2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target		2.48		
Collaborative Teachers	Approaching Target		2.68		
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target			2.96	
Effective School Leadership	Not Meeting Target	1.36			
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.56	
Trust	Not Meeting Target	1.76			
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.49		
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

P.S. 194 Countee Cullen

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	36	37	31
Grade 1	54	44	22
Grade 2	32	29	32
Grade 3	36	25	30
Grade 4	41	31	27
Grade 5	38	29	20
All students	255	212	173

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	17%	18%	22%
% Free Lunch Eligible	90%	90%	93%
% Student with IEPs	26%	29%	27%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	10%	12%
% HRA Eligible	-	76%	74%
% Temporary Housing	-	40%	40%
% Asian	1%	1%	3%
% Black	52%	50%	46%
% Hispanic	42%	45%	45%
% White	3%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	3%	4%

05M194 P.S. 194 Countee Cullen



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.49									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
 Average Student Proficiency 		73	2.00	1.91	2.07	2.18	2.30	2.58	1.56	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		73	5.5%	0.9%	7.2%	11.7%	16.7%	28.6%	1.73	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		41	70.0	46.9	55.5	62.9	68.1	80.5	4.15	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thi	rd	15	81.0	63.0	70.9	77.5	82.1	93.0	3.76	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		28	1.01	0.25	0.86	1.40	1.78	2.73	2.28	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
 Average Student Proficiency 		75	2.02	1.90	2.12	2.26	2.43	2.79	1.55	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		75	5.3%	0.2%	9.1%	15.5%	22.7%	37.7%	1.57	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		43	51.0	36.9	48.6	58.8	65.9	82.8	2.24	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thi	rd	19	67.0	57.4	66.4	74.1	79.4	92.1	2.08	9.09%
• Early Grade Progress		29	0.60	0.03	1.01	1.87	2.47	3.97	1.58	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		24	83.1%	73.1%	80.7%	86.1%	92.3%	100.0%	2.44	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.27

						2	014-15 Target	S				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	12	16.4%	80.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	12	16.4%	83.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS			0.0%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	12	16.0%	79.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	12	16.0%	81.6%	8.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.19	0.030	0.009
SETSS			0.0%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	14	34.1%	72.9%	71.4%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Lowest Third Citywide	24	58.5%	85.2%	66.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.59	0.030	0.027
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	34.1%	86.3%	64.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.39	0.030	0.025
SC/ICT/SETSS	17	41.5%	100.0%	76.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.96	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	16	37.2%	76.4%	37.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.36	0.030	0.018
Lowest Third Citywide	30	69.8%	95.9%	40.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.73	0.030	0.013
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	17	39.5%	100.0%	58.8%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.23	0.030	0.024
SC/ICT/SETSS	17	39.5%	100.0%	47.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.61	0.030	0.020
ELL Progress	35	21.7%	55.1%	60.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.49	0.030	0.019
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.22
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	2.49

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

05M194 P.S. 194 Countee Cullen

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
05M194
```

P.S. 194 Countee Cullen

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.36	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.48	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	73%	1.92	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.68	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	3.84	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	53.4%	1.36	
HS	F2 40/	1.20	200/
Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	53.4%	1.36	30%
environments			
EMS HS	0.33	3.80	
Overall	0.33	3.80	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
ctive School Leadership	40%	1.36	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	49%	1.30	100%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.36	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	87%	3.56	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
t			
NYC School Survey - Trust	67%	1.76	100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

05M194

P.S. 194 Countee Cullen

	City Device						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			Dettern er nange	0.077.08	iop of hange	i ci cent or hunge	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	85	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.51	3.04
Section Results:		91%					3.36
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.86	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	97				0.81	4.24
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.54	3.16
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	50	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
 School commitment 	Teachers	43	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
 Innovation 	Teachers	69	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	90	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
• Peer collaboration	Teachers	70	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	69	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.01	1.04
• Collective responsibility	Teachers	74	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.23	1.92
Section Results:		73%					1.92
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	93	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.64	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	93				0.64	3.56
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	89	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.67	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	89				0.67	3.68
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.86	4.44
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	93	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.72	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	93				0.72	3.88
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.84	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	95				0.67	3.68
Section Results:		94%					3.84

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

05M194 P.S. 194 Countee Cullen

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Londowskip							
Effective School Leadership	. .	20			100.0	0.00	4.00
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	39	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.00	1.00
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	23	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.00	1.00
 Program coherence 	Teachers	57	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	75	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.34	2.36
Section Results:		49%					1.36
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	93	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.55	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.65	3.60
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	80	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.63	3.52
Section Results:		87%					3.56
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	44	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	54	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
o Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	71	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		67%					1.76

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.00	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.28	2.29 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.68	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	5.5%	8.7% or lower	8.8% to 13.8%	13.9% to 17.7%	17.8% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.02	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.73	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	5.3%	10.8% or lower	10.9% to 17.1%	17.2% to 21.8%	21.9% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	83.1%	83.1% or lower	83.2% to 87.4%	87.5% to 90.6%	90.7% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.55	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.88	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
SETSS							
ELL	1.99	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.71	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.71	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.90	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 or higher		
SETSS							
ELL	1.93	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.33	2.34 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.82	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.77	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	53.4%	56.6% or lower	56.7% to 63.5%	63.6% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.33	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.29	0.30 or higher		