelve thousand one hundred and eighty-two..... 12,182  
Of which Douglas Mathewson received Five thousand seven hundred and fifty-eight..... 5,758  
Of which William G. McCrea received Four thousand one hundred and sixty-two..... 4,162  
Of which John B. Weidekaff received Seven hundred and ninety-six..... 796  
Of which George M. Conover received Ninety..... 90  
And of which there were Blank, One thousand four hundred and fifty-two..... 1,452

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Justice, Second District Municipal Court, Borough of the Bronx, was One Hundred and thirteen..... 113

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Justice Second District Municipal Court, Borough of the Bronx, was counted, was One hundred and seventy-three..... 173

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

STATEMENT OF THE BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACTING AS THE CITY BOARD OF CANVASSERS,

In relation to the votes given

FOR SUPERVISOR IN THE COUNTY OF QUEENS.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having met on the 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th days of December, 1897, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 381 of the Laws of 1897, as the City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several counties and parts of counties included within the City of New York as constituted by said act, at the general election held on the 2d day of November in the year aforesaid, do certify as follows:

First Ward.

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Supervisor for the First Ward of the Borough of Queens was Eight thousand and fifty-one..... 8,051  
Of which James H. Johnson received Three thousand one hundred and ninety-eight..... 3,198  
Of which John Andrews received One thousand eight hundred and thirty-two..... 1,832  
Of which Terence McSpirit received Eight hundred and ninety-two..... 892  
Of which Gustave Ey received Five hundred and thirty-four..... 534  
Of which Felix A. Hughes received One hundred and seventy-eight..... 178  
Of which Christian G. Andersen received Twenty-six..... 26  
Of which C. Alden Pope received Twenty-five..... 25  
And of which there were blank, One thousand three hundred and sixty-six..... 1,366

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Supervisor, was Sixty-four..... 64

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Supervisor was counted, was Sixty-six..... 66

Second Ward.

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Supervisor for the Second Ward of the Borough of Queens was Five thousand three hundred and forty-two..... 5,342  
Of which Daniel J. McDonald received Two thousand five hundred and fifty-two..... 2,552  
Of which Theodore E. Scholle received One thousand five hundred and twenty-one..... 1,521  
Of which William Schimmel received Two hundred and sixty-five..... 265  
Of which John Weber received Two hundred and twenty-six..... 226  
Of which Robinson V. Thomson received Forty-one..... 41  
Of which Michael J. Stanley received Twenty-nine..... 29  
And of which there were Blank, Seven hundred and eight..... 708

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Supervisor, was Sixteen..... 16

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Supervisor was counted, was Forty..... 40

Third Ward.

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Supervisor for the Third Ward of the Borough of Queens was Four thousand three hundred and eighty-three..... 4,383  
Of which Charles Powell received One thousand eight hundred and fifteen..... 1,815  
Of which Richard A. W. Nugent received One thousand six hundred and sixty-seven..... 1,667  
Of which Charles C. Powell received Two hundred and seventy-eight..... 278

Of which Robert S. Munson received One hundred and eighty..... 180  
Of which Frederick Hoffer received Ninety-two..... 92  
Of which Ziba Way received Eighteen..... 18  
Of which Samuel W. Gear received Sixteen..... 16  
And of which there were Blank, Three hundred and seventeen..... 317

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Supervisor, was One..... 1

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Supervisor was counted, was Thirty-four..... 34

Fourth Ward.

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Supervisor for the Fourth Ward of the Borough of Queens was Four thousand seven hundred and thirty..... 4,730  
Of which George H. Creed received One thousand nine hundred and sixty-eight..... 1,968  
Of which Wellcome G. Platt received One thousand seven hundred and fifty-two..... 1,752  
Of which Charles D. Pennington received Two hundred and forty-four..... 244  
Of which Charles E. Colin received One hundred and twelve..... 112  
Of which John W. Selover received Twenty-four..... 24  
Of which Frank X. Sifferlen received Thirteen..... 13  
And of which there were Blank, Six hundred and seventeen..... 617

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Supervisor, was Sixteen..... 16

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Supervisor was counted was, Thirty-two..... 32

Fifth Ward.

The whole number of votes cast for the office of Supervisor for the Fifth Ward of the Borough of Queens was One thousand four hundred and twenty-one..... 1,421  
Of which Louis C. Ott received Eight hundred and eighteen..... 818  
Of which Charles P. Frey received Four hundred and seventy-one..... 471  
Of which Dr. Thomas Smith received Four..... 4  
Of which Wilber Doughty received One..... 1  
And of which there were Blank, One Hundred and twenty-seven..... 127

The number of ballots which were rejected as void, on which No vote for any candidate for the office of Supervisor was counted, was Eleven..... 11

We certify this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the members of the Board of Police, or a majority of them, this tenth day of December, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-seven.

FRANK MOSS, AVERY D. ANDREWS, ANDREW D. PARKER, GEORGE MOORE SMITH.

Resolved, That a statement of votes in detail by election districts be forwarded to the Clerk of the Board of Aldermen with the following certificate:

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York Acting as the Board of City Canvassers,

Do hereby certify that the foregoing statement of the votes given at the general election held on the 2d day of November, A. D. 1897, for municipal offices in the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, is made in detail from certified statements received by this Board from the Boards of County Canvassers of the Counties of New York, Kings, Queens and Richmond.

Resolved, That a certificate of election, signed by the President and countersigned by the Secretary, under the seal of the City and County of New York, be transmitted to each person shown by such statement of the canvass of votes to have been elected to office, in the following form:

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, No. 300 MULBERRY STREET, NEW YORK, December 10, 1897.

The Board of Police Commissioners of the City of New York, having formed a City Board of Canvassers of the City of New York, as constituted by the Greater New York Charter, and having canvassed the statements of the Board of County Canvassers and estimated the whole number of votes given for

held in said city on the second day of November, 1897, I do hereby certify that

was, by the greatest number of votes, given at the said election, duly elected.

Given at my Office in the Central Department of Police the day of in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ninety-

Countersigned: President.

Secretary.

Resolved, That the Canvass be and is hereby declared closed, and that this Board adjourn subject to the call of the President.

Adjourned. WM. H. KIPP, Secretary.

#### CHANGE OF GRADE DAMAGE COMMISSION.

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION, ROOM 58, No. 96 BROADWAY,

NEW YORK, WEDNESDAY, November 24, 1897, 3 o'clock P. M.

Present—Daniel Lord (Chairman), James M. Varnum and George W. Stephens, Commissioners.

The reading of the minutes of the proceedings of the previous meeting was dispensed with.

The Commissioners then, in Executive Session, examined, discussed and considered the depositions, testimony, photographs and other evidence in certain claims heretofore submitted.

The Commission then adjourned to Friday, November 26, 1897, at 3 o'clock P. M.

LAMONT MCLOUGHLIN, Clerk.

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION, ROOM 58, No. 96 BROADWAY,

NEW YORK, FRIDAY, November 26, 1897, 3 o'clock, P. M.

Present—Daniel Lord (Chairman), James M. Varnum and George W. Stephens, Commissioners.

The reading of the minutes of the proceedings of the previous meeting was dispensed with.

The Commissioners then, in Executive Session, examined, discussed and considered the depositions, testimony, photographs and other evidence in certain claims heretofore submitted.

The Commission then adjourned to Monday, November 29, 1897, at 3 o'clock P. M.

LAMONT MCLOUGHLIN, Clerk.

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION, ROOM 58, No. 96 BROADWAY,

NEW YORK, MONDAY, November 29, 1897, 3 o'clock, P. M.

Present—Daniel Lord (Chairman), James M. Varnum and George W. Stephens, Commissioners.

Of Counsel—Robert C. Beatty, Esq., representing the Corporation Counsel; Messrs. McCarty & Baldwin, representing numerous claimants; Thomas S. Bassford, Esq., representing numerous claimants; Thomas Nolan, Esq., representing claim No. 250 (Louis Klopfer).

The reading of the minutes of the proceedings of the previous meeting was dispensed with.

The Commissioners then proceeded with the trial of the following claims:

No. 512 (Daniel Valentine), No. 786 (Jacob D. Blume), No. 543 (Frank A. Fossing) and No. 250 (Louis Klopfer).

The Commission then adjourned to Wednesday, December 1, 1897, at 3 o'clock P. M.

LAMONT MCLOUGHLIN, Clerk.

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION, ROOM 58, No. 96 BROADWAY,

NEW YORK, WEDNESDAY, December 1, 1897, 3 o'clock P. M.

Present—Daniel Lord (Chairman), James M. Varnum and George W. Stephens, Commissioners.

The reading of the minutes of the proceedings of the previous meeting was dispensed with.

The Commissioners duly signed certificates of award in each of the following claims and instructed the clerk to file the same in the Comptroller's office:



No. 494 (Mary J. Stothers), No. 311 (Mary Ann Baxter), No. 178 (Clarinda Cary), No. 176 (Charlotte M. Stoker), No. 365 (Mary Radlein), No. 790 (Jacob Kramer), No. 186 (Ephraim B. Levy), No. 767 (Elizabeth J. Davis), No. 593 (Bernhard Schweizer), No. 482 (Jackobina F. Fisher), No. 151 (Catherine A. Lowerrie), No. 149 (Elizabeth Dinkelmeyer), No. 180 (Ellen M. Greal), No. 150 (Franz Braun), No. 409 (William H. Payne), No. 359 (New York Condensed Milk Company), No. 191 (Thomas Smith).

The Commissioners then, in executive session, examined, discussed and considered the depositions, testimony, photographs and other evidence in certain claims heretofore submitted.

The Commission then adjourned to Friday, December 3, 1897, at 3 o'clock P. M.

LAMONT McLOUGHLIN, Clerk.

### HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

WEEK ENDING SATURDAY, 12 M., DECEMBER 25, 1897.

Estimated Population, 1,201,756.

Death-rate, 16.80.

#### Cases of Infectious and Contagious Diseases Reported.

	Sept. 25.	Oct. 2.	Oct. 9.	Oct. 16.	Oct. 23.	Oct. 30.	Nov. 6.	Nov. 13.	Nov. 20.	Nov. 27.	Dec. 4.	Dec. 11.	Dec. 18.	Dec. 25.
Phthisis.....	177	141	213	190	191	178	194	202	225	167	181	198	175	201
Diphtheria.....	99	111	131	116	112	124	115	102	129	163	164	139	155	143
Croup.....	2	4	8	4	2	1	1	6	4	8	7	4	2	28
Measles.....	25	53	63	90	104	149	183	172	246	298	269	298	305	160
Scarlet Fever.....	67	89	83	105	95	107	119	120	152	127	121	164	212	160
Small-pox.....	40	32	54	50	40	37	28	30	26	38	46	61	34	27
Typhoid Fever.....	40	32	54	50	40	37	28	30	26	38	46	61	34	27
Typhus Fever.....	40	32	54	50	40	37	28	30	26	38	46	61	34	27
Total.....	470	430	552	559	544	596	646	632	782	731	783	867	885	825

Marriages reported.....	245	Burial permits issued.....	650
Births.....	894	Transit permits issued.....	7
Deaths.....	650	Searches made.....	237
Still-births.....	68	Transcripts issued.....	196

#### Deaths According to Cause, Age and Sex.

	Total.	Total last year.	* Average 10 years.	Males.	Females.	Under 1 Month.	1 Month and under 1 Year.	1 Year and under 2.	2 and under 5.	Under 5 Years.	5-15.	15-25.	25-45.	45-65.	65 and over.
Total, all causes.....	650	603	887.3	345	305	58	90	54	56	258	23	46	140	122	61
Diphtheria.....	26	28	46.6	11	15	2	5	12	18	7	1	1	1	1	1
Croup.....	1	1	14.4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Malarial Fevers.....	1	1	12.6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Measles.....	8	5	18.0	3	5	1	2	3	6	2	1	1	1	1	1
Scarlet Fever.....	8	5	18.0	3	5	1	2	3	6	2	1	1	1	1	1
Small-pox.....	7	7	7.0	5	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Typhoid Fever.....	7	7	7.0	5	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Typhus Fever.....	4	2	6.8	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Whooping-cough.....	12	5	14.7	2	10	1	8	2	11	1	1	1	1	1	1
Diarrheal Diseases.....	81	95	120.9	48	33	2	2	5	9	1	2	2	4	14	1
Phthisis.....	15	18	18.0	9	6	2	2	5	9	1	2	2	4	14	1
Other Tuberculous Diseases.....	50	58	74.9	26	24	4	7	8	21	2	2	10	10	7	7
Diseases of Nervous System.....	36	62	54.1	16	20	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	8
Heart Diseases.....	27	31	40.5	13	14	2	12	4	21	2	1	1	1	1	3
Bronchitis.....	105	140	149.0	62	43	6	22	14	68	1	5	14	8	9	9
Pneumonia.....	13	9	14.7	8	5	3	1	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	3
Other Diseases of Respiratory Organs.....	40	30	30.0	22	18	4	6	1	12	2	2	2	11	10	10
Diseases of Digestive System.....	46	50	50.0	23	23	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Diseases of Urinary System.....	52	37	37.0	32	20	39	13	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	1
Congenital Debility.....	11	9	9.0	6	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Old Age.....	12	9	9.0	6	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Violent Deaths.....	30	41	34.1	17	13	1	2	4	7	1	3	11	8	1	1
All other causes.....	62	67	67.0	28	34	2	6	3	1	12	1	4	18	22	5

\* This column contains the average number of deaths for the corresponding week of the past ten years, increased to correspond with the increase of population.

† This column gives the total number of deaths for the corresponding week of the previous year.

‡ Including premature births, atrophy, inanition, marasmus, atelectasis, cyanosis and preterm births.

§ Police Census, April 15, 1895, 1,851,060. Population of Annexed Districts estimated at 17,000 on July 1.

#### Causes of Death not Specified in the Foregoing Table.

*Zymotic*.—Erysipelas, 2; Syphilis, 3; Cerebro-spinal Fever, 6; Influenza, 3; Puerperal Fever, 3.  
*Parasitic*.—Aphthae, 1.  
*Dietetic*.—Alcoholism, 7.  
*Constitutional*.—Cancer, 15; Tubercular Meningitis, 9; Tuberculosis, etc., 6; Rheumatism, 2; Diabetes, 4; Purpura, 1.  
*Nervous*.—Convulsions, 10; Meningitis and Encephalitis, 11; Apoplexy, 16; Paralysis, 5; Insanity, 1; Softening of Brain, 1; Epilepsy, 1; Tetanus, 1; Myelitis, 2; Chronic Hydrocephalus, 2.  
*Circulatory*.—Aneurism, 1; Senile Gangrene, 1; Thrombosis of Superior Mesenteric Vein, 1.  
*Respiratory*.—Laryngitis, 1; Emphysema, 1; Hydrothorax, 5; Pleurisy, 2; Hemorrhage of Lungs, 1; Chronic Bronchitis, 3.  
*Digestive*.—Gastro-enteritis, 8; Gastritis, 3; Enteritis, 1; Cirrhosis, 7; Hepatitis, 1; Obstruction of Intestines, 4; Stricture of Intestines, 2; Typhilitis, 3; Hernia, 4; Jaundice, 1; Ulcer of Stomach, 4; Ulceration of Intestines, 1; Peri-rectal Hematoma, 1.  
*Genito-urinary*.—Bright's Disease, 35; Nephritis, 8; Diseases of Bladder and Prostate Gland, 1; Uremia, 2; Diseases of Uterus and Vagina, 2; Ovarian Diseases, 1.  
*Locomotor*.—Caries, 1.  
*Accident*.—Poison, 4; Fractures and Contusions, 10; Burns and Scalds, 2; Drowning, 2; Suffocation, 5; Surgical Operations, 6.  
*Other Causes*.—Otitis, 1; Miscarriage, 1; Puerperal Convulsions, 1; Addison's Disease, 2; Foramen Ovale Open, 2; Cleft Palate, 1.

#### Deaths According to Cause, Annual Rate per 1,000 and Age, with Meteorology and Number of Deaths in Public Institutions for 13 Weeks.

	Oct. 2.	Oct. 9.	Oct. 16.	Oct. 23.	Oct. 30.	Nov. 6.	Nov. 13.	Nov. 20.	Nov. 27.	Dec. 4.	Dec. 11.	Dec. 18.	Dec. 25.
Total deaths.....	710	716	721	676	698	669	658	646	611	626	704	690	650
Annual death-rate.....	18.47	18.62	18.74	17.56	18.12	17.36	17.06	16.74	15.83	16.21	18.22	17.84	16.80
Diphtheria.....	17	24	15	16	15	24	20	19	18	27	17	20	26
Croup.....	2	4	1	1	2	3	4	4	4	3	7	4	1
Malarial Fevers.....	1	6	7	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Measles.....	1	2	5	4	7	13	10	16	11	11	17	12	8
Scarlet Fever.....	5	3	7	8	14	7	11	10	6	13	16	6	8
Small-pox.....	4	8	7	17	5	9	9	4	5	7	14	13	7
Typhoid Fever.....	4	8	7	17	5	9	9	4	5	7	14	13	7
Typhus Fever.....	5	9	7	3	9	1	3	2	2	2	7	2	4
Whooping-cough.....	54	42	35	35	33	26	13	12	11	11	7	7	12
Diarrheal Diseases.....	53	38	32	28	27	23	8	9	8	7	5	5	11
Phthisis.....	107	97	91	107	99	92	89	88	65	92	86	81	81
Bronchitis.....	20	18	22	24	15	11	27	26	14	22	25	17	27
Pneumonia.....	57	83	81	78	87	77	89	79	88	69	103	99	105
Other Diseases of Respiratory Organs.....	8	12	15	7	22	16	11	13	17	20	8	13	13
Violent Deaths.....	61	56	43	32	41	45	34	47	32	37	31	28	42
Under one year.....	207	176	182	153	151	139	119	119	114	129	164	137	148
Under five years.....	282	274	269	225	242	221	210	193	193	219	276	219	258
Five to sixty-five.....	351	372	348	366	373	369	377	365	326	322	347	373	331
Sixty-five years and over.....	77	70	104	85	63	79	71	87	92	85	81	98	61
In Public and Private Institutions.....	190	207	206	203	207	185	208	190	188	178	202	178	164
Inquest Cases.....	111	84	91	71	84	106	82	97	68	90	71	83	80
Mean barometer.....	30.108	30.054	30.105	30.079	29.843	29.893	29.687	30.199	30.052	30.179	29.980	29.895	29.328
Mean humidity.....	61	67	69	63	63	69	58	59	61	76	77	77	69
Length of rain and snow.....	.07	.02	.32	.02	.35	.29	.95	.24	.96	.55	.24	.73	.11
Mean temperature (Fahrenheit).....	51.6°	56.4°	64.1°	52.5°	53.4°	55.5°	47.4°	43.3°	43.4°	35.2°	46.7°	45.3°	28.9°
Maximum temperature (Fahrenheit).....	84°	73°	87°	72°	63°	65°	61°	68°	61°	52°	63°	57°	42°
Minimum temperature (Fahrenheit).....	45°	44°	41°	41°	41°	39°	40°	32°	23°	28°	35°	29°	13°

#### Infectious and Contagious Diseases in Hospitals.

	WILLARD PARKER HOSPITAL.			RIVERSIDE HOSPITAL.										
	Scarlet Fever.	Diphtheria.	Total.	Measles with Diphtheria.	Scarlet Fever with Measles.	Scarlet Fever with Diphtheria.	Scarlet Fever with Varicella.	Pertussis.	Measles with Whooping- cough.	Diphtheria.	Measles.	Small-pox.	Scarlet Fever.	Total.
Remaining Dec. 18.	91	14	105	2	..	10	3	1	..	1	19	..	9	45
Admitted.....	3	0	12	1	..	2	..	..	..	..	13	1	4	33
Discharged.....	19	27	46	2	..	6	..	1	..	1	15	..	9	32
Died.....	1	2	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Remaining Dec. 25.	74	13	87	1	..	6	3	..	..	..	11	1	4	26
Total treated..	94	23	117	3	..	12	3	1	..	1	24	1	13	58

#### Cases of Infectious and Contagious Diseases Reported, and Deaths from the Same, by Wards.

WARDS.	Population by Po- lice Census, April, 1895.	SICKNESS.							DEATHS REPORTED.							
		Diphtheria.	Croup.	Measles.	Scarlet Fever.	Small-pox.	Typhoid Fever.	Phthisis.	Diphtheria.	Measles.	Scarlet Fever.	Small-pox.	Typhoid Fever.	Typhus Fever.	Phthisis.	All Causes
First.....	12,508	3	..	..	..	1	..	..	3	..	..	..	..	..	2	12
Second.....	1,038	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1
Third.....	4,014	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Fourth.....	18,405	..	..	3	1	..	..	9	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	12
Fifth.....	10,603	..	..	..	1	..	2	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	5
Sixth.....	22,897	1	..	..	..	..	4	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	12
Seventh.....	74,227	6	1	9	10	..	..	13	..	..	..	2	..	..	1	12
Eighth.....	31,374	1	1	3	1	..	3	3	..	1	1	..	..	..	1	11
Ninth.....	60,987	3	1	1	3	..	1	9	..	..	..	..	..	..	3	24
Tenth.....	70,168	4	..	11	3	..	..	14	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	30
Eleventh.....	86,722	3	..	9	4	..	..	7	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	35
Twelfth.....	364,412	25	1	70	31	..	2	14	2	2	..	..	..	..	23	113
Thirteenth.....	58,802	1	1	6	10	..	..	4	2	..	..	..	..	..	1	15
Fourteenth.....	31,604	4	..	8	2	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	12
Fifteenth.....	26,216	2	..	..	..	..	1	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	7
Sixteenth.....	57,430	..	..	20	5	..	1	7	1	..	..	..	..	..	2	13
Seventeenth.....	114,727	10	..	16	13	..	3	15	4	1	..	2	..	..	3	32
Eighteenth.....	67,469	4	1	5	6	..	4	11	3	..	1	..	1	..	7	32
Nineteenth.....	267,076	24	..	21	23	..	5	31	4	..	3	..	2	..	11	104
Twentieth.....	94,969	7	1	39	9	..	4	12	..	2	..	..	..	..	5	37
Twenty-first.....	72,144	1	..	18	11	..	..	12	..	1	..	..	..	..	2	22
Twenty-second.....	194,893	23	..	44	18	..	2	19	6	2	2	..	..	..	9	79
Twenty-third.....	81,567	20	..	3	9	..	..	7	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	28
Twenty-fourth.....	26,508	..	..	..	..	..	2	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	12
Total.....	1,851,060	143	6	287	160	1	27	201	26	9	8	..	7	..	81	652



**EMMONS CLARK, Secretary.**

EDWARD CAHILL, THOMAS A. WILSON, JOHN DELMER  
and EDWARD MCCUE, Board of Assessors.







office of the Department, and bidders are cautioned to examine each and all of its provisions carefully, as the Board of Public Charities will insist upon its absolute enforcement in every particular.

Dated New York, December 21, 1897.  
DR. STEPHEN SMITH, President; JOHN P. FAURE, Commissioner, JAMES R. O'BEIRNE, Commissioner, Department of Public Charities.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC CHARITIES, No. 66 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK, December 24, 1897.

#### TO CONTRACTORS. PROPOSALS FOR MATERIALS AND WORK REQUIRED FOR ADDITION TO GENERAL DRUG DEPARTMENT TO BE ERECTED ON GROUNDS OF BELLEVUE HOSPITAL.

**SEALED BIDS OR ESTIMATES FOR THE** aforesaid work and materials, in accordance with the specifications and plans, will be received at the office of the Department of Public Charities, No. 66 Third Avenue, in the City of New York, until Friday, January 7, 1898, until 10 o'clock A. M. The person or persons making any bid or estimate shall furnish the same in a sealed envelope, indorsed "Bid or Estimate for Materials and Work Required for Addition to General Drug Department to be Erected on Grounds of Bellevue Hospital," and with his or their name or names, and the date of presentation, to the head of said Department, at the said office, on or before the day and hour above named, at which time and place the bids or estimates received will be publicly opened by the President of said Department and read.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC CHARITIES RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ALL BIDS OR ESTIMATES IF DEEMED TO BE FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 64, CHAPTER 410, LAWS OF 1882.

No bid or estimate will be accepted from, or contract awarded to, any person who is in arrears to the Corporation upon debt or contract, or who is a defaulter, as surety or otherwise, upon any obligation to the Corporation.

The award of the contract will be made as soon as practicable after the opening of the bids.

Any bidder for this contract must be known to be engaged in and well prepared for the business, and must have satisfactory testimonials to that effect, and the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded will be required to give security for the performance of the contract, by his or their bond, with two sufficient sureties, each in the penal amount of EIGHT THOUSAND (\$8,000) DOLLARS.

Each bid or estimate shall contain and state the name and place of residence of each of the persons making the same, the names of all persons interested with him or them therein, and if no other person be so interested it shall distinctly state that fact; also that it is made without any connection with any other person making an estimate for the same purpose, and is in all respects fair and without collusion or fraud, and that no member of the Common Council, Head of a Department, Chief of a Bureau, Deputy thereof or Clerk therein, or other officer of the Corporation, is directly or indirectly interested therein, or in the supplies or work to which it relates, or in any portion of the profits thereof. The bid or estimate must be verified by the oath, in writing, of the party or parties making the estimate, that the several matters stated therein are in all respects true. Where more than one person is interested it is requisite that the VERIFICATION be made and subscribed by all the parties interested.

Each bid or estimate shall be accompanied by the consent, in writing, of two householders or freeholders in the City of New York, with their respective places of business or residence, to the effect that if the contract be awarded to the person making the estimate, they will, on its being so awarded, become bound as his sureties for its faithful performance; and that if he shall omit or refuse to execute the same, they will pay to the Corporation any difference between the sum to which he would be entitled on its completion and that which the Corporation may be obliged to pay to the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded at any subsequent letting, the amount in each case to be calculated upon the estimated amount of the supplies by which the bids are tested. The consent above mentioned shall be accompanied by the oath or affirmation, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same, that he is a householder or freeholder in the City of New York, and is worth the amount of the security required for the completion of this contract over and above all his debts of every nature, and over and above his liabilities as bail, surety or otherwise, and that he has offered himself as a surety in good faith, and with the intention to execute the bond required by section 12 of chapter 7 of the Revised Ordinances of the City of New York, if the contract shall be awarded to the person or persons for whom he consents to become surety. The adequacy and sufficiency of the security offered is to be approved by the Comptroller of the City of New York.

No bid or estimate will be received or considered unless accompanied by either a certified check upon one of the State or National banks of the City of New York, drawn to the order of the Comptroller, or money to the amount of five per centum of the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such check or money must not be inclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

Should the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded neglect or refuse to accept the contract within five days after written notice that the same has been awarded to his or their bid or proposal, or if he or they accept but do not execute the contract and give the proper security, he or they shall be considered as having abandoned it and as in default to the Corporation, and the contract will be readvertised and relet, as provided by law.

Bidders will write out the amount of their estimates in addition to inserting the same in figures.

Payment will be made by a requisition on the Comptroller, in accordance with the terms of the contract.

The form of the contract, including specifications, and showing the manner of payment, can be obtained at the office of Withers & Dickson, No. 54 Bible House, New York City, and bidders are cautioned to examine each and all of their provisions carefully, as the Board of Public Charities will insist upon their absolute enforcement in every particular.

DR. STEPHEN SMITH, President; JOHN P. FAURE and JAMES R. O'BEIRNE, Commissioners, Department of Public Charities.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC CHARITIES, No. 66 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK, December 17, 1897.

#### TO CONTRACTORS. PROPOSALS FOR MATERIALS AND WORK REQUIRED FOR FLOORING AND STEEL CEILINGS AT CITY HOSPITAL, BLACKWELL'S ISLAND.

**SEALED BIDS OR ESTIMATES FOR THE** aforesaid work and materials, in accordance with the specifications and plans, will be received at the office of the Department of Public Charities, No. 66 Third Avenue, in the City of New York, until Friday, January 7, 1898, until 10 o'clock A. M. The person or persons making any bid or estimate shall furnish the same

in a sealed envelope, indorsed "Bid or Estimate for Materials and Work Required for Flooring and Steel Ceilings at City Hospital, Blackwell's Island," and with his or their name or names, and the date of presentation, to the head of said Department, at the said office, on or before the day and hour above named, at which time and place the bids or estimates received will be publicly opened by the President of said Department and read.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC CHARITIES RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ALL BIDS OR ESTIMATES IF DEEMED TO BE FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 64, CHAPTER 410, LAWS OF 1882.

No bid or estimate will be accepted from, or contract awarded to, any person who is in arrears to the Corporation upon debt or contract, or who is a defaulter, as surety or otherwise, upon any obligation to the Corporation.

The award of the contract will be made as soon as practicable after the opening of the bids.

Any bidder for this contract must be known to be engaged in and well prepared for the business, and must have satisfactory testimonials to that effect, and the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded will be required to give security for the performance of the contract, by his or their bond, with two sufficient sureties, each in the penal sum of EIGHT THOUSAND (\$8,000) DOLLARS.

Each bid or estimate shall contain and state the name and place of residence of each of the persons making the same, the names of all persons interested with him or them therein, and if no other person be so interested it shall distinctly state that fact; also that it is made without any connection with any other person making an estimate for the same purpose and is in all respects fair and without collusion or fraud, and that no member of the Common Council, head of a department, chief of a bureau, deputy thereof, or clerk therein, or other officer of the Corporation, is directly or indirectly interested therein, or in the supplies or work to which it relates, or in any portion of the profits thereof. The bid or estimate must be verified by the oath, in writing, of the party or parties making the estimate that the several matters stated therein are in all respects true. When more than one person is interested it is requisite that the VERIFICATION be made and subscribed by all the parties interested.

Each bid or estimate shall be accompanied by the consent, in writing, of two householders or freeholders in the City of New York, with their respective places of business or residence, to the effect that if the contract be awarded to the person making the estimate, they will, on its being so awarded, become bound as his sureties for its faithful performance, and that if he shall omit or refuse to execute the same, they will pay to the Corporation any difference between the sum to which he would be entitled on its completion and that which the Corporation may be obliged to pay to the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded at any subsequent letting, the amount in each case to be calculated upon the estimated amount of the work by which the bids are tested. The consent above mentioned shall be accompanied by the oath or affirmation, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same, that he is a householder or freeholder in the City of New York, and is worth the amount of the security required for the completion of this contract over and above all his debts of every nature, and over and above his liabilities as bail, surety or otherwise, and that he has offered himself as a surety in good faith and with the intention to execute the bond required by section 12 of chapter 7 of the Revised Ordinances of the City of New York, if the contract shall be awarded to the person or persons for whom he consents to become surety. The adequacy and sufficiency of the security offered is to be approved by the Comptroller of the City of New York.

No bid or estimate will be received or considered unless accompanied by either a certified check upon one of the State or National banks of the City of New York, drawn to the order of the Comptroller, or money to the amount of five per centum of the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such check or money must not be inclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

Should the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded neglect or refuse to accept the contract within five days after written notice that the same has been awarded to his or their bid or proposal, or if he or they accept but do not execute the contract and give the proper security, he or they shall be considered as having abandoned it and as in default to the Corporation, and the contract will be readvertised and relet as provided by law.

Bidders will write out the amount of their estimates in addition to inserting the same in figures.

Payment will be made by a requisition on the Comptroller, in accordance with the terms of the contract.

The form of the contract, including specifications, and showing the manner of payment, can be obtained at the office of Withers & Dickson, No. 54 Bible House, New York City, and bidders are cautioned to examine each and all of their provisions carefully, as the Board of Public Charities will insist upon their absolute enforcement in every particular.

DR. STEPHEN SMITH, President; JOHN P. FAURE, and JAMES R. O'BEIRNE, Commissioners, Department of Public Charities.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC CHARITIES, No. 66 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK, December 17, 1897.

#### TO CONTRACTORS. PROPOSALS FOR MATERIALS AND WORK REQUIRED FOR RECEIVING AND FERRY HOUSE TO BE ERECTED AT CITY HOSPITAL LANDING, BLACKWELL'S ISLAND.

**SEALED BIDS OR ESTIMATES FOR THE** aforesaid work and materials, in accordance with the specifications and plans will be received at the office of the Department of Public Charities, No. 66 Third Avenue, in the City of New York, until Friday, January 7, 1898, until 10 o'clock A. M. The person or persons making any bid or estimate shall furnish the same in a sealed envelope, indorsed "Bid or Estimate for Materials and Work Required for Receiving and Ferry House to be erected at City Hospital Landing, Blackwell's Island," and with his or their name or names, and the date of presentation, to the head of said Department, at the said office, on or before the day and hour above named, at which time and place the bids or estimates received will be publicly opened by the President of said Department and read.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC CHARITIES RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ALL BIDS OR ESTIMATES IF DEEMED TO BE FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 64, CHAPTER 410, LAWS OF 1882.

No bid or estimate will be accepted from, or contract awarded to, any person who is in arrears to the Corporation upon debt or contract, or who is a defaulter, as surety or otherwise, upon any obligation to the Corporation.

The award of the contract will be made as soon as practicable after the opening of the bids.

Any bidder for this contract must be known to be engaged in and well prepared for the business, and must have satisfactory testimonials to that effect, and the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded will be required to give security for the performance of the contract, by his or their bond, with two sufficient

sureties, each in the penal amount of FIVE THOUSAND (\$5,000) DOLLARS.

Each bid or estimate shall contain and state the name and place of residence of each of the persons making the same, the names of all persons interested with him or them therein, and if no other person be so interested it shall distinctly state that fact; also that it is made without any connection with any other person making an estimate for the same purpose and is in all respects fair and without collusion or fraud, and that no member of the Common Council, Head of a Department, Chief of a Bureau, Deputy thereof, or Clerk therein, or other officer of the Corporation, is directly or indirectly interested therein, or in the supplies or work to which it relates, or in any portion of the profits thereof. The bid or estimate must be verified by the oath, in writing, of the party or parties making the estimate, that the several matters stated therein are in all respects true. Where more than one person is interested, it is requisite that the VERIFICATION be made and subscribed by all the parties interested.

Each bid or estimate shall be accompanied by the consent, in writing, of two householders or freeholders in the City of New York, with their respective places of business or residence, to the effect that if the contract be awarded to the person making the estimate, they will, on its being so awarded, become bound as his sureties for its faithful performance, and that if he shall omit or refuse to execute the same they will pay to the Corporation any difference between the sum to which he would be entitled on its completion and that which the Corporation may be obliged to pay to the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded at any subsequent letting, the amount in each case to be calculated upon the estimated amount of the work by which the bids are tested. The consent above mentioned shall be accompanied by the oath or affirmation, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same, that he is a householder or freeholder in the City of New York, and is worth the amount of the security required for the completion of this contract over and above all his debts of every nature, and over and above his liabilities as bail, surety or otherwise, and that he has offered himself as a surety in good faith and with the intention to execute the bond required by section 12 of chapter 7 of the Revised Ordinances of the City of New York, if the contract shall be awarded to the person or persons for whom he consents to become surety. The adequacy and sufficiency of the security offered is to be approved by the Comptroller of the City of New York.

No bid or estimate will be received or considered unless accompanied by either a certified check upon one of the State or National banks of the City of New York, drawn to the order of the Comptroller, or money to the amount of five per centum of the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such check or money must not be inclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal, but if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

Should the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded neglect or refuse to accept the contract within five days after written notice that the same has been awarded to his or their bid or proposal, or if he or they accept but do not execute the contract and give the proper security, he or they shall be considered as having abandoned it and as in default to the Corporation, and the contract will be readvertised and relet as provided by law.

Bidders will write out the amount of their estimates in addition to inserting the same in figures.

Payment will be made by a requisition on the Comptroller, in accordance with the terms of the contract.

The form of the contract, including specifications, and showing the manner of payment, can be obtained at the office of Withers & Dickson, No. 54 Bible House, New York City, and bidders are cautioned to examine each and all of their provisions carefully, as the Board of Public Charities will insist upon their absolute enforcement in every particular.

DR. STEPHEN SMITH, President; JOHN P. FAURE, and JAMES R. O'BEIRNE, Commissioners, Department of Public Charities.

#### STREET CLEANING DEPT.

DEPARTMENT OF STREET CLEANING  
OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK,  
No. 346 BROADWAY.

#### PUBLIC SALE OF CERTAIN PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STREET CLEANING.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE** following personal property of the Department of Street Cleaning will be sold at public auction in the yard of said Department, on the south side of West Fifty-sixth street, between Eleventh and Twelfth avenues, in the Borough of Manhattan, on Monday the 17th day of January, 1898, at ten o'clock A. M., viz:

About four (4) loads of brick of various sorts.  
JAMES MCCARTNEY,  
Commissioner of Street Cleaning.

JANUARY 4, 1898.

DEPARTMENT OF STREET CLEANING,  
NEW YORK LIFE BUILDING,  
No. 346 BROADWAY.

#### CONTRACT FOR FURNISHING AND DELIVERING HAY, STRAW, OATS, BRAN, COARSE SALT, ROCK SALT, OILMEAL, AND PINE-NEEDLE BEDDING.

##### PUBLIC NOTICE.

**ESTIMATES INCLOSED IN SEALED ENVELOPES** and indorsed with the name and address of the person or persons making the same, and the date of presentation, and a statement of the work and supplies to which they relate, will be received at the office of the Department of Street Cleaning, New York Life Building, No. 346 Broadway, in the City of New York, until 12 o'clock M. of Wednesday, the twelfth day of January, 1898, at which time and place the estimates will be publicly opened and read for the furnishing and delivery of:

905,000 pounds Hay, of the quality and standard known as Best Prime Hay.

77,000 pounds good, clean, long Rye Straw.  
1,625,000 pounds clean No. 1 White Clipped Oats, to be bright, sound, well cleaned, and reasonably free from other grain, weighing not less than 36 pounds to the measured bushel.

80,000 pounds first quality Bran.  
3,000 pounds first quality Coarse Salt.  
8,000 pounds first quality Rock Salt.  
2,500 pounds first quality Oil Meal.  
128,000 pounds first quality green Pine-needle Bedding, free from chaff.

The person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded will be required to execute such contract within five days from the date of the receipt of notice to that effect, and in case of failure or neglect so to do, he or they will be considered as having abandoned such contract and as in default to the Corporation, where-

upon the Commissioner of Street Cleaning will readvertise and relet the work, and so on till the contract be accepted and executed.

Bidders are required to state in their estimate, under oath, the names and places of residence, the names of all persons interested with them therein, and if no other person be so interested they shall distinctly state the fact; also, that it is made without any connection with any other person making any bid or estimate for the above work or supplies, and that it is in all respects fair and without collusion or fraud; and also that no member of the Common Council, head of a department, chief of a bureau, deputy thereof or clerk therein, or other officer of the Corporation is directly or indirectly interested therein, or in the supplies or work to which it relates, or in any portion of the profits thereof. Where more than one person is interested, it is requisite that the verification be made and subscribed by all the parties interested. Each estimate shall also be accompanied by the consent, in writing, of two householders or freeholders of the City of New York, with their respective places of business or residence, or a guarantee or surety company, duly authorized by law to act as surety, as shall be satisfactory to the Comptroller, to the effect that if the contract be awarded to the person or persons making the estimate, they will, on its being so awarded, become bound as his or their sureties for its faithful performance in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000), and that if he or they shall omit or refuse to execute the same they will pay to the City of New York, any difference between the sum to which he would be entitled on its completion and that which the City of New York may be obliged to pay to the person or persons to whom the contract may be subsequently awarded. The consent above mentioned shall be accompanied by the oath or affirmation, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same, that he is a householder or freeholder in the City of New York, and is worth the amount of the security required for the completion of the contract, over and above all his debts of every nature and over and above his liabilities as bail, surety or otherwise; that he has offered himself as a surety in good faith, and with an intention to execute the bond required by law. The adequacy and sufficiency of the sureties offered shall be approved by the Comptroller.

The price must be written in the bid or estimate, and also stated in figures. Permission will not be given for the withdrawal of any bid or estimate, and the right is expressly reserved by the Commissioner of Street Cleaning to reject all the bids, if, in his judgment, it be deemed best for the interest of the City. No bid will be accepted from or contract awarded to any person who is in arrears to the Corporation upon debt or contract, or who is a defaulter, as surety or otherwise, upon any obligation to the Corporation.

Each bid or proposal must be accompanied by a certified check on one of the State or National banks of the City of New York, payable to the order of the Comptroller of said City, for Five Hundred Dollars (\$500), or money to that amount. On the acceptance of any bid, the checks or money of the unaccepted bidders will be returned to them, and upon the execution of the contract the check or money of the accepted bidder will be returned to him.

All bids must be made with reference to the form of contract and the requirements thereof on file at the Department of Street Cleaning, or they will be rejected.

The form of the agreement (with specifications), showing the manner of payment for the articles, may be seen, and forms of proposals may be obtained at the office of the Department.

COMMISSIONER OF STREET CLEANING.  
Dated New York, December 22, 1897.

**PERSONS HAVING BULKHEADS TO FILL, IN** the vicinity of New York Bay, can procure material for that purpose—ashes, street sweepings, etc., such as is collected by the Department of Street Cleaning—free of charge, by applying to the Commissioner of Street Cleaning, in the Criminal Court Building.

GEORGE E. WARING, JR.,  
Commissioner of Street Cleaning

#### SUPREME COURT.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands at the SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SECOND STREET AND WHITE PLAINS AVENUE, in the Twenty-fourth Ward of said City, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held in Part II, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, at the southwest corner of Second street and White Plains Avenue, in the Twenty-fourth Ward of said City, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the Twenty-fourth Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southerly line of Second street distant 200 feet easterly from the corner formed by the intersection of the easterly line of Park Avenue with the southerly line of Second street, which point is also the intersection of the easterly line of the present site of Public School 98 as now inclosed; running thence southerly parallel with Park Avenue and along the said easterly line of the present site of Public School 98 as now inclosed 200 feet; thence easterly parallel with Second street 72 feet more or less to the westerly line of White Plains Avenue as now opened and used; thence northerly along said westerly line of White Plains Avenue 205 feet 8 1/2 inches more or less to the southerly line of Second street; thence westerly along said southerly line of Second street 135 feet more or less to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands in the block bounded by FIFTY-SEVENTH AND FIFTY-EIGHTH STREETS, NINTH AND TENTH AVENUES, in the Twenty-second Ward of said City, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890 and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.



**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held in Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, in the block bounded by Fifty-seventh and Fifty-eighth streets, Ninth and Tenth avenues, in the Twenty-second Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, Chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those certain lots, piece or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the Twenty-second Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southerly line of Fifty-eighth street distant 200 feet easterly from the corner formed by the intersection of the easterly line of Tenth avenue with the southerly line of Fifty-eighth street; running thence southerly parallel with Tenth avenue and along the easterly line of the present site of Public School 141, 100 feet 5 inches to the centre line of the block; thence westerly along said centre line of the block and the southerly line of the present site of Public School 141, 85 feet; thence southerly parallel with Tenth avenue 25 feet; thence easterly parallel with the southerly line of Fifty-eighth street 120 feet; thence northerly parallel with Tenth avenue and partly through a party wall 125 feet 5 inches to the southerly line of Fifty-eighth street; thence westerly along the southerly line of Fifty-eighth street 25 feet to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands on the NORTHERLY SIDE OF KING STREET, between Varick and Congress streets, in the Eighth Ward of said city, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, and the various statutes amendatory thereof.

**PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 191** of the Laws of 1888, and the various statutes amendatory thereof, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term of said Court, to be held at Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 24th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, on the northerly side of King street, between Varick and Congress streets, in the Eighth Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used to and for the purposes specified in said chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, and the various statutes amendatory thereof, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of said chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, and the various statutes amendatory thereof, being the following-described lot, piece or parcel of land, namely:

All that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the Eighth Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the northerly line of King street distant 150 feet 2 inches westerly from the corner formed by the intersection of the northerly line of King street and the westerly line of Congress street; running thence northerly parallel with Congress street 100 feet; thence westerly parallel with King street 25 feet to the easterly line of the present site of Public School 8; thence southerly parallel with Congress street and along the easterly line of the present site of Public School 8, 100 feet to the northerly line of King street; thence easterly along the northerly line of King street, 25 feet to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands at the SOUTHWEST CORNER OF ONE HUNDRED AND SEVENTY-SIXTH STREET AND PROSPECT AVENUE, in the Twenty-fourth Ward of said city, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held in Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, at the southwest corner of One Hundred and Seventy-sixth street and Prospect avenue, in the Twenty-fourth Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the Twenty-fourth Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at the corner formed by the intersection of the southerly line of One Hundred and Seventy-sixth street (as now proposed) with the westerly line of Prospect avenue; running thence southerly along said westerly line of Prospect avenue 191 feet; thence westerly parallel with One Hundred and Seventy-sixth street (as now proposed) 150 feet; thence northerly parallel with Prospect avenue 191 feet to the southerly line of One Hundred and Seventy-sixth street (as now proposed); thence easterly along said southerly line of One Hundred and Seventy-sixth street, 150 feet to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands on ONE HUNDRED AND FORTY-FOURTH AND ONE HUNDRED AND FORTY-FIFTH STREETS AND COLLEGE AVENUE, in the Twenty-third Ward of said city, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890 and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held at Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, on One Hundred and Forty-fourth and One Hundred and Forty-fifth streets and College avenue, in the Twenty-third Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the Twenty-third Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southerly line of One Hundred and Forty-fifth street distant 150 feet westerly from the corner formed by the intersection of the westerly line of College avenue with the southerly line of One Hundred and Forty-fifth street; running thence southerly parallel with College avenue 200 feet to the northerly line of One Hundred and Forty-fourth street; thence easterly along said northerly line of One Hundred and Forty-fourth street 150 feet to the westerly line of College avenue; thence northerly along said westerly line of College avenue 75 feet to the southerly line of property now being acquired by condemnation proceedings as a site for school purposes; thence westerly parallel with One Hundred and Forty-fifth street and along said southerly line of the property now being acquired by condemnation proceedings as a site for school purposes 125 feet; thence northerly parallel with College avenue and along the westerly line of the property now being acquired by condemnation proceedings as a site for school purposes 125 feet to the southerly line of One Hundred and Forty-fifth street; thence westerly along said southerly line of One Hundred and Forty-fifth street 25 feet to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands on the SOUTHERLY SIDE OF EIGHTY-SIXTH STREET, between Park and Madison avenues, in the Nineteenth Ward of said city, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held in Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, on the southerly side of Eighty-sixth street, between Park and Madison avenues, in the Nineteenth Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the Nineteenth Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southerly line of Eighty-sixth street distant 60 feet 2 1/2 inches east of Madison avenue; running thence southerly parallel with Madison avenue, 100 feet 2 inches to the centre line of the block and the northerly line of the present site of Public School 6; thence easterly along the centre line of the block and the northerly line of the present site of Public School 6, 51 feet 1 1/2 inches; thence northerly parallel with Madison avenue 102 feet 2 inches to the southerly line of Eighty-sixth street; thence westerly along the southerly line of Eighty-sixth street 51 feet 1 1/2 inches to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands on the NORTHERLY SIDE OF EIGHTY-SECOND STREET, between First and Second avenues, in the Nineteenth Ward of said city, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, and the various statutes amendatory thereof.

**PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 191** of the Laws of 1888, and the various statutes amendatory thereof, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term of said Court, to be held at Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 24th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, on the northerly side of Eighty-second street, between First and Second avenues, in the Nineteenth Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used to and for the purposes specified in said chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, and the various statutes amendatory thereof, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of said chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, and the various statutes amendatory thereof, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the Nineteenth Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the northerly line of Eighty-second street distant 100 feet easterly from the corner formed by the intersection of the northerly line of Eighty-second street and the easterly line of Second avenue; running thence easterly along the northerly line of Eighty-second street 150 feet; thence northerly parallel with Second avenue 102 feet 2 inches to the centre line of the block; thence westerly parallel with Eighty-second street and along said centre line 150 feet; thence southerly parallel with Second avenue 102 feet 2 inches to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands on the EASTERLY SIDE OF JAMES STREET, between Oak and Cherry streets, in the Fourth Ward of said city, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890 and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held in Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, on the easterly side of James street, between Oak and Cherry streets, in the Fourth Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lot, piece or parcel of land, namely:

All that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the Fourth Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the easterly line of James street distant 125 feet and 6 inches southerly from the corner formed by the intersection of the southerly line of Oak street with the easterly line of James street; running thence easterly at right angles or nearly so with James street 99 feet and 7 inches; thence southerly parallel or nearly so with James street 24 feet 7 1/2 inches; thence westerly 98 feet 10 1/2 inches to the easterly line of James street; thence northerly along said easterly line of James street 25 feet 2 1/2 inches to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title, wherever the same has not been heretofore acquired, to the lands, tenements and hereditaments required for the purpose of opening EAST ONE HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-NINTH STREET (although not yet named by proper authority), from Webster avenue to Third avenue, as the same has been heretofore laid out and designated as a first-class street or road, in the Twenty-fourth Ward of the City of New York.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT WE, THE** undersigned, were appointed by an order of the Supreme Court, bearing date the 12th day of November, 1897, Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment for the purpose of making a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the loss and damage, if any, or of the benefit and advantage, if any, as the case may be, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the lands, tenements and hereditaments and premises required for the purpose by and in consequence of opening the above-mentioned street or avenue, the same being particularly set forth and described in the petition of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, and also in the notice of the application for the said order thereto attached, filed herein in the office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on the 2d day of December, 1897, and a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the value of the benefit and advantage of said street or avenue so to be opened or laid out and formed, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the said respective lands, tenements and hereditaments and premises not required for the purpose of opening, laying out and forming the same, but benefited thereby, and of ascertaining and defining the extent and boundaries of the respective tracts or parcels of land to be taken or to be assessed therefor, and of performing the trusts and duties required of us by chapter 16, title 5, of the act entitled "An act to consolidate into one act and to declare the special and local laws affecting public interests in the City of New York," passed July 1, 1882, and the acts or parts of acts in addition thereto or amendatory thereof.

All parties and persons interested in the real estate taken or to be taken for the purpose of opening the said street or avenue, or affected thereby, and having any claim or demand on account thereof, are hereby required to present the same, duly verified, to us, the undersigned Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment, at our office, Nos. 90 and 92 West Broadway, in the City of New York, with such affidavits or other proofs as the said owners or claimants may desire, within twenty days after the date of this notice.

And we, the said Commissioners, will be in attendance at our said office on the 14th day of January, 1898, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, to hear the said parties and persons in relation thereto, and at such time and place, and at such further or other time and place as we may appoint, we will hear such owners in relation thereto and examine the proofs of such claimant or claimants, or such additional proofs and allegations as may then be offered by such owner, or on behalf of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
EMANUEL BLUMENSTIEL, FRANCIS V. S. OLIVER, S. J. O'SULLIVAN, Commissioners,  
JOHN P. DUNN, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title, wherever the same has not been heretofore acquired, to the lands, tenements and hereditaments required for the purpose of opening EAST ONE HUNDRED AND NINETY-SIXTH STREET (although not yet named by proper authority), from Jerome avenue to Marion avenue, as the same has been heretofore laid out and designated as a first-class street or road, in the Twenty-fourth Ward of the City of New York.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT WE, THE** undersigned, were appointed by an order of the Supreme Court, bearing date the 12th day of November, 1897, Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment for the purpose of making a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the loss and damage, if any, or of the benefit and advantage, if any, as the case may be, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises required for the

purpose by and in consequence of opening the above-mentioned street or avenue, the same being particularly set forth and described in the petition of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, and also in the notice of the application for the said order thereto attached, filed herein in the office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on the 2d day of December, 1897, and a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the value of the benefit and advantage of said street or avenue so to be opened or laid out and formed, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the said respective lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises not required for the purpose of opening, laying out and forming the same, but benefited thereby, and of ascertaining and defining the extent and boundaries of the respective tracts or parcels of land to be taken or to be assessed therefor, and of performing the trusts and duties required of us by chapter 16, title 5, of the act entitled "An act to consolidate into one act and to declare the special and local laws affecting public interests in the City of New York," passed July 1, 1882, and the acts or parts of acts in addition thereto or amendatory thereof.

All parties and persons interested in the real estate taken or to be taken for the purpose of opening the said street or avenue, or affected thereby, and having any claim or demand on account thereof, are hereby required to present the same, duly verified, to us, the undersigned Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment, at our office, Room No. 1, fourth floor, No. 2 Tryon Row, in the City of New York, with such affidavits or other proofs as the said owners or claimants may desire, within twenty days after the date of this notice.

And we, the said Commissioners, will be in attendance at our said office on the 8th day of January, 1898, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, to hear the said parties and persons in relation thereto, and at such time and place, and at such further or other time and place as we may appoint, we will hear such owners in relation thereto and examine the proofs of such claimant or claimants, or such additional proofs and allegations as may then be offered by such owner, or on behalf of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York.

Dated New York, December 14, 1897.  
JOHN H. ROGAN, FRANCIS HIGGINS, CHAS. HILTON BROWN, Commissioners,  
JOHN P. DUNN, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands on the NORTHERLY SIDE OF FORTY-NINTH STREET, between Ninth and Tenth avenues, in the Twenty-second Ward of said city, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890 and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held in Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, on the northerly side of Forty-ninth street, between Ninth and Tenth avenues, in the Twenty-second Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the Twenty-second Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the northerly line of Forty-ninth street distant 300 feet easterly from the corner formed by the intersection of the easterly line of Tenth avenue with the northerly line of Forty-ninth street; running thence northerly parallel with Tenth avenue and part of the way through a party wall 100 feet and 5 inches to the centre line of the block; thence easterly parallel with Forty-ninth street and along said centre line of the block 50 feet; thence southerly parallel with Tenth avenue and part of the way through a party wall 100 feet and 5 inches to the northerly line of Forty-ninth street; thence westerly along said northerly line of Forty-ninth street 50 feet to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands in the block bounded by EIGHTEENTH AND NINETEENTH STREETS, EIGHTH AND NINTH AVENUES, in the Sixteenth Ward of said city, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held in Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, in the block bounded by Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets, Eighth and Ninth avenues, in the Sixteenth Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situate, lying and being in the Sixteenth Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the interior of the block between Eighteenth and Nineteenth streets, Eighth and Ninth avenues, which point is distant 47 feet 3 1/2 inches northerly from the northerly line of Eighteenth street and 100 feet easterly from the easterly line of Ninth avenue; running thence westerly parallel with Eighteenth street 20 feet; thence northerly parallel with Ninth avenue 44 feet 8 1/2 inches; thence easterly parallel with Eighteenth street 20 feet; thence southerly parallel with Ninth avenue 44 feet 8 1/2 inches to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.



In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands on the SOUTHERLY SIDE OF FIFTY-FIFTH STREET, between Sixth and Seventh avenues, in the Twenty-second Ward of said city, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held in Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, on the southerly side of Fifty-fifth street, between Sixth and Seventh avenues, in the Twenty-second Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situated, lying and being in the Twenty-second Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southerly line of Fifty-fifth street distant 350 feet westerly from the corner formed by the intersection of the westerly line of Sixth avenue with the southerly line of Fifty-fifth street, which point is also the intersection of the westerly line of the Annex to Public School 69 with the southerly line of Fifty-fifth street; running thence southerly parallel with Sixth avenue and along said westerly line of Annex to Public School 69, 100 feet and 5 inches to the centre line of the block between Fifty-fourth and Fifty-fifth streets and to the northerly line of the present site of Public School 69; thence westerly parallel with Fifty-fifth street and along said centre line of the block and the northerly line of the present site of Public School 69, 50 feet; thence northerly parallel with Sixth avenue 100 feet and 5 inches to the southerly line of Fifty-fifth street; thence easterly along said southerly line of Fifty-fifth street 50 feet to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.

FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation.  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title, wherever the same has not been heretofore acquired, to the lands, tenements and hereditaments required for the purpose of opening PLIMPTON AVENUE (although not yet named by proper authority), from Boscobel avenue to Featherbed lane, as the same has been heretofore laid out and designated as a first-class street or road, in the Twenty-fourth Ward of the City of New York.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT WE, THE** undersigned, were appointed by an order of the Supreme Court, bearing date the 11th day of November, 1897, Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment for the purpose of making a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the loss and damage, if any, or of the benefit and advantage, if any, as the case may be, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises required for the purpose by and in consequence of opening the above-mentioned street or avenue, the same being particularly set forth and described in the petition of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, and also in the notice of the application for the said order thereto attached, filed herein in the office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on the 2d day of December, 1897, and a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the value of the benefit and advantage of said street or avenue so to be opened or laid out and formed, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the said respective lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises not required for the purpose of opening, laying out and forming the same, but benefited thereby, and of ascertaining and defining the extent and boundaries of the respective tracts or parcels of land to be taken or to be assessed therefor, and of performing the trusts and duties required of us by chapter 10, title 5, of the act entitled "An act to consolidate into one act and to declare the special and local laws affecting public interests in the City of New York," passed July 1, 1882, and the acts or parts of acts in addition thereto or amendatory thereof.

All parties and persons interested in the real estate taken or to be taken for the purpose of opening the said street or avenue, or affected thereby, and having any claim or demand on account thereof, are hereby required to present the same, duly verified, to us, the undersigned Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment, at our office, Nos. 92 and 94 West Broadway, in the City of New York, with such affidavits or other proofs as the said owners or claimants may desire, within twenty days after the date of this notice.

And we, the said Commissioners, will be in attendance at our said office on the 8th day of January, 1898, at 12 o'clock noon of that day, to hear the said parties and persons in relation thereto, and at such time and place, and at such further or other time and place as we may appoint, we will hear such owners in relation thereto and examine the proofs of such claimant or claimants, or such additional proofs and allegations as may then be offered by such owner, or on behalf of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York.

Dated New York, December 4, 1897.

DAVID McCLELLAN, WILLIAM H. BARKER,  
DAVID M. KOEHLER, Commissioners.  
H. DE F. BALDWIN, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Street Opening and Improvement of the City of New York, by the Counsel to the Corporation of said city, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises in the Twenty-second Ward of the City of New York, bounded by Eleventh and Twelfth avenues, West Fifty-second, West Fifty-third and West Fifty-fourth streets, duly selected, located and laid out as for a public park, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 320 of the Laws of 1887.

**PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF CHAP-**ter 320 of the Laws of 1887, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term of said Court, to be held at Part III, thereof, in the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on Friday the 21st day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises in the Twenty-second Ward of the City of New York, bounded by Eleventh and Twelfth avenues, West Fifty-second, West Fifty-third and West Fifty-fourth streets, in fee simple absolute, the same to be appropriated, converted and used to and for the purposes specified in said chapter 320 of the Laws of 1887, said property having

been duly selected, located and laid out by the Board of Street Opening and Improvement of the City of New York as and for a public park, under and in pursuance of the provisions of said chapter 320 of the Laws of 1887, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those lots, pieces or parcels of land in the Twenty-second Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows, to wit:

**PARCEL "A."**  
Beginning at the intersection of the westerly line of Eleventh avenue with the southerly line of West Fifty-third street, and thence (1) running westerly along said southerly line of West Fifty-third street for a distance of 800 feet to the easterly line of Twelfth avenue; thence (2) running southerly along said easterly line of Twelfth avenue for a distance of 200 feet and 10 inches to the northerly line of West Fifty-second street; thence (3) running easterly along said northerly line of West Fifty-second street for a distance of 800 feet to the westerly line of Eleventh avenue; thence (4) running northerly along said westerly line of Eleventh avenue for a distance of 200 feet 10 inches to the place or point of beginning.

**PARCEL "B."**  
Beginning at the intersection of the westerly line of Eleventh avenue with the southerly line of West Fifty-fourth street, and thence (1) running westerly along said southerly line of West Fifty-fourth street for a distance of 800 feet to the easterly line of Twelfth avenue; thence (2) running southerly along said easterly line of Twelfth avenue for a distance of 200 feet and 10 inches to the northerly line of West Fifty-third street; thence (3) running easterly along said northerly line of West Fifty-third street for a distance of 800 feet to the westerly line of Eleventh avenue; thence (4) running northerly along said westerly line of Eleventh avenue for a distance of 200 feet and 10 inches to the place or point of beginning.

The lots, pieces or parcels of land above described are shown on two similar maps, plans and profiles, accompanied with explanatory remarks, made by the Department of Public Parks, each of which is entitled "Map showing a public park west of Eleventh avenue, between Fifty-second and Fifty-fourth streets, in the Twenty-second Ward of the City of New York," one of which said maps is filed in the office of the Register of the City and County of New York, and the other of which is filed in the office of the Department of Public Parks in said city.

The said Board of Street Opening and Improvement, under and in pursuance of the provisions of said chapter 320 of the Laws of 1887, has determined that the proportion of the expense to be incurred in acquiring the land for such park to be assessed upon the property, persons and estates to be benefited by the acquisition and construction of such park shall be twenty-five per cent., or one-quarter of such expense; and said Board has also determined that the area within which such expense shall be so assessed shall be as follows: On the north by Fifty-sixth street; on the south by Forty-eighth street; on the east by Ninth avenue, and on the west by Twelfth avenue.

Dated New York, December 24, 1897.

FRANCIS M. SCOTT,  
Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, acting by and through the Board of Docks, relative to acquiring right and title to and possession of the wharfage rights, terms, easements, emoluments and privileges appurtenant to Pier No. 35, East river, not now owned by the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, and all right, title and interest in and to said pier, or any portion thereof, not now owned by the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to be taken for the improvement of the water-front of the City of New York, on the East river, at or near Catharine Slip, pursuant to the plan heretofore adopted by the said Board of Docks and approved by the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund.

**WE, THE UNDERSIGNED COMMISSIONERS** of Estimate and Assessment in the above-entitled matter, hereby give notice to all persons interested in this proceeding, and to the owner or owners, occupant or occupants of all houses and lots and improved and unimproved lands or wharf property, and all persons interested therein, or in any rights, privileges or interests pertaining thereto, affected thereby, and to all others whom it may concern, to wit:

First—That we have completed our preliminary report and our estimate and assessment, and that all persons interested in this proceeding, or in any of the uplands, lands, lands under water, premises, buildings and wharf property affected thereby, and having objections thereto, do present their said objections, in writing, duly verified, to us, at our office, Room 212 and 213, No. 253 Broadway, New York City, on or before the 24th day of January, 1898; that we, the said Commissioners, will hear parties so objecting within the ten week days next after the said 24th day of January, 1898, and for that purpose will be in attendance at our said office on each of said ten days at 3 o'clock P. M.

Second—That the abstract of our said estimate and assessment, together with our damage map and also all the affidavits, estimates and other documents used by us in making our report, have been deposited in the Bureau of Street Opening in the Law Department of the City of New York, at the office of said Bureau, at Nos. 92 and 94 West Broadway, in the said city, there to remain until the 24th day of January, 1898.

Third—That our report herein will be presented to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term, Part III, thereof, to be held in the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 25th day of February, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, and that then and there, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard hereon, a motion will be made that the said report be confirmed, and for such other and further relief as may be just and meet.

Dated New York, December 17, 1897.

WILBUR LARREMORE, Chairman; WILLIAM M. LAWRENCE, WM. J. ELLIS, Commissioners.  
JOHN A. HENNEBERY, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of Charles H. T. Collis, Commissioner of Public Works of the City of New York, for and in behalf of the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title in fee to certain lots, pieces or parcels of land, in the Twelfth Ward of the City of New York, for the purpose of widening the East One Hundred and Thirtieth street and southwest approach to the bridge over the Harlem river, connecting the northerly end of Third avenue, in the Twelfth Ward of said city, with the southerly end of Third avenue, in the Twenty-third Ward of said city, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 413 of the Laws of 1892, entitled "An Act to provide for the construction of a drawbridge over the Harlem river, in the City of New York, and for the removal of the present bridge at Third avenue, in said city," and the various statutes amendatory thereof and all other statutes in such case made and provided.

**PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF CHAP-**ter 413 of the Laws of 1892, entitled, "An Act to provide for the construction of a drawbridge over the Harlem river in the City of New York, and for the removal of the present bridge at Third avenue in said City," and the various statutes amendatory thereof, and all other statutes in such case made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term of said Court, to be held in Part III, thereof, in the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 20th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate and Apportionment in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title in fee, in the name and on behalf of the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of

the City of New York, to certain lots, pieces or parcels of land, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, situate, lying and being in the Twelfth Ward of the City of New York, for the purpose of widening the East One Hundred and Thirtieth street and southwest approach to the bridge over the Harlem river, connecting the northerly end of Third avenue, in the Twelfth Ward of said city, with the southerly end of Third avenue, in the Twenty-third Ward of said city, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 413 of the Laws of 1892; and the various statutes amendatory thereof and all other statutes in such case made and provided, the consent and approval of the Board of Estimate and Apportionment of the City of New York to such acquisition having been first had and obtained, and the Commissioner of Public Works deeming it necessary that the same should be acquired for the aforesaid purpose, being the following lots, pieces or parcels of land, and bounded and described as follows:

**PARCEL "A."**  
Beginning at a point on the easterly line of Lexington avenue distant 44 feet northerly from the corner formed by the intersection of the northerly line of East One Hundred and Thirtieth street with the easterly line of Lexington avenue, and running thence easterly parallel to said northerly line of East One Hundred and Thirtieth street 360 feet; thence northerly parallel with the westerly side of Third avenue 28 feet; thence southwesterly 54.63 feet; thence westerly parallel to the first-mentioned course and distant therefrom 16 feet 310 feet to the easterly line of Lexington avenue, and thence southerly along the said easterly line of Lexington avenue 16 feet to the point or place of beginning.

**PARCEL "B."**  
Beginning at the corner formed by the intersection of the westerly line of Lexington avenue with the northerly line of East One Hundred and Thirtieth street, and running thence northerly along said westerly line of Lexington avenue 60 feet; thence westerly parallel with said northerly line of East One Hundred and Thirtieth street and distant therefrom 60 feet 405 feet to the easterly line of Park avenue; thence southerly along the easterly line of Park avenue 60 feet to the northerly line of East One Hundred and Thirtieth street, and thence easterly along said northerly line of East One Hundred and Thirtieth street 405 feet to the point or place of beginning.

The lots, pieces or parcels of land above described are shown on a certain map entitled "Map of lands required for the widening of the East One Hundred and Thirtieth street and southwest approach to the bridge over Harlem river, chapter 413, Laws of 1892; chapter 716, Laws of 1896; chapter 660, Laws of 1897," which said map was duly approved by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment of the City of New York by resolution duly adopted on the 12th day of October, 1897, and filed in the office of the Department of Public Works of the City of New York.

Dated New York, December 22, 1897.

FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title, wherever the same has not been heretofore acquired, to the lands, tenements and hereditaments required for the purpose of opening EAST ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTY-NINTH STREET (although not yet named by proper authority), from Jerome avenue to the Concourse, as the same has been heretofore laid out and designated as a first-class street or road, in the Twenty-third Ward of the City of New York.

**WE, THE UNDERSIGNED COMMISSIONERS** of Estimate and Assessment in the above-entitled matter, hereby give notice to all persons interested in this proceeding, and to the owner or owners, occupant or occupants, of all houses and lots and improved and unimproved lands affected thereby, and to all others whom it may concern, to wit:

First—That we have completed our estimate and assessment, and that all persons interested in this proceeding, or in any of the lands affected thereby, and having objections thereto, do present their said objections, in writing, to us at our office, Nos. 92 and 94 West Broadway, ninth floor, in said city, on or before the 24th day of January, 1898, and that we, the said Commissioners, will hear parties so objecting within the ten week days next after the said 24th day of January, 1898, and for that purpose will be in attendance at our said office on each of said ten days at 4 o'clock P. M.

Second—That the abstract of our said estimate and assessment, together with our damage and benefit maps, and also all the affidavits, estimates and other documents used by us in making our report, have been deposited in the Bureau of Street Openings in the Law Department of the City of New York, Nos. 92 and 94 West Broadway, in said city, there to remain until the 24th day of January, 1898.

Third—That the limits of our assessment for benefit include all those lots, pieces or parcels of land, situate, lying and being in the City of New York, which taken together are bounded and described as follows, viz.: On the north by a line drawn parallel to Marcy place and distant 100 feet northerly from the northerly side thereof from a line drawn parallel to Jerome avenue and distant 100 feet westerly from the westerly side thereof to a line drawn parallel to Jerome avenue and distant 100 feet easterly from the easterly side thereof; also by the middle line of the blocks between Clarke place and Marcy place and said middle line produced from a line drawn parallel to Jerome avenue and distant 100 feet easterly from the easterly side thereof to a line drawn parallel to the Grand Boulevard and Concourse and distant 100 feet easterly from the easterly side thereof; also by a line drawn parallel to East One Hundred and Sixty-ninth street and distant 100 feet northerly from the northerly side thereof from a line drawn parallel to the Grand Boulevard and Concourse and distant 100 feet easterly from the easterly side thereof to the westerly side of Clay avenue; on the south by the middle line of the blocks between East One Hundred and Sixty-seventh street and East One Hundred and Sixty-ninth street and said middle line produced from a line drawn parallel to Jerome avenue and distant 100 feet westerly from the westerly side thereof to the Grand Boulevard and Concourse; also by a line drawn parallel to East One Hundred and Sixty-eighth street and distant 100 feet southerly from the southerly side thereof, from the Grand Boulevard and Concourse to a line drawn parallel to the Grand Boulevard and Concourse and distant 100 feet easterly from the easterly side thereof; also by a line drawn parallel to East One Hundred and Sixty-ninth street and distant 100 feet southerly from the southerly side thereof to a line drawn parallel to the Grand Boulevard and Concourse and distant 100 feet easterly from the easterly side thereof to the westerly side of Clay avenue; on the east by a line drawn parallel to the Grand Boulevard and Concourse and distant 100 feet easterly from the easterly side thereof from the middle line of the blocks between Clarke place and Marcy place and said middle line produced to a line drawn parallel to East One Hundred and Sixty-ninth street and distant 100 feet northerly from the northerly side thereof; also by the westerly side of Clay avenue; from a line drawn parallel to East One Hundred and Sixty-ninth street and distant 100 feet northerly from the northerly side thereof to a line drawn parallel to East One Hundred and Sixty-ninth street and distant 100 feet southerly from the southerly side thereof; also by a line drawn parallel to the Grand Boulevard and Concourse and distant 100 feet easterly from the easterly side thereof from a line drawn parallel to the Grand Boulevard and Concourse and distant 100 feet easterly from the easterly side thereof from the middle line of the blocks between Clarke place and Marcy place and said middle line produced to a line drawn parallel to East One Hundred and Sixty-ninth street and distant 100 feet southerly from the southerly side thereof; also by the westerly side of the Grand Boulevard and Concourse from a line drawn parallel to East One Hundred and Sixty-eighth street and distant 100 feet southerly from the southerly side thereof to the middle line of the blocks between East One Hundred and Sixty-seventh street and East One Hundred and Sixty-ninth street; and on the west by a line drawn parallel to Jerome avenue and distant 100

feet westerly from the westerly side thereof from a line drawn parallel to Marcy place and said line produced and distant 100 feet northerly from the northerly side thereof to the prolongation westerly of the middle line of the blocks between East One Hundred and Sixty-seventh street and East One Hundred and Sixty-eighth street, as such streets are shown upon the Final Maps of the Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth wards of the City and County of New York, excepting from said area all streets, avenues and roads or portions thereof heretofore legally opened, as such area is shown upon our benefit maps deposited as aforesaid.

Fourth—That our report herein will be presented to a Special Term of the Supreme Court, Part III, of the State of New York, to be held in and for the City and County of New York, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 28th day of February, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, and that then and there, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, a motion will be made that the said report be confirmed.

Dated New York, December 8, 1897.

WILBUR MCBRIDE, Chairman; HAROLD M. SMITH, SAM'L A. FIRETAG, Commissioners.  
HENRY DE FOREST BALDWIN, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands on the SOUTHERLY SIDE OF THIRTY-THIRD STREET, between Second and Third avenues, in the Twenty-first Ward of said City, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held in Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, on the southerly side of Thirty-third street, between Second and Third avenues, in the Twenty-first Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890, and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those certain lots, pieces or parcels of land situated, lying and being in the Twenty-first Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the southerly line of Thirty-third street distant 135 feet easterly from the corner formed by the intersection of the easterly line of Third avenue with the southerly line of Thirty-third street; running thence easterly along the southerly line of Thirty-third street 100 feet; thence southerly parallel with Third avenue, 93 feet and 9 inches to the centre line of the block between Thirty-second and Thirty-third streets and to the northerly line of the present site of Public School 116; thence westerly parallel with Thirty-third street along said centre line of the block and the northerly line of the present site of Public School 116, 100 feet; thence northerly parallel with Third avenue 93 feet and 9 inches to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.

FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Education, by the Counsel to the Corporation of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands in the block bounded by FORTY-FIRST AND FORTY-SECOND STREETS, SECOND AND THIRD AVENUES, in the Nineteenth Ward of said city, duly selected and approved by said Board as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890 and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896.

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to a Special Term of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, in and for the First Judicial District, to be held in Part III, thereof, at the County Court-house in the City of New York, on the 12th day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, in the block bounded by Forty-first and Forty-second streets, Second and Third avenues, in the Nineteenth Ward of said city, in fee simple absolute, the same to be converted, appropriated and used for school purposes, said property having been duly selected and approved by the Board of Education as a site for school purposes, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 191 of the Laws of 1888, chapter 35 of the Laws of 1890 and chapters 387 and 890 of the Laws of 1896, being the following-described lot, piece or parcel of land, namely:

All that certain lot, piece or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in the Nineteenth Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the interior of the block bounded by Forty-first and Forty-second streets, Second and Third avenues, which point is distant 73 feet and 9 inches northerly from the northerly line of Forty-first street, and 121 feet and 8 inches easterly from the easterly line of Third avenue; running thence northerly parallel with the easterly line of Third avenue 35 feet 4 1/4 inches to the southwesterly line of the present site of Public School 27; thence southeasterly along said southwesterly line of the present site of Public School 27, 19 feet 2 1/4 inches to a point in the centre line of the block between Forty-first and Forty-second streets; thence easterly along said centre line of the block and the southerly line of the present site of Public School 27, 67 feet and 2 inches; thence southerly parallel with Third avenue 23 feet; thence westerly parallel with Forty-first street 83 feet and 4 inches to the point or place of beginning.

Dated New York, December 29, 1897.

FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title, wherever the same has not been heretofore acquired, to the lands, tenements and hereditaments required for the purpose of opening EAST ONE HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SECOND STREET (Andrews avenue) (although not yet named by proper authority), from the Croton Aqueduct to Jerome avenue, as the same has been heretofore laid out and designated as a first-class street or road, in the Twenty-fourth Ward of the City of New York.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT WE, THE** undersigned, were appointed by an order of the Supreme Court bearing date the 23d day of November, 1897, Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment for the purpose of making a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the loss and damage, if any, or of the benefit and advantage, if any, as the case may be, to the



respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises required for the purpose by and in consequence of opening the above-mentioned street or avenue, the same being particularly set forth and described in the petition of the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, and also in the notice of the application for the said order thereto attached, filed herein in the office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on the 10th day of December, 1897, and a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the value of the benefit and advantage of said street or avenue so to be opened or laid out and formed, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the said respective lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises not required for the purpose of opening, laying out and forming the same, but benefited thereby, and of ascertaining and defining the extent and boundaries of the respective tracts or parcels of land to be taken or to be assessed therefor, and of performing the trusts and duties required of us by chapter 16, title 5, of the act entitled "An act to consolidate into one act and to declare the special and local laws affecting public interests in the City of New York," passed July 1, 1882, and the acts or parts of acts in addition thereto or amendatory thereof.

All parties and persons interested in the real estate taken or to be taken for the purpose of opening the said street or avenue, or affected thereby, and having any claim or demand on account thereof, are hereby required to present the same, duly verified, to us, the undersigned Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment, at our office, Nos. 90 and 92 West Broadway, in the City of New York, with such affidavits or other proofs as the said owners or claimants may desire, within twenty days after the date of this notice.

And we, the said Commissioners, will be in attendance at our said office on the 15th day of January, 1898, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, to hear the said parties and persons in relation thereto, and at such time and place, and at such further or other time and place as we may appoint, we will hear such owners or claimants, or such additional proofs and allegations as may then be offered by such owner, or on behalf of the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York.

Dated New York, December 21, 1897.  
CLIFFORD W. HARTIDGE, HERMAN ALSEBERG, PETER F. MEYER, Commissioners.  
H. DE F. BALDWIN, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of Charles H. T. Collis, Commissioner of Public Works of the City of New York, for and in behalf of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title in fee to certain lots, pieces or parcels of land, in the Twelfth Ward of the City of New York, for the purpose of the construction of the South Third Avenue approach to the bridge over the Harlem river, connecting the northern end of Third Avenue, in the Twelfth Ward of said city, with the southerly end of Third Avenue, in the Twenty-third Ward of said city, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 413 of the Laws of 1892, entitled "An Act to provide for the construction of a draw-bridge over the Harlem river, in the City of New York, and for the removal of the present bridge at Third Avenue in said city," and the various statutes amendatory thereof, and all other statutes in such case made and provided.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT WE, THE** undersigned, were appointed by an order of the Supreme Court, bearing date the 25th day of October, 1897, and filed and entered in the office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on the 4th day of November, 1897, Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment for the purpose of making a just and equitable estimate of the loss and damage, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises required, and to be acquired in fee in the name of and for and in behalf of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, for the purpose of the construction of the South Third Avenue Approach to the bridge over the Harlem river, connecting the northern end of Third Avenue, in the Twelfth Ward of said city, with the southerly end of Third Avenue, in the Twenty-third Ward of said city, pursuant to the provisions of chapter 413 of the Laws of 1892, and the various statutes amendatory thereof, and all other statutes in such case made and provided, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of lands:

#### PARCEL "A."

Beginning at the corner formed by the intersection of the easterly side of Third Avenue with the northerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-eighth street, and running thence northerly along said easterly side of Third Avenue 199.82 feet to the corner formed by the intersection of the southerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street with the easterly side of Third Avenue; thence easterly along the said southerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street, 170 feet; thence southerly, parallel with the easterly side of Third Avenue 99.92 feet; thence southerly 101.0 feet to the northerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-eighth street, and thence westerly along the said northerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-eighth street 150 feet to the point or place of beginning.

The title to so much of the lands above described in Parcel "A" as are owned by the Manhattan Railway Company is to be acquired for the aforesaid purpose, subject, however, to the perpetual right of said company, its successors and assigns, to maintain and operate its elevated railroad above the said lands as it now exists, and to construct and maintain additional tracks and platforms above said lands and above the lands above described in Parcel "A," and to be acquired herein for said approach, lying between the lands of said company and the northerly line of One Hundred and Twenty-eighth street, and in Third Avenue, and One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street, as shown on the map submitted to the Board of Estimate and Apportionment of the City of New York on the 16th day of June, 1897, entitled "Manhattan Railway Company, proposed Third Avenue Terminal, May 25, 1897, J. Waterhouse, Chief Engineer," and to operate its said road in connection with such additional tracks and platforms.

#### PARCEL "B."

Beginning at the corner formed by the intersection of the easterly side of Third Avenue with the northerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street, and running thence northerly along said easterly side of Third Avenue 221.67 feet to land heretofore acquired by the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York for an approach to the new Third Avenue Bridge; thence southerly along the southerly side of said land heretofore acquired by the said city for said purpose 175.39 feet to a point which is distant northerly 143.22 feet from the northerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street and distant easterly 156.87 feet from the easterly side of Third Avenue; thence southerly and still along said land of said city on a curve turning to the right with a radius of 160.13 feet 61.17 feet; thence southerly and parallel with Third Avenue 26.07 feet; thence westerly parallel with East One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street 25 feet; thence southerly parallel with Third Avenue 80 feet to the northerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street, and thence westerly along the said northerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street 180 feet to the point or place of beginning.

#### PARCEL "C."

Beginning at a point on the easterly side of land heretofore acquired by the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York for an approach to the new Third Avenue Bridge distant northerly from the northerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street 265.47 feet and distant easterly from the easterly side of Third Avenue 37.605 feet; thence northeasterly along said land of said city 34.39 feet to a point which is distant northerly from the

northerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street 291.88 feet and distant easterly from the easterly side of Third Avenue 55.436 feet; thence southeasterly on a curve turning to the right with a radius of 287.166 feet, 107.734 feet to a point on the northerly side of said land of said city which point is distant northerly from the northerly side of East One Hundred and Twenty-ninth street 218.84 feet and distant easterly from the easterly side of Third Avenue 130.86 feet, and thence northwesterly along said northerly side of said land of said city 104.266 feet to the point or place of beginning.

The lots, pieces or parcels of land above described are shown on a certain map entitled "Map of lands required for the construction of the South Third Avenue approach to the bridge over Harlem river, under chapter 413, Laws of 1892, and under chapter 716, Laws of 1896, and under chapter 660, Laws of 1897," which said map was duly approved by the Board of Estimate and Apportionment of the City of New York by resolution duly adopted on the 16th day of June, 1897, and filed in the office of the Department of Public Works of the City of New York.

All parties and persons interested in the real estate taken or to be taken for the aforesaid purpose or affected thereby, and having any claim or demand on account thereof, are hereby required to present the same, duly verified, to us, the undersigned Commissioners of Estimate and Apportionment, at our office, Room No. 2, on the fourth floor of the Staats-Zeitung Building, No. 2 Tryon Row, in the City of New York, with such affidavits or other proofs as the owners or claimants may desire, within thirty (30) days after the date of this notice (December 6, 1897).

And we, the said Commissioners, will be in attendance at our said office on the 8th day of January, 1898, at 10.30 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, to hear the said parties and persons in relation thereto.

And at such time and place and at such further or other time and place as we may appoint we will hear such owners or claimants and examine the proofs of such claimant or claimants or such additional proofs and allegations as may then be offered by such owner or on behalf of the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York.

Dated New York, December 6, 1897.  
DAVID LEVENTRITT, PETER BOWE, ARTHUR INGRAHAM, Commissioners.  
JAMES A. C. JOHNSON, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of the Board of Street Opening and Improvement of the City of New York, by the Counsel to the Corporation of said City, relative to acquiring title by the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, to certain lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises in the Seventeenth Ward of the City of New York, bounded by Houston, Norfolk, Stanton and Essex streets, duly selected, located and laid out as and for a public park or playground, under and in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 320 of the Laws of 1887, chapter 293 of the Laws of 1895 and chapter 676 of the Laws of 1897.

**PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER** 320 of the Laws of 1887, chapter 293 of the Laws of 1895 and chapter 676 of the Laws of 1897, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term of said Court, to be held in Part III, thereof, in the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on Thursday, the 2nd day of January, 1898, at the opening of the Court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate in the above-entitled matter.

The nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title by the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York to certain lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises in the Seventeenth Ward of the City of New York, bounded by Houston, Norfolk, Stanton and Essex streets, in fee simple absolute, the same to be appropriated, converted and used to and for the purposes specified in chapter 320 of the Laws of 1887, chapter 293 of the Laws of 1895 and chapter 676 of the Laws of 1897, said property having been duly selected, located and laid out by the Board of Street Opening and Improvement of the City of New York as and for a public park or playground, under and in pursuance of the provisions of said chapter 320 of the Laws of 1887, chapter 293 of the Laws of 1895 and chapter 676 of the Laws of 1897, being the following-described lots, pieces or parcels of land, namely:

All those lots, pieces or parcels of land in the Seventeenth Ward of the City of New York, bounded and described as follows, to wit:

Beginning at the northeasterly corner of Stanton and Essex streets; thence northerly along the easterly line of Essex street, distance 298 feet 3 3/4 inches; thence easterly and parallel with Houston street, distance 175 feet 6 3/4 inches; thence northerly and nearly parallel to Norfolk street, distance 100 feet, to the southerly line of Houston street; thence easterly along said line, distance 25 feet 2 1/4 inches, to the southwesterly corner of Houston and Norfolk streets; thence southerly and along the westerly line of Norfolk street, distance 398 feet 2 1/4 inches, to the northerly line of Stanton street; thence westerly along said line, distance 17 feet 6 inches; thence northerly and parallel to Norfolk street, distance 98 feet 2 inches; thence southerly and parallel to Norfolk street, distance 98 feet 2 inches, to the northerly line of Stanton street; thence westerly along the northerly line of Stanton street, distance 73 feet and 1/2 inch, to the point or place of beginning.

The lots, pieces or parcels of land above described are shown on two similar maps, plans and profiles thereof, accompanied with explanatory remarks, made by the Department of Public Works, each of which is entitled "Map showing a public park or playground bounded by Houston, Norfolk, Stanton and Essex streets, in the Seventeenth Ward of the City of New York, as laid out and established by the Board of Street Opening and Improvement," one of which said maps is filed in the office of the Register of the City and County of New York, and the other of which is filed in the office of the Department of Public Parks in said city.

Dated New York, December 23, 1897.  
FRANCIS M. SCOTT, Counsel to the Corporation,  
No. 2 Tryon Row, New York City.

In the matter of the application of the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, acting by and through the Board of Docks, relative to acquiring right and title to and possession of the wharfage, rights, terms, easements, emoluments and privileges appurtenant to the bulkhead on the southerly side of South street, beginning at a point on said bulkhead seventy-two and thirty-eight hundredths (72.38) feet easterly from the easterly side of Catharine ship, extended; thence running easterly along said southerly side of South street one hundred and nine and sixty-nine hundredths (109.69) feet, necessary to be taken for the improvement of the water-front of the City of New York, on the East river, pursuant to the plan heretofore adopted by the said Department of Docks and approved by the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund.

**WE, THE UNDERSIGNED COMMISSIONERS** of Estimate and Assessment in the above-entitled matter, hereby give notice to all persons interested in this proceeding, and to the owner or owners, occupant or occupants of all houses and lots and improved and unimproved lands or wharf property, and all persons interested therein, or in any rights, privileges or interests pertaining thereto, affected thereby, and to all others whom it may concern, to wit:

First—That we have completed our preliminary report and our estimate and assessment, and that all persons interested in this proceeding, or in any of the uplands, lands, lands under water, premises, buildings and wharf property affected thereby, and having objections thereto, do present their said objections in writing, duly verified, to us, at our office, Rooms 312 and 313, No. 253 Broadway, New York City, on or before the 24th day of January, 1898; that we, the said Commissioners, will hear

parties so objecting within the ten week days next after the said 24th day of January, 1898, and for that purpose will be in attendance at our said office on each of said ten days at 3 o'clock P.M.

Second—That the abstract of our said estimate and assessment, together with our damage map and also all the affidavits, estimates and other documents used by us in making our report, have been deposited in the Bureau of Street Openings in the Law Department of the City of New York, at the office of said Bureau, at Nos. 90 and 92 West Broadway, in the said city, there to remain until the 24th day of January, 1898.

Third—That our report herein will be presented to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term, Part III, thereof, to be held in the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 25th day of February, 1898, at the opening of Court on that day, and that then and there, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, a motion will be made that the said report be confirmed, and for such other and further relief as may be just and meet.

Dated New York, December 17, 1897.  
WILBUR LARREMORE, Chairman; WILLIAM M. LAWRENCE, WM. J. ELLIS, Commissioners.  
JOHN A. HENNEBERRY, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, acting by and through the Department of Docks, relative to acquiring title to the wharf property, rights, terms, easements, emoluments and privileges of and to the lands and the lands necessary to be taken for the improvement of the water-front of the City of New York, on the North river, between West Eleventh and Bank streets and between West street and Thirteenth Avenue, pursuant to the plan heretofore adopted by the said Board of Docks and approved by the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund.

**WE, THE UNDERSIGNED COMMISSIONERS** of Estimate and Assessment in the above-entitled matter, hereby give notice to all persons interested in this proceeding, and to the owner or owners, occupant or occupants, of all houses and lots and improved and unimproved lands or wharf property, and all persons interested therein, or in any rights, privileges or interests pertaining thereto, affected thereby, and to all others whom it may concern, to wit:

First—That we have completed our preliminary report and our estimate and assessment, and that all persons interested in this proceeding, or in any of the lands, premises, buildings and wharf property affected thereby and having objections thereto, do present their said objections in writing, duly verified, to us, at our office, Rooms 312 and 313, No. 253 Broadway, New York City, on or before the 24th day of January, 1898; that we, the said Commissioners, will hear parties so objecting within the ten week days next after the said 24th day of January, 1898, and for that purpose will be in attendance at our said office on each of said ten days at 4 o'clock P.M.

Second—That the abstract of our said estimate and assessment, together with our damage map and also all the affidavits, estimates and other documents used by us in making our report, have been deposited in the Bureau of Street Openings in the Law Department of the City of New York, at the office of said Bureau, at Nos. 90 and 92 West Broadway, in the said city, there to remain until the 24th day of January, 1898.

Third—That our report herein will be presented to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term, Part III, thereof, to be held in the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on the 25th day of February, 1898, at the opening of Court on that day, and that then and there, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, a motion will be made that the said report be confirmed, and for such other and further relief as may be just and meet.

Dated New York, December 17, 1897.  
LAWRENCE GODKIN, Chairman; WILLIAM B. ELLISON, WILBUR LARREMORE, Commissioners.  
EMIL F. MAURER, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title, wherever the same has not been heretofore acquired, to the lands and premises required for the purpose of opening BURNSIDE AVENUE (although not yet named by proper authority, between Tremont Avenue and East One Hundred and Seventy-eighth street, as the same has been heretofore laid out and designated as a first-class street or road, in the Twenty-fourth Ward of the City of New York.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT WE, THE** undersigned, were appointed by an order of the Supreme Court, bearing date the 11th day of November, 1897, Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment for the purpose of making a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the loss and damage, if any, or of the benefit and advantage, if any, as the case may be, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises required for the purpose by and in consequence of opening the above-mentioned street or avenue, the same being particularly set forth and described in the petition of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, and also in the notice of the application for the said order thereto attached, filed herein in the office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on the 2d day of December, 1897, and a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the value of the benefit and advantage of said street or avenue so to be opened or laid out and formed, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the said respective lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises not required for the purpose of opening, laying out and forming the same, but benefited thereby, and of ascertaining and defining the extent and boundaries of the respective tracts or parcels of land to be taken or to be assessed therefor, and of performing the trusts and duties required of us by chapter 16, title 5, of the act entitled "An act to consolidate into one act and to declare the special and local laws affecting public interests in the City of New York," passed July 1, 1882, and the acts or parts of acts in addition thereto or amendatory thereof.

All parties and persons interested in the real estate taken or to be taken for the purpose of opening the said street or avenue, or affected thereby, and having any claim or demand on account thereof, are hereby required to present the same, duly verified, to us, the undersigned Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment, at our office, Nos. 90 and 92 West Broadway, in the City of New York, with such affidavits or other proofs as the said owners or claimants may desire, within twenty days after the date of this notice.

And we, the said Commissioners, will be in attendance at our said office on the 8th day of January, 1898, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, to hear the said parties and persons in relation thereto, and at such time and place, and at such further or other time and place as we may appoint, we will hear such owners or claimants, or such additional proofs and allegations as may then be offered by such owner, or on behalf of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York.

Dated New York, December 14, 1897.  
GEORGE M. VAN HUSEN, JAS. B. BRADY, WILLIAM M. LAWRENCE, Commissioners.  
JOHN P. DUNN, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to acquiring title, wherever the same has not been heretofore acquired, to the lands, tenements and hereditaments required for the purpose of opening RYER AVENUE (although not yet named by proper authority), from Tremont Avenue to Burnside Avenue, as the same has been heretofore laid out and designated as a first-class street or road, in the Twenty-fourth Ward of the City of New York.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT WE, THE** undersigned, were appointed by an order of the Supreme Court, bearing date the 11th day of November, 1897, Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment for the purpose of making a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the loss and damage, if any, or of the benefit and advantage, if any, as the case may be, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises required for the purpose by and in consequence of opening the above-mentioned street or avenue, the same being particularly set forth and described in the petition of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, and also in the notice of the application for the said order thereto attached, filed herein in the office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on the 2d day of December, 1897, and a just and equitable estimate and assessment of the value of the benefit and advantage of said street or avenue so to be opened or laid out and formed, to the respective owners, lessees, parties and persons respectively entitled unto or interested in the said respective lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises not required for the purpose of opening, laying out and forming the same, but benefited thereby, and of ascertaining and defining the extent and boundaries of the respective tracts or parcels of land to be taken or to be assessed therefor, and of performing the trusts and duties required of us by chapter 16, title 5, of the act entitled "An act to consolidate into one act and to declare the special and local laws affecting public interests in the City of New York," passed July 1, 1882, and the acts or parts of acts in addition thereto or amendatory thereof.

All parties and persons interested in the real estate taken or to be taken for the purpose of opening the said street or avenue, or affected thereby, and having any claim or demand on account thereof, are hereby required to present the same, duly verified, to us, the undersigned Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment, at our office, Nos. 90 and 92 West Broadway, in the City of New York, with such affidavits or other proofs as the said owners or claimants may desire, within twenty days after the date of this notice.

And we, the said Commissioners, will be in attendance at our said office on the 8th day of January, 1898, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, to hear the said parties and persons in relation thereto, and at such time and place, and at such further or other time and place as we may appoint, we will hear such owners or claimants, or such additional proofs and allegations as may then be offered by such owner, or on behalf of The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York.

Dated New York, December 14, 1897.  
EDWARD E. MCCALL, WILLIAM J. CARROLL, GEORGE M. VAN HUSEN, Commissioners.  
H. DE F. BALDWIN, Clerk.

In the matter of the application of the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, by and through the Counsel to the Corporation, to acquire title to certain lands in the Twenty-third Ward of the City of New York as and for a public park, under and pursuant to the provisions of chapter 224 of the Laws of 1896, as amended by chapter 70 of the Laws of 1897.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT WE, THE** undersigned, were appointed by an order of the Supreme Court bearing date the 15th day of October, 1897, and filed and entered in the office of the Clerk of the City and County of New York on the 4th day of November, 1897, Commissioners of Appraisal for the purpose of ascertaining and appraising the compensation to be made to the owners and all persons interested in the real estate hereinafter described and laid out, appropriated or designated by said chapter 224 of the Laws of 1896, as amended by chapter 70 of the Laws of 1897, as and for a public park in the Twenty-third ward of the City of New York, and proposed to be taken or affected for the purposes named in said act, and to perform such other duties as are by said act prescribed.

The real estate so proposed to be taken or affected for said purposes comprises all the lands, tenements, hereditaments and premises not now owned or the title to which is not vested in the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, within the limits or boundaries of the parcels of land laid out, appropriated or designated for said public park by said chapter 224 of the Laws of 1896, as amended by chapter 70 of the Laws of 1897, namely: On the north by the southerly line of One Hundred and Sixty-second street; on the east by the westerly line of Cromwell's Avenue as far south as the southerly line of One Hundred and Sixty-first street, and south of that point by the northwesterly line of the channel of Cromwell's creek; on the south by said southerly line of the channel of Cromwell's creek, and the easterly bulkhead line of the Harlem river, and on the west by the easterly bulkhead line of the Harlem river to the lands now or formerly belonging to the West Side and Yonkers Railroad or Railway Company; thence running easterly and bounded by the lands of said company to Sedgwick Avenue; thence again running easterly across Sedgwick Avenue to the southerly line of One Hundred and Sixty-first street or the passageway leading from Sedgwick Avenue to Summit Avenue; thence running southeasterly along the southerly line of One Hundred and Sixty-first street or said passageway to the westerly line of Summit Avenue; thence running southeasterly along the westerly line of Summit Avenue to the southerly line of One Hundred and sixty-first street; thence running southeasterly along the southerly line of One Hundred and Sixty-first street to the westerly line of Ogden Avenue; thence again running southerly in a straight line to the southeasterly corner of Jerome Avenue and One Hundred and sixty-second street, the point or place of beginning, including all the lands within said bounds, excepting and reserving therefrom all public streets, avenues or places now laid out across or over any part of said land and shown on the official field maps of the Commissioner of Street Improvements of the Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth Wards of the City of New York.

All parties and persons, owners, lessees or other persons interested in the real estate above described and to be taken for the purposes of said public park, or any part thereof, or affected by the proceedings, had under or authorized by said act, chapter 224 of the Laws of 1896, as amended by chapter 70 of the Laws of 1897, and having any claim or demand on account thereof, are required to present the same to us, duly verified, with such affidavits or other proof in support thereof as the said owner or claimant may desire, within sixty days after the date of this notice (November 8, 1897), at our office, Nos. 90 and 92 West Broadway, ninth floor, in the City of New York.

And at such time and place, or at such further or other time and place as we may appoint, we will hear the proofs and allegations of any owner, lessee or other person in any way entitled to or interested in such real estate, or any part or parcel thereof, and also such proofs and allegations as may be then offered on behalf of the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York.

Dated New York, November 8, 1897.  
CHARLES L. GUY, WILLIAM H. BARKER, HENRY H. PORTER, Commissioners.

## THE CITY RECORD.

THE CITY RECORD IS PUBLISHED DAILY, Sundays and legal holidays excepted, at No. 2 City Hall, New York City. Annual subscription, \$9.30, postage prepaid. HENRY McMILLEN, Supervisor.