
#### **Summary of Section Ratings**

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Focus**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

## **School Enrollment and Demographic Data**

P.S. 092 Adrian Hegeman

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	78	73	59
Grade 1	80	80	91
Grade 2	88	76	81
Grade 3	83	86	67
Grade 4	93	58	77
Grade 5	69	84	55
All students	526	493	482

## **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	14%	15%	16%
% Free Lunch Eligible	85%	85%	86%
% Student with IEPs	12%	17%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	4%	5%
% HRA Eligible	-	71%	69%
% Temporary Housing	-	18%	19%
% Asian	5%	5%	6%
% Black	78%	77%	78%
% Hispanic	15%	17%	15%
% White	1%	0%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

### **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

17K092 P.S. 092 Adrian Hegeman

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.47

		2014-15 Targets								
	Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
	State Test Results - ELA									
0	Average Student Proficiency	186	2.14	1.91	2.17	2.34	2.54	2.84	1.88	9.09%
0	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	186	8.6%	0.0%	11.1%	19.1%	28.2%	41.0%	1.77	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	114	56.5	46.3	54.6	61.8	66.8	78.7	2.26	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	41	74.0	57.5	66.2	73.9	79.3	92.0	3.02	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	63	1.20	0.11	0.95	1.67	2.17	3.37	2.35	9.09%
	State Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	190	2.22	1.83	2.20	2.46	2.77	3.18	2.08	9.09%
0	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	190	11.1%	0.0%	13.9%	24.0%	35.4%	51.4%	1.80	9.09%
0	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	113	49.0	38.3	49.1	58.6	65.2	80.9	1.99	9.09%
0	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	39	58.0	54.3	63.1	70.8	76.2	89.0	1.42	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	64	1.62	0.02	1.16	2.16	2.85	4.52	2.46	9.09%
•	MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	73	95.3%	74.6%	81.7%	86.8%	92.7%	100.0%	4.36	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.31

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	– Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
<ul> <li>Self-Contained</li> </ul>	13	7.0%	34.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	15	8.1%	41.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
o SETSS	6	3.2%	30.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	13	6.8%	33.8%	7.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.06	0.030	0.023
Integrated Co-Teaching	15	7.9%	40.3%	20.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.13	0.030	0.024
o SETSS	7	3.7%	35.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	22	19.3%	41.2%	31.8%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.56	0.030	0.012
Lowest Third Citywide	63	55.3%	80.4%	38.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.94	0.030	0.007
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	29	25.4%	64.3%	51.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.60	0.030	0.020
SC/ICT/SETSS	26	22.8%	54.2%	46.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.14	0.030	0.016
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	22	19.5%	40.0%	22.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.04	0.030	0.008
Lowest Third Citywide	59	52.2%	71.7%	25.4%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.47	0.030	0.004
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	28	24.8%	64.6%	46.4%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.45	0.030	0.018
SC/ICT/SETSS	25	22.1%	53.0%	40.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.96	0.030	0.015
ELL Progress	69	16.0%	40.6%	46.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.16	0.030	0.009
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.16
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	2.47

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 092 Adrian Hegeman

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	77%	1.32	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.68	
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	89%	3.32	50%
The Selloof Survey Collaborative Teachers	0370	3.32	3070
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	84%	2.84	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	<b>0</b> 1,70		33,1
EMS	70.0%	2.80	
HS			
Overall	70.0%	2.80	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.18	2.36	
HS			
Overall	0.18	2.36	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
antiva Cabaal Landavahin			
ective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	77%	3.04	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
ong Family-Community Ties			
	QE0/	2 24	1000/
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.24	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
NVC School Survey Truct	0.29/	2.40	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	92%	3.40	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	



P.S. 092 Adrian Hegeman

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			-			-	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	82	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.00	1.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	85	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.25	2.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
<ul> <li>Quality of student discussion</li> </ul>	Teachers	65	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		77%					1.32
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	32	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Combined	94	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.63	3.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.54	3.16
Quality of professional development	Teachers	83	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.66	3.64
School commitment	Teachers	90	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.76	4.04
Innovation	Teachers	80	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.70	2.24
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	93	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.63	3.52
Focus on student learning	Teachers	98	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
_	Teachers	74	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.23	1.92
<ul> <li>Collective responsibility</li> <li>Section Results:</li> </ul>	reactiers	89%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.25	3.32
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.88	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
<ul> <li>Safety</li> </ul>	Combined	98				0.88	4.52
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	66	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.00	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
<ul> <li>Classroom behavior</li> </ul>	Combined	66				0.00	1.00
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	64	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
<ul> <li>Press toward academic achievement</li> </ul>	Combined	64				0.00	1.00
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	91	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.63	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	89	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	90				0.44	2.76
Section Results:		84%					2.84

P.S. 092 Adrian Hegeman

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.44	2.76
Teacher influence	Teachers	40	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.18	1.72
Program coherence	Teachers	88	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.69	3.76
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	90	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.73	3.92
Section Results:		77%					3.04
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	91	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	80	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		86%					3.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
		95 89	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.35	2.40
Parent-principal trust	Parents	89				0.33	2.40
Student-teacher trust	Students	0.5	64.6	85.2	100.0	0.00	4.50
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	95	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		92%					3.40

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.14	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.88	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	8.6%	13.3% or lower	13.4% to 18.8%	18.9% to 22.9%	23.0% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.22	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.49	2.50 to 2.61	2.62 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	11.1%	19.3% or lower	19.4% to 26.6%	26.7% to 32.1%	32.2% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.3%	85.2% or lower	85.3% to 88.9%	89.0% to 91.8%	91.9% or highe		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.87	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.97	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
SETSS	1.92	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
ELL	1.97	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.00	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.22	2.23 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.30	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.25	2.26 or higher		
SETSS	1.90	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher		
ELL	2.11	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.54	2.55 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
ELL Progress	46.4%	47.3% or lower	47.4% to 57.3%	57.4% to 64.9%	65.0% or highe		

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	70.0%	65.8% or lower	65.9% to 72.6%	72.7% to 77.8%	77.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.18	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			