2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.12	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.12	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.60		
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.76		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.68		
Trust	Meeting Target			3.44	
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2	2.92	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Local Assistance Plan

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 004 Duke Ellington

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	123	128	83
Grade 1	124	125	121
Grade 2	102	126	125
Grade 3	116	103	129
Grade 4	116	119	104
Grade 5	96	96	96
All students	713	730	684

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	45%	43%	42%
% Free Lunch Eligible	86%	86%	86%
% Student with IEPs	14%	19%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	6%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	74%	69%
% Temporary Housing	-	14%	15%
% Asian	0%	0%	1%
% Black	9%	9%	9%
% Hispanic	90%	89%	90%
% White	1%	1%	0%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

06M004 P.S. 004 Duke Ellington

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.92									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		285	2.24	1.92	2.13	2.26	2.42	2.70	2.85	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		285	13.3%	1.8%	10.0%	15.7%	22.2%	34.0%	2.58	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		166	60.5	49.6	57.1	63.5	68.0	78.7	2.53	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		62	72.0	61.1	68.9	75.5	80.1	91.1	2.47	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		108	1.88	0.53	1.15	1.69	2.07	3.00	3.50	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		288	2.33	1.95	2.23	2.41	2.63	2.99	2.56	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		288	18.8%	1.8%	13.9%	22.4%	32.1%	47.2%	2.58	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		165	55.0	41.1	51.1	59.8	65.8	80.4	2.45	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		56	64.5	52.3	62.3	71.1	77.2	91.7	2.25	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		112	1.94	0.47	1.42	2.21	2.77	4.16	2.66	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		87	93.5%	80.0%	85.8%	89.8%	94.3%	100.0%	3.82	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.75

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	_		Population %	2014-15 Sebael Value	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of	Matria Casua	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
 Self-Contained 	17	6.0%	29.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
	17	6.0%	30.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	4.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching												
SETSS	26	9.1%	86.7%	7.7%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	3.56	0.030	0.019
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	15	5.2%	25.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	17	5.9%	30.1%	5.9%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.82	0.030	0.006
O SETSS	26	9.0%	86.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
FLA Devecut at 75th Crowth Devecutile												
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile	20	40.20/	100.0%	22 50/	12 70/	26.0%	26.20	46 40/	<u> </u>	2.62	0.020	0.012
ELL	80	48.2%	100.0%	32.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.63	0.030	0.012
• Lowest Third Citywide	79	47.6%	68.9%	38.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.93	0.030	0.007
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	45	27.1%	68.6%	46.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.08	0.030	0.016
SC/ICT/SETSS	37	22.3%	52.7%	54.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.94	0.030	0.022
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	82	49.7%	100.0%	40.2%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.60	0.030	0.020
Lowest Third Citywide	82	49.7%	68.3%	39.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.63	0.030	0.012
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	44	26.7%	69.5%	45.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.37	0.030	0.018
• SC/ICT/SETSS	37	22.4%	53.9%	54.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.11	0.030	0.023
	267	40.60/	400.00/		24 694	44.00/	FF 40/	65.00/	00.00/		0.020	0.040
ELL Progress	267	40.6%	100.0%	50.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.59	0.030	0.012
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.17
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.92

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

06M004 P.S. 004 Duke Ellington

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
06M004
```

P.S. 004 Duke Ellington

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.44	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	84%	2.80	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
portive Environment			
• Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	87%	2.80	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	66.9%	1.80	
HS			
Overall	66.9%	1.80	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.05	1.36	
HS			
Overall	0.05	1.36	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	
ctive School Leadership	7.40/	2.70	4000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	74%	2.76	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.76	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	2.68	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.68	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	88%	3.44	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	2 11	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

06M004

P.S.	004	Duke	Ellington	
------	-----	------	-----------	--

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.60	3.40
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	84	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.48	2.92
ection Results:		91%					3.44
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.51	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	93	00.0	0	20010	0.50	3.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	87	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.25	2.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	69	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.36	2.44
School commitment	Teachers	83	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.56	3.24
Innovation	Teachers	82	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.40	2.60
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	91	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.60	3.40
Focus on student learning	Teachers	78	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.31	2.24
Collective responsibility	Teachers	77	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.32	2.28
ection Results:	reachers	84%	00.7	01.7	100.0	0.52	2.80
Supportive Environment Safety:					400.0		
Safety	Teachers	91	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.54	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	91				0.54	3.16
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	78	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.34	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	78				0.34	2.36
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	92	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	83	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.33	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	83				0.33	2.32
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	83	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.29	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	90				0.52	3.08
ection Results:		87%					2.80

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

06M004 P.S. 004 Duke Ellington

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.55	3.20
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	44	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.24	1.96
Program coherence	Teachers	81	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.52	3.08
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	79	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		74%					2.76
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	92	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	92				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	72	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.34	2.36
Section Results:		82%					2.68
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.64	3.56
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	73	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.38	2.52
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		88%					3.44

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.24	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.34	2.35 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.87	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	13.3%	11.0% or lower	11.1% to 16.6%	16.7% to 20.7%	20.8% or highe			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.33	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.55	2.56 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.83	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	18.8%	17.7% or lower	17.8% to 24.9%	25.0% to 30.4%	30.5% or highe			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.5%	86.0% or lower	86.1% to 89.5%	89.6% to 92.2%	92.3% or highe			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.67	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.82	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
SETSS	1.92	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
ELL	2.10	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.69	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.96	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
SETSS	1.87	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher			
ELL	2.18	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.43	2.44 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
ELL Progress	50.9%	38.5% or lower	38.6% to 48.5%	48.6% to 56.0%	56.1% or highe			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	66.9%	70.2% or lower	70.3% to 77.0%	77.1% to 82.2%	82.3% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.05	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			