## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Meeting Target			3.00	
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Meeting Target			3.44	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.72		
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.8	0	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Not Meeting Target	1.	84		
Trust	Approaching Target		2.40		
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.73	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	40	34	37
Grade 1	31	38	27
Grade 2	50	27	29
Grade 3	34	38	30
Grade 4	43	37	36
Grade 5	28	31	30
All students	243	223	206

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	4%	2%	4%
% Free Lunch Eligible	94%	94%	94%
% Student with IEPs	16%	22%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	2%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	77%	76%
% Temporary Housing	-	36%	40%
% Asian	0%	0%	1%
% Black	85%	82%	79%
% Hispanic	13%	16%	19%
% White	1%	0%	0%
% Other	0%	0%	2%



# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.73									
					2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	<ul> <li>Top of</li> <li>Target Range</li> </ul>	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		92	2.21	1.95	2.13	2.25	2.38	2.65	2.67	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		92	9.8%	2.0%	9.5%	14.7%	20.6%	32.3%	2.06	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		54	65.0	44.2	52.6	59.9	65.0	77.1	4.00	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	1	18	73.5	56.9	65.9	73.7	79.1	92.0	2.97	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		30	1.54	0.37	0.96	1.47	1.83	2.75	3.19	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		93	2.55	1.95	2.19	2.35	2.53	2.88	4.06	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		93	25.8%	1.0%	11.4%	18.8%	27.2%	42.2%	3.83	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		57	75.0	32.9	46.1	57.3	65.2	83.8	4.53	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	1	21	83.0	52.0	62.5	71.6	78.0	93.2	4.33	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		30	3.58	0.16	1.09	1.90	2.47	3.90	4.78	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		28	84.8%	74.1%	81.4%	86.6%	92.6%	100.0%	2.65	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.55

						2	014-15 Targets	5				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics		2014-15 School	•	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	4	4.3%	21.2%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	11	12.0%	60.9%	9.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.93	0.030	0.022
o SETSS	7	7.6%	72.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	4	4.3%	21.4%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	11	11.8%	60.2%	18.2%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.96	0.030	0.022
SETSS	7	7.5%	72.1%	14.3%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.51	0.030	0.019
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL			0.0%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	20	37.0%	53.0%	50.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.35	0.030	0.018
<ul> <li>Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide</li> </ul>	12	22.2%	56.2%	58.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.12	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	10	18.5%	41.8%	30.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.62	0.030	0.005
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	1	1.8%	3.7%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	25	43.9%	60.3%	68.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.63	0.030	0.027
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	10	17.5%	45.6%	70.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.65	0.030	0.027
SC/ICT/SETSS	11	19.3%	45.0%	36.4%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.63	0.030	0.012
ELL Progress	7	3.7%	9.4%	100.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.18
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.73

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
17K191
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.00	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	88%	3.44	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
portive Environment			
• Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	91%	3.52	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	53.5%	1.40	
HS			
Overall	53.5%	1.40	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments	0.00	4.00	
EMS	0.00	1.00	
HS	0.00	1.00	F 0/
Overall	0.00	1.00	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	78%	2.80	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.80	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	77%	1.84	100%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.84	
st	0.424	2.40	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	84%	2.40	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.40	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction			0			5		
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in literacy</li> </ul>	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.89	4.56	
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	96	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.60	3.40	
Section Results:		94%					4.00	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.76		
Cultural awareness	Parents	86	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.25		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
Cultural awareness	Combined	91				0.51	3.04	
<ul> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	71	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.40	2.60	
School commitment	Teachers	82	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20	
Innovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.58	3.32	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	94	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.73	3.92	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	85	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.54	3.16	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	88	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.64	3.56	
Section Results:		88%					3.44	
Supportive Environment Safety:	Tanahara	00	20.0	04.6	100.0	0.02		
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.92		
Safety	Students	00	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.02	4.60	
Safety	Combined	98				0.92	4.68	
Classroom behavior:	-	0.4	66.0	05.5	400.0	0.40		
Classroom behavior	Teachers	81	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.43		
Classroom behavior	Students	04	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.40	0.70	
Classroom behavior	Combined	81				0.43	2.72	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	95	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:			== 0	00.0		0.05		
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	91	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.65		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	91	0	00.5	400.0	0.65	3.60	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:					400.0	0.00		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	84	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.32		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	91	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50		
Peer support for academic work	Students	00	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.44	2.64	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	88				0.41	2.64	
Section Results:		91%					3.52	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
<ul> <li>Inclusive principal leadership</li> </ul>	Parents	83	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.18	1.72
Teacher influence	Teachers	64	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.55	3.20
Program coherence	Teachers	88	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.69	3.76
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	77	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.39	2.56
Section Results:		78%					2.80
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	92	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.51	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	83	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	87				0.26	2.04
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	67	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.16	1.64
Section Results:		77%					1.84
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	87	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.25	2.00
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	81	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	73	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.39	2.56
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.74	3.96
Section Results:		84%					2.40

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.21	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.25	2.26 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.97	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	9.8%	6.5% or lower	6.6% to 10.2%	10.3% to 14.9%	15.0% or highe		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.55	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.38	2.39 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.31	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	25.8%	9.9% or lower	10.0% to 15.7%	15.8% to 20.0%	20.1% or highe		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	84.8%	84.7% or lower	84.8% to 88.6%	88.7% to 91.5%	91.6% or highe		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	argets			
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.98	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
SETSS	1.91	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
ELL		1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.36	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.26	2.27 or higher		
SETSS	2.24	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.26	2.27 or higher		
ELL	2.42	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.32	2.33 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.33	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.50	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
ELL Progress	100.0%	41.9% or lower	42.0% to 51.9%	52.0% to 59.5%	59.6% or highe		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	53.5%	60.6% or lower	60.7% to 67.5%	67.6% to 72.6%	72.7% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.00	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.14	0.15 to 0.19	0.20 or higher		