
### **Summary of Section Ratings**

### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

06M153 P.S. 153 Adam Clayton Powell

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	153	121	122
Grade 1	126	147	109
Grade 2	170	119	134
Grade 3	171	166	121
Grade 4	160	170	150
Grade 5	109	99	105
All students	942	876	795

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	33%	29%	30%
% Free Lunch Eligible	85%	85%	84%
% Student with IEPs	16%	17%	17%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	4%	5%
% HRA Eligible	-	68%	70%
% Temporary Housing	-	18%	19%
% Asian	1%	2%	2%
% Black	18%	18%	17%
% Hispanic	78%	78%	77%
% White	2%	3%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

06M153 P.S. 153 Adam Clayton Powell

## **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.59

				2	014-15 Target:	•			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Wethes	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	355	2.34	1.94	2.16	2.32	2.49	2.77	3.12	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	355	21.4%	2.0%	11.3%	17.8%	25.1%	37.0%	3.49	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	235	61.0	45.6	53.7	60.7	65.6	77.3	3.06	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	82	66.5	58.3	66.4	73.5	78.5	90.2	2.01	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	113	1.62	0.46	1.20	1.84	2.28	3.35	2.66	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	364	2.54	1.95	2.26	2.47	2.71	3.08	3.29	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	364	31.3%	1.4%	14.8%	24.4%	35.3%	51.0%	3.63	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	242	73.5	39.0	49.5	58.6	65.0	80.2	4.56	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	86	86.5	54.8	63.7	71.4	76.7	89.5	4.77	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	117	2.72	0.35	1.46	2.42	3.09	4.69	3.45	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	88	89.9%	75.7%	82.5%	87.4%	93.0%	100.0%	3.45	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.41

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014 15 Cabaal	Damulatian 0/	2014 15	Dottom of				- Tan af		Futus Daints	Futus Dainte
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•	U		0 0		· ·		0 0			
O Self-Contained	26	7.3%	36.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	27	7.6%	38.6%	7.4%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.32	0.030	0.017
SETSS	6	1.7%	16.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	28	7.7%	38.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	27	7.4%	37.8%	3.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.51	0.030	0.004
SETSS	6	1.6%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	92	39.1%	83.5%	38.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.17	0.030	0.016
Lowest Third Citywide	115	48.9%	70.8%	36.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.79	0.030	0.006
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	72	30.6%	77.5%	36.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.99	0.030	0.007
SC/ICT/SETSS	41	17.4%	38.7%	51.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.65	0.030	0.020
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	97	40.1%	82.3%	59.8%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.59	0.030	0.027
<ul> <li>Lowest Third Citywide</li> </ul>	144	59.5%	81.7%	59.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.28	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	81	33.5%	87.2%	55.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.11	0.030	0.023
• SC/ICT/SETSS	42	17.4%	39.5%	66.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.62	0.030	0.027
ELL Progress	220	29.7%	75.4%	46.8%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.19	0.030	0.009
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.18
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.59

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 153 Adam Clayton Powell

06M153

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
igorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.32	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.40	
ollaborative Teachers			
	Wall Daysland	4.99	50%
Quality Review 4.2  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	Well Developed 86%	3.04	50%
NTC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	80%	5.04	30%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.16	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	74.2%	2.76	
HS			
Overall	74.2%	2.76	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.28	3.30	
HS			
Overall	0.28	3.30	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.36	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	87%	3.16	100%
,, ,	· ·	-	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
r <b>ust</b> NYC School Survey - Trust	86%	2.88	100%
IVIC SCHOOL SULVEY - HUST	00/0	۷.00	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	



P.S. 153 Adam Clayton Powell

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigoro	us Instruction			•	, ,		•	
• Coi	mmon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.78	4.12
• Coi	mmon Core shifts in math	Teachers	99	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.96	4.84
Co	urse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	ality of student discussion	Teachers	92	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
	Results:		96%					4.32
Collabo	orative Teachers							
	Itural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	91	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0	0.70	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	94	00.0	0711	100.0	0.63	3.52
Inc	clusive classroom instruction	Teachers	88	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.25	2.00
	ality of professional development	Teachers	75	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.49	2.96
	nool commitment	Teachers	78	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.46	2.84
	novation	Teachers	84	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.48	2.92
	flective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.88	4.52
	er collaboration	Teachers	85	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.33	2.32
	cus on student learning	Teachers	83	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.45	2.80
	llective responsibility	Teachers	86	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.59	3.36
	Results:	reactions	86%	03.7	04.7	100.0	0.55	3.04
	rtive Environment fety:		0.5	00.0	0.4.6	400.0	0.75	
	Safety	Teachers	95	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.75	
	Safety	Students	<b></b>	74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
Cla	ssroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	92	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.74	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
	Classroom behavior	Combined	92				0.74	3.96
	cial-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.89	4.56
	er interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	xt-level guidance	Students						
Pre	ess toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	94	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.77	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
•	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	94				0.77	4.08
	rsonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Pe	er support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.82	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
•	Peer support for academic work	Combined	96				0.79	4.16
Section	Results:		95%					4.16

06M153

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Effective School Leadership Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	68	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.61	3.44
Program coherence	Teachers	85	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.63	3.52
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	85	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.60	3.40
Section Results:	reactiers	82%	01.0	67.0	100.0	0.00	3.40
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	89	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.28	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.52	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.40	2.60
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	82	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.68	3.72
Section Results:		87%					3.16
Trust							
Trust		0.5	00.0	05.0	00.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.57	3.28
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	80	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.53	3.12
<ul> <li>Teacher-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	75	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.04	1.16
Section Results:		86%					2.88

**Targets for 2015-16** 

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.34	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.43	2.44 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.79	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	21.4%	15.3% or lower	15.4% to 20.8%	20.9% to 24.9%	25.0% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.54	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.65	2.66 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.91	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	31.3%	20.6% or lower	20.7% to 27.9%	28.0% to 33.4%	33.5% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	89.9%	86.3% or lower	86.4% to 89.8%	89.9% to 92.4%	92.5% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
_	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.67	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.94	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
SETSS	1.79	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
ELL	2.06	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.85	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.72	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.04	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher			
SETSS	2.02	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
ELL	2.34	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.49	2.50 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
ELL Progress	46.8%	42.5% or lower	42.6% to 52.5%	52.6% to 60.1%	60.2% or highe			

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	74.2%	73.1% or lower	73.2% to 79.9%	80.0% to 85.0%	85.1% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.28	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			