
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	140	127	117
Grade 1	143	143	110
Grade 2	131	133	132
Grade 3	139	132	132
Grade 4	133	134	131
Grade 5	141	130	140
All students	827	835	848

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	7%	8%
% Free Lunch Eligible	60%	60%	63%
% Student with IEPs	19%	20%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	7%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	43%	41%
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	5%
% Asian	4%	4%	3%
% Black	2%	2%	2%
% Hispanic	52%	51%	52%
% White	41%	42%	42%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

24Q091 P.S. 091 Richard Arkwright

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.01

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	365	2.57	2.23	2.51	2.67	2.85	3.09	2.38	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	365	29.0%	14.0%	26.9%	35.4%	45.1%	57.1%	2.25	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	234	63.0	47.8	55.6	62.3	67.0	78.2	3.15	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	87	66.0	56.6	64.2	70.8	75.5	86.7	2.27	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	118	1.76	1.05	1.65	2.12	2.45	3.26	2.23	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	363	2.73	2.24	2.61	2.85	3.11	3.46	2.50	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	363	37.2%	13.7%	30.5%	42.1%	55.2%	71.5%	2.58	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	235	65.0	41.1	52.1	61.6	68.3	84.1	3.51	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	81	69.0	51.0	60.7	69.1	75.0	89.1	2.99	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	118	2.25	0.84	1.77	2.51	3.03	4.33	2.65	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	108	99.1%	76.4%	83.1%	87.8%	93.2%	100.0%	4.87	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.85

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Point
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•					J					
O Self-Contained	22	6.0%	29.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O Integrated Co-Teaching	42	11.5%	58.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	13	3.6%	34.3%	7.7%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	3.56	0.030	0.019
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	21	5.8%	28.9%	4.8%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.83	0.030	0.014
O Integrated Co-Teaching	42	11.6%	59.2%	7.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.99	0.030	0.007
SETSS	13	3.6%	34.6%	15.4%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.73	0.030	0.021
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	26	11.1%	23.7%	50.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	72	30.8%	43.7%	43.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.52	0.030	0.011
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	10.3%	26.1%	41.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.57	0.030	0.012
SC/ICT/SETSS	53	22.6%	53.6%	45.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.05	0.030	0.015
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	30	12.8%	26.3%	43.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.88	0.030	0.022
Lowest Third Citywide	83	35.3%	48.5%	44.6%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.17	0.030	0.016
O Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	10.2%	26.6%	29.2%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	1.98	0.030	0.007
SC/ICT/SETSS	53	22.6%	54.5%	34.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.41	0.030	0.011
ELL Progress	54	7.2%	18.3%	61.1%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.16
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.01

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

24Q091 P.S. 091 Richard Arkwright

Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 67% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.52 33% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 25% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.3% 2.40 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 78.3% 2.40 35% NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: 3.28		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 25% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 25% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 94% 4.00 25% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 67% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.52 33% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 35% Section Score: 3.44 25% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.3% 2.40 35% PHS 78.3% 2.40 35% 35% Overall 78.3% 2.40 35% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 2.18 5% EMS 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target S	orous Instruction			
Duality Review 2.2	Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	25%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score:	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	25%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	25%
Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 67% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.52 33% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Score: 3.40 Section Score: 3.44 Section Score: 3.40 Secti	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.00	25%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 67% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 90% 3.52 33% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficient 3.40 35% AVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.40 25% AVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 25% AVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 25% AVC	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Proficient Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 25% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS Overall 78.3% 2.40 35% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.16 2.18 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.28 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 100%	llaborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44 Proficient 3.40 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 25% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 78.3% 2.40 35% MOvement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.16 2.18 HS Overall 0.16 2.18 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 ONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 83% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	67%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 25% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.3% 2.40 35% NO Querall 78.3% 2.40 35% Novement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 5% Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.68 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.68 100% Sectio	·		3.52	33%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 25% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.3% 2.40 HS Overall 78.3% 2.40 35% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 90.16 2.18 HS 90.16 2.18 HS 90.16 2.18 HS 90.16 90.16 90.16 90.16 90.16 90.16 90.16 90.16 90.10 90	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 25% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 78.3% 2.40 HS Overall 78.3% 2.40 35% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 90 0.16 2.18 HS 90 0.16 2.18 HS 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	pportive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.44 25% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 78.3% 2.40 35% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.16 2.18 HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 83% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88		Proficient	3.40	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 78.3% 2.40 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.16 EMS Overall 0.16 Ection Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 94% 3.68 100%	·			
Overall 78.3% 2.40 35% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 83% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS			
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 ISST NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%				
environments EMS HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%		78.3%	2.40	35%
Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 80% 3.28 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 83% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	environments			
Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.00 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 80% 3.28 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 83% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%		0.16	2.18	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%		0.16	2.18	5%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 80% 3.28 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 83% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 80% 3.28 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.28 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 83% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	antiva Cabaal Laadayahiya			
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 83% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	-	80%	3.28	100%
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 83% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	Costinu Dating, Manting Toyant	Castian Casus	2.20	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 83% 2.88 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 UST NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	2.88	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
NYC School Survey - Trust 94% 3.68 100%				
		0/10/	2 60	1009/
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68	INTO SCHOOL SULVEY - HUSE	34 70	5.08	100%
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	



P.S. 091 Richard Arkwright

	City Range							
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction			•	, ,		•		
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	96	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.78	4.12	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	91	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.72	3.88	
Section Results:		94%					4.00	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.52		
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50		
Cultural awareness	Students	.	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.00		
Cultural awareness	Combined	93	00.0	0111	2000	0.51	3.04	
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.64	3.56	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	78	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.54	3.16	
School commitment	Teachers	91	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.78	4.12	
Innovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.58	3.32	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	91	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.61	3.44	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	89	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.64	3.56	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	85	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.55	3.20	
Section Results:		90%					3.52	
Supportive Environment Safety:	- 1	0.0	00.0	0.4.5	400.0	0.00		
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.90		
Safety	Students	00	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.00	4.60	
• Safety	Combined	98				0.90	4.60	
Classroom behavior:				0	4000			
Classroom behavior	Teachers	79	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.37		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	79				0.37	2.48	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:	T	07	75.0	00.0	400.0	0.40		
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	87	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.48		
Press toward academic achievement	Students	07	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.40	2.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	87	77.0	00.6	400.0	0.48	2.92	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:	T	70	70.5	04.5	400.0	0.00		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	79	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.09		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50		
Peer support for academic work	Students	0.0	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.20	2.10	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	86				0.29	2.16	
Section Results:		90%					3.44	

24Q091 P.S. 091 Richard Arkwright

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
-m .: 01 1. 1 1:								
Effective School Leadership	-							
	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.53	3.12	
	Teachers	62	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.51	3.04	
Program coherence T	Teachers	76	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.41	2.64	
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.81	4.24	
Section Results:		80%					3.28	
Strong Family Community Ties								
Teacher outreach to parents:								
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75		
Teacher outreach to parents F	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50		
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.63	3.52	
Parent involvement in the schools F	Parents	71	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.31	2.24	
Section Results:		83%					2.88	
T								
Trust	_							
	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00	
	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.57	3.28	
Student-teacher trust S	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0			
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	96	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.90	4.60	
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	92	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.69	3.76	
Section Results:		94%					3.68	

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.57	2.43 or lower	2.44 to 2.54	2.55 to 2.62	2.63 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.03	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	29.0%	22.7% or lower	22.8% to 28.2%	28.3% to 32.4%	32.5% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.73	2.56 or lower	2.57 to 2.72	2.73 to 2.83	2.84 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.97	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	37.2%	29.4% or lower	29.5% to 36.7%	36.8% to 42.2%	42.3% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.1%	86.6% or lower	86.7% to 90.0%	90.1% to 92.6%	92.7% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.86	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.03	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
SETSS	2.24	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
ELL	2.28	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.33	2.34 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.91	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.11	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.42	2.43 or higher			
SETSS	2.30	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher			
ELL	2.57	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.46	2.47 to 2.61	2.62 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
ELL Progress	61.1%	51.4% or lower	51.5% to 61.3%	61.4% to 68.9%	69.0% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	78.3%	74.0% or lower	74.1% to 80.6%	80.7% to 85.6%	85.7% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.16	0.17 or lower	0.18 to 0.27	0.28 to 0.35	0.36 or higher			