2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceedin	gTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Exceeding Target					4.64
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target				3.88	
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.28	
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.32	2
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.64			
Trust	Exceeding Target					4.56
Student Achievement	Meeting Target				3.96	
		1	2	3	4	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	100	98	97
Grade 1	100	102	119
Grade 2	89	96	99
Grade 3	101	90	95
Grade 4	80	101	95
Grade 5	91	93	93
All students	594	614	631

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	33%	36%	41%
% Free Lunch Eligible	64%	64%	68%
% Student with IEPs	6%	12%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	1%	2%
% HRA Eligible	-	37%	35%
% Temporary Housing	-	1%	1%
% Asian	80%	79%	78%
% Black	2%	3%	4%
% Hispanic	13%	15%	15%
% White	4%	3%	3%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.96									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		268	2.87	2.30	2.56	2.70	2.86	3.07	4.05	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		268	45.5%	17.8%	29.8%	36.9%	45.0%	55.2%	4.05	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		173	71.0	55.3	61.8	66.7	70.2	78.5	4.10	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		59	81.0	60.6	67.9	74.1	78.4	88.8	4.25	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		88	2.43	1.25	1.86	2.31	2.62	3.39	3.39	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		275	3.35	2.39	2.77	3.00	3.26	3.58	4.28	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		275	68.4%	21.8%	38.7%	49.8%	62.3%	77.5%	4.40	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		176	64.0	51.0	60.3	67.5	72.5	84.4	2.51	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		61	66.0	57.6	65.5	71.9	76.4	87.4	2.08	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		92	3.16	1.17	2.17	2.95	3.50	4.81	3.38	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		77	99.2%	80.7%	86.5%	90.3%	94.6%	100.0%	4.85	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.76

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
closing the Achievement dap (clad) methos	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.4%	2.0%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	17	6.3%	32.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• SETSS	15	5.6%	53.3%	13.3%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.72	0.030	0.028
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.4%	2.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	16	5.8%	29.6%	25.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.52	0.030	0.026
• SETSS	15	5.5%	52.9%	40.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	70	40.5%	86.5%	55.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.40	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	35	20.2%	27.8%	68.6%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.69	0.030	0.028
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	5	2.9%	7.3%	80.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	24	13.9%	28.7%	41.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.69	0.030	0.013
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	75	42.6%	87.5%	29.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.63	0.030	0.012
Lowest Third Citywide	18	10.2%	14.0%	44.4%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	6	3.4%	8.9%	33.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	23	13.1%	27.1%	52.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.04	0.030	0.023
ELL Progress	236	39.5%	100.0%	58.5%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.34	0.030	0.018
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.20
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.96

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
25Q163
```

orative Teachers ality Review 4.2 Proficien C School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% ttion Rating: Meeting Target Set rtive Environment ality Review 3.4 ality Review 3.4 Well Develow C School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% centage of students with 90%+ attendance 93.1% HS 93.1% Overall 93.1% WS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05	A.99 oped 4.99 3.96 action Score: 4.64 at 3.40 4.36 action Score: 3.88 action Score: 3.88 action Score: 4.99 4.94 4.04 4.04 4.04	22% 22% 34% 50% 50% 30% 35%
ality Review 1.1 Well Devel ality Review 1.2 Well Devel ality Review 2.2 Well Devel C School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 94% ttion Rating: Exceeding Target Sec orative Teachers ality Review 4.2 Proficien C School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% ttion Rating: Meeting Target Sec extion Rating: Meeting Target Sec rtive Environment ality Review 3.4 Well Devel C School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% crentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 0Verall 93.1% vement of students with disabilities to less restrictive <i>v</i> ironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05	A.99 oped 4.99 3.96 action Score: 4.64 at 3.40 4.36 action Score: 3.88 action Score: 3.88 action Score: 4.99 4.94 4.04 4.04 4.04	22% 22% 34% 50% 50% 30% 35%
ality Review 1.2 Well Devel ality Review 2.2 Well Devel C School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 94% ttion Rating: Exceeding Target Se orative Teachers ality Review 4.2 Proficien C School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% ttion Rating: Meeting Target Se rtive Environment ality Review 3.4 Well Devel C School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% crentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 93.1% vement of students with disabilities to less restrictive vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05	A.99 oped 4.99 3.96 action Score: 4.64 at 3.40 4.36 action Score: 3.88 action Score: 3.88 action Score: 4.99 4.94 4.04 4.04 4.04	22% 34% 50% 50% 30% 35%
C School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 94% tion Rating: Exceeding Target Second Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% tion Rating: Meeting Target Second Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% tion Rating: Meeting Target Second Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% tion Rating: Meeting Target Second Survey - Supportive Environment 95% centage of students with 90%+ attendance 95% EMS 93.1% HS 93.1% Weement of students with disabilities to less restrictive vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 tion Rating: Exceeding Target Second Survey Supportive Environment 95% Coverall 93.1% Overall 93.1% Second Survey Support Second Survey Second Survey Second	3.96 action Score: 4.64 at 3.40 4.36 action Score: 3.88 oped 4.99 4.24 4.04 4.04	34% 50% 50% 30% 35%
Attion Rating: Exceeding Target Second S	Action Score: 4.64 At 3.40 At 4.36 Action Score: 3.88 Action Score: 3.88 Action Score: 4.99 4.24 4.04 4.04 4.04	50% 50% 30% 35%
orative Teachers ality Review 4.2 Proficien C School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% etion Rating: Meeting Target Set rtive Environment Set ality Review 3.4 Well Devel C School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% centage of students with 90%+ attendance 93.1% HS 93.1% Overall 93.1% IVS 0.05 HS 0.05	nt 3.40 4.36 Action Score: 3.88 oped 4.99 4.24 4.04 4.04	50% 30% 35%
ality Review 4.2 Proficient C School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% Extion Rating: Meeting Target Sec rtive Environment ality Review 3.4 Well Develow C School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% rcentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 93.1% Vernall 93.1% EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05	4.36 action Score: 3.88 oped 4.99 4.24 4.04 4.04	50% 30% 35%
ality Review 4.2 Proficient C School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% Extion Rating: Meeting Target Sec rtive Environment ality Review 3.4 Well Develow C School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% rcentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 93.1% Vernall 93.1% EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05	4.36 action Score: 3.88 oped 4.99 4.24 4.04 4.04	50% 30% 35%
C School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% tion Rating: Meeting Target Se rtive Environment ality Review 3.4 Well Develor C School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% ccentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 93.1% overall 93.1% overall 93.1% overall 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05	4.36 action Score: 3.88 oped 4.99 4.24 4.04 4.04	50% 30% 35%
rtive Environment ality Review 3.4 Well Develo C School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% ccentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 0Verall 93.1% overall 93.1% wement of students with disabilities to less restrictive vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05	oped 4.99 4.24 4.04 4.04	35%
rtive Environment ality Review 3.4 Well Develo C School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% ccentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 0Verall 93.1% overall 93.1% wement of students with disabilities to less restrictive vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05	oped 4.99 4.24 4.04 4.04	35%
ality Review 3.4 Well Developsion Supportive Environment 95% centage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 93.1% Overall 93.1% ovement of students with disabilities to less restrictive vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 ths 0.05	4.24 4.04 4.04	35%
ality Review 3.4 Well Developsion Supportive Environment 95% centage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 93.1% Overall 93.1% ovement of students with disabilities to less restrictive vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 ths 0.05	4.24 4.04 4.04	35%
C School Survey - Supportive Environment 95% centage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 93.1% Overall 93.1% ovement of students with disabilities to less restrictive vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05	4.24 4.04 4.04	35%
rcentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.1% HS 93.1% Overall 93.1% ovement of students with disabilities to less restrictive vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05 tion Rating: Exceeding Target Se	4.04 4.0 4	
EMS 93.1% HS 93.1% Overall 93.1% ovement of students with disabilities to less restrictive vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 HS 0.05	4.04	30%
Overall 93.1% ovement of students with disabilities to less restrictive 93.1% vironments 0.05 HS 0.05 Overall 0.05 ettion Rating: Exceeding Target Settion		30%
evement of students with disabilities to less restrictive vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 Coverall 0.05		30%
vironments EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 Overall 0.05 etion Rating: Exceeding Target Se	4.36	
EMS 0.05 HS 0.05 Overall 0.05	4.00	
HS Overall 0.05	1.00	
Overall 0.05 etion Rating: Exceeding Target Setion	1.36	
ction Rating: Exceeding Target Se		
	1.36	5%
	ction Score: 4.28	
ve School Leadership		
C School Survey - Effective School Leadership 90%	4.32	100%
tion Rating: Exceeding Target Se	ction Score: 4.32	
Family Community Tics		
Family-Community Ties	2.64	4000/
C School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81%	2.64	100%
ction Rating: Approaching Target Se	ction Score: 2.64	
C School Survey - Trust 98%	4.56	100%
C School Survey - Trust 98%	4.56	100%
tion Rating: Exceeding Target Se		

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

	City Range							
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction			U	, 0		0		
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	98	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.87	4.48	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.60	3.40	
Section Results:		94%					3.96	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.95		
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
Cultural awareness	Combined	97	0010	0	20010	0.85	4.40	
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	87	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.73	3.92	
 School commitment 	Teachers	93	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.83	4.32	
 Innovation 	Teachers	95	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.82	4.28	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.93	4.72	
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	97	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.91	4.64	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	90	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.72	3.88	
Section Results:	reachers	95%	0017	0 117	10010	0172	4.36	
Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	88	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.63		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	88				0.63	3.52	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:								
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	92	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.66		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	92				0.66	3.64	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:								
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.81		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
Peer support for academic work	Combined	95				0.78	4.12	
Section Results:		95%					4.24	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.77	4.08
Teacher influence	Teachers	70	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.64	3.56
Program coherence	Teachers	96	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.90	4.60
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.99	4.96
Section Results:		90%					4.32
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.85	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.67	3.68
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	66	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.14	1.56
Section Results:		81%					2.64
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.84	4.36
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	99	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.97	4.88
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		98%					4.56

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.87	2.61 or lower	2.62 to 2.72	2.73 to 2.80	2.81 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.32	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	45.5%	32.1% or lower	32.2% to 37.6%	37.7% to 41.8%	41.9% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.35	2.93 or lower	2.94 to 3.09	3.10 to 3.20	3.21 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.58	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.50	2.51 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	68.4%	47.5% or lower	47.6% to 54.8%	54.9% to 60.3%	60.4% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.2%	88.5% or lower	88.6% to 91.4%	91.5% to 93.6%	93.7% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.05	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
SETSS	2.13	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.26	2.27 or higher			
ELL	2.67	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.52	2.53 to 2.62	2.63 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.14	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.42	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.57	2.58 or higher			
SETSS	2.66	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.56	2.57 or higher			
ELL	3.30	2.77 or lower	2.78 to 2.96	2.97 to 3.11	3.12 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.11	2.12 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
ELL Progress	58.5%	48.5% or lower	48.6% to 58.5%	58.6% to 66.1%	66.2% or highe			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	93.1%	81.0% or lower	81.1% to 85.9%	86.0% to 89.5%	89.6% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.05	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher			