Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

13K492 Academy of Arts and Letters

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	50	50	50
Grade 1	49	52	54
Grade 2	50	52	52
Grade 3	-	52	54
Grade 4	-	-	56
Grade 6	107	63	61
Grade 7	115	109	59
Grade 8	114	112	108
All students	485	490	494

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	1%	0%	0%
% Free Lunch Eligible	37%	37%	35%
% Student with IEPs	17%	17%	17%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	26%	19%
% Temporary Housing	-	3%	2%
% Asian	3%	6%	6%
% Black	72%	52%	45%
% Hispanic	13%	15%	15%
% White	10%	22%	28%
% Other	0%	4%	6%

13K492 Academy of Arts and Letters

	2014-15 Targets						_		
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	137	2.82	2.20	2.48	2.68	2.83	3.11	3.93	9.80%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	137	41.6%	10.7%	23.5%	33.4%	41.3%	54.2%	4.02	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	120	54.0	49.5	55.7	61.1	65.3	74.1	1.73	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	42	73.5	58.4	64.8	70.3	74.7	84.0	3.73	9.80%
Early Grade Progress	6		0.87	1.53	2.05	2.46	3.34		0.00%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	159	2.83	2.13	2.50	2.78	3.00	3.38	3.23	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	159	40.9%	8.3%	24.9%	38.4%	49.0%	66.5%	3.24	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	143	55.0	45.2	52.9	59.5	64.7	75.6	2.32	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	52	68.0	55.5	62.5	68.4	73.1	82.9	2.93	9.80%
Early Grade Progress	5		0.35	1.53	2.54	3.33	4.98		0.00%
Core Course Pass Rates									
O ELA	225	98.7%	54.4%	67.4%	77.9%	86.2%	100.0%	1.00	1.96%
 Math 	225	75.6%	52.0%	65.7%	76.8%	85.6%	100.0%	1.00	1.96%
 Science 	225	76.0%	54.5%	67.5%	78.0%	86.3%	100.0%	1.00	1.96%
o Social Studies	225	97.8%	52.8%	66.2%	77.1%	85.7%	100.0%	1.00	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	106	0.0%	0.0%	16.2%	29.6%	40.1%	57.8%	1.00	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	97	90.0%	57.0%	69.0%	79.0%	87.0%	100.0%	4.23	9.80%
								Weighted Average Score	3.22

						2	014-15 Target	s	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	24	17.5%	97.8%	12.5%	0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	4	2.9%	24.8%		0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	24	15.1%	85.3%	12.5%	0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%	4.02	0.030	0.023
• SETSS	5	3.1%	26.7%	20.0%	0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%	4.58	0.030	0.027
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	2.5%	6.6%		14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	17	14.2%	22.2%	47.1%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	7	5.8%	16.2%	57.1%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	24	20.0%	53.6%	41.7%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	2.03	0.030	0.008
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	2.8%	7.1%		13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
 Lowest Third Citywide 	28	19.6%	28.1%	57.1%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%	4.09	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	9	6.3%	17.5%	22.2%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	26	18.2%	48.6%	42.3%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%	2.92	0.030	0.014
ELL Progress	3	0.6%	2.1%		24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.13
									Over	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.35

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Academy of Arts and Letters

Coulity Review 1.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% Coulity Review 1.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% Coulity Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% Coulity Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NVC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 94% 3.88 34% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Ilaborative Teachers		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Well Developed 4.99 2.2% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 2.2% MYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 94% 3.88 34% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Unablity Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 Proportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Precentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.60 5% EMS 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 94% 3.88 34% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Illaborative Teachers Well Developed 4.99 50% Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 Section Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 Poportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Poportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Poportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Poportive Environment 90.1% 3.64 30% MVS School Survey - Supportive Environment 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 8.6 0.12 1.60 EMS 0.02 0.12	Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 Proportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.1% 18 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.60 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36	Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28	Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	3.88	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 4.54 4.64 4.64 4.64 4.64 4.65 4.66 4.66	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 **Poportive Environment** Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% 3.80 35% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.60 5% BS 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 **Overall Parameters** **Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 **Ong Family-Community Ties** NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 **Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36	llaborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96% 4.44 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 Pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.1% 3.64 HS Overall 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.60 HS Overall 0.12 1.60 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36	Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.60 S% Overall 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Score: 4.30 Section Score: 4.3	·	·		
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.1% 3.64 HS Overall 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 90.12 1.60 5% Overall 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.30 100% Section Rating:	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.72	
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.1% 3.64 HS Overall 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 90.12 1.60 5% Overall 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.30 100% Section Rating:	 nnortive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 90% 3.80 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.1% 3.64 HS Overall 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.60 HS Overall 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36	1 -	Well Developed	<i>1</i> 99	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 90.1% 3.64 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.12 1.60 HS Overall 0.12 1.60 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 TORS Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36	·	·		
EMS HS Overall 90.1% 3.64 HS Overall 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%		3070	3.00	3370
Overall 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.60 HS Overall 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36		90.1%	3.64	
Overall 90.1% 3.64 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.60 HS Overall 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 FONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%		33.2,5		
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall O.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36		90.1%	3.64	30%
environments EMS HS Overall 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 FONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%				
EMS HS Overall 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%	EMS	0.12	1.60	
Overall 0.12 1.60 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Rating: Exceeding Target 93% 4.00 100%				
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Score: 4.36 100%		0.12	1.60	5%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 Section Score: 4.36 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%				
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Tong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%	-	92%	A 32	100%
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 UST NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%		3270	4.32	10070
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.36 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%		91%	4.36	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 4.00 100%				
	ust			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00	NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	4.00	100%
	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	



Academy of Arts and Letters

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	98	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.85	4.40
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	98	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.87	4.48
Course clarity	Students	90	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.50	3.00
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	88	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		94%					3.88
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	86.2	94.8	100.0	0.95	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.86	
Cultural awareness	Students	87	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.62	
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	95				0.81	4.24
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	97	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Quality of professional development	Teachers	85	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.68	3.72
School commitment	Teachers	98	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.94	4.76
Innovation	Teachers	97	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.92	4.68
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.4	95.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.88	4.52
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	98	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.93	4.72
Collective responsibility	Teachers	95	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
Section Results:		96%					4.44
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	89	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.62	
Safety	Combined	89				0.62	3.48
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	88	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.72	
Classroom behavior	Combined	88				0.72	3.88
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	88.0	96.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students	85	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.61	3.44
 Next-level guidance 	Students	95	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.76	4.04
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	91	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.63	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	91				0.63	3.52
Personal attention and support	Students	88	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.58	3.32
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.76	
Peer support for academic work	Students	69	45.8	67.0	88.2	0.55	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	83				0.66	3.64
Section Results:		90%					3.80

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Cohool Londovskin							
Effective School Leadership	Davanta	05	70.5	00.7	00.0	0.70	4.42
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	95	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.78	4.12
Teacher influence	Teachers	86	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.93	4.72
 Program coherence 	Teachers	92	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.80	4.20
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	93	63.6	87.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:		92%					4.32
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.97	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.75	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	97				0.86	4.44
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	84	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.82	4.28
Section Results:		91%					4.36
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	96	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	95	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	83	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.53	3.12
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	94	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.85	4.40
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	97	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.87	4.48
Section Results:		93%					4.00

13K492 **Targets for 2015-16** Academy of Arts and Letters

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.82	2.75 or lower	2.76 to 2.82	2.83 to 2.88	2.89 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.31	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.40	2.41 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	41.6%	37.3% or lower	37.4% to 41.6%	41.7% to 44.8%	44.9% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.83	2.76 or lower	2.77 to 2.89	2.90 to 2.98	2.99 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.21	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.40	2.41 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	40.9%	38.1% or lower	38.2% to 44.3%	44.4% to 48.8%	48.9% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	98.7%	76.9% or lower	77.0% to 82.5%	82.6% to 86.6%	86.7% or higher			
Math	75.6%	77.0% or lower	77.1% to 82.6%	82.7% to 86.6%	86.7% or higher			
Science	76.0%	78.1% or lower	78.2% to 83.4%	83.5% to 87.3%	87.4% or higher			
Social Studies	97.8%	73.7% or lower	73.8% to 80.0%	80.1% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%	23.1% or lower	23.2% to 35.7%	35.8% to 45.0%	45.1% or higher			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	90.0%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 87.9%	88.0% to 90.9%	91.0% or higher			

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
_	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Not Meeting Target Approaching Target Meetin					
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.33	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.34	2.35 or higher			
SETSS		2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
ELL		2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.50	2.51 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.12	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher			
SETSS	2.45	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.49	2.50 or higher			
ELL		2.48 or lower	2.49 to 2.66	2.67 to 2.79	2.80 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.76	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
ELL Progress		29.9% or lower	30.0% to 39.9%	40.0% to 49.9%	50.0% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	90.1%	75.6% or lower	75.7% to 81.5%	81.6% to 85.8%	85.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.12	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.15	0.16 to 0.19	0.20 or higher			

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.