2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching 1	Target Me	eting Target	Exceeding	Farget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target				3.8	34	
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target						4.60
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target					4.12	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target				3.60		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target			2.60			
Trust	Exceeding Target					4.28	
Student Achievement	Meeting Target				3.35		
		1	2	3		4	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	92	110	84
Grade 1	82	91	108
Grade 2	104	81	75
Grade 3	92	101	73
Grade 4	81	85	94
Grade 5	76	76	83
All students	581	598	571

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	6%	7%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	92%	92%	88%
% Student with IEPs	11%	14%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	3%	6%
% HRA Eligible	-	51%	49%
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	4%
% Asian	39%	36%	35%
% Black	31%	31%	28%
% Hispanic	20%	20%	20%
% White	2%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	12%	15%



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.35									
						014-15 Target				
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA	-				-	_	_			
Average Student Proficiency		245	2.66	2.19	2.47	2.63	2.82	3.07	3.16	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		245	31.8%	12.2%	24.8%	33.1%	42.5%	54.4%	2.84	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		173	64.0	47.2	55.0	61.9	66.7	78.2	3.44	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		64	75.0	56.1	64.0	70.8	75.6	87.2	3.88	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		70	2.18	1.04	1.66	2.14	2.48	3.31	3.12	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		244	2.79	2.22	2.60	2.84	3.11	3.46	2.79	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		244	40.2%	13.8%	30.5%	41.8%	54.7%	70.8%	2.86	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		173	63.0	44.3	54.1	62.5	68.3	82.3	3.09	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		61	72.0	51.6	61.0	69.1	74.9	88.5	3.50	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		69	2.62	0.70	1.76	2.65	3.27	4.76	2.97	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		65	92.8%	70.7%	79.1%	84.9%	91.6%	100.0%	4.14	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.25

						2	014-15 Targets	5				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	19	7.8%	38.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	19	7.8%	39.6%	5.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.57	0.030	0.012
SETSS	5	2.0%	19.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	19	7.8%	38.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	19	7.8%	39.8%	5.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.74	0.030	0.006
SETSS	5	2.0%	19.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	14	8.1%	17.3%	21.4%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	39	22.5%	31.3%	56.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.05	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	12	6.9%	17.5%	66.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	32	18.5%	41.8%	31.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.72	0.030	0.005
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	14	8.1%	16.6%	64.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	47	27.2%	37.4%	53.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.00	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	17	9.8%	25.5%	41.2%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.00	0.030	0.015
SC/ICT/SETSS	32	18.5%	42.7%	46.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.60	0.030	0.020
ELL Progress	26	5.0%	12.7%	80.8%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.10
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.35

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Section Rating: Meeting Target	Proficient Proficient Well Developed 93% Section Score:	3.40 3.40 4.99 3.64 3.84	22% 22% 22% 34%
Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction Section Rating: Meeting Target	Proficient Well Developed 93%	3.40 4.99 3.64	22% 22%
Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction Section Rating: Meeting Target	Well Developed 93%	4.99 3.64	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction Section Rating: Meeting Target	93%	3.64	
Section Rating: Meeting Target			34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target aborative Teachers	Section Score:	3.84	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.16	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	4.00	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	94%	4.00	55%
EMS	85.8%	3.60	
HS	03.070	5.00	
Overall	85.8%	3.60	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	03.070	5.00	5070
environments			
EMS	0.21	2.64	
HS	-	-	
Overall	0.21	2.64	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.12	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.60	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	2.60	100%
the control out toy of ong turning community rics	5270	2.00	100/0
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	
st			
st NYC School Survey - Trust	96%	4.28	100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			-			-	
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.77	4.08
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.64	3.56
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.58	3.32
Section Results:		93%					3.64
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	97	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Combined	96	06.0	07.4	100.0	0.75	4.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	82	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.78	3.56
School commitment	Teachers	92	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.84	4.16
 Innovation 	Teachers	92	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.79	4.10
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.10
Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.92	4.68
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	96	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.92	4.08
Collective responsibility	Teachers	91	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:	reactions	94%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.72	4.16
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	88	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.63	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	88	~~~~			0.63	3.52
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	T	0.0	75.0	00.0	100.0	0.40	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	86	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.43	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	66	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.40	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	86		00.0	400.0	0.43	2.72
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Taxaba	00	76 5	04 5	400.0	0.65	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	92	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.65	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students	04	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.70	2.00
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94				0.70	3.80
Section Results:		94%					4.00

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.61	3.44
Teacher influence	Teachers	52	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.37	2.48
 Program coherence 	Teachers	96	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.89	4.56
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	89	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.71	3.84
Section Results:		82%					3.60
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	93	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.52	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.53	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.53	3.12
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	70	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.27	2.08
Section Results:		82%					2.60
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	94	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.86	4.44
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.91	4.64
Section Results:		96%					4.28

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
tate Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.66	2.49 or lower	2.50 to 2.59	2.60 to 2.67	2.68 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.22	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.18	2.19 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	31.8%	26.4% or lower	26.5% to 31.9%	32.0% to 36.1%	36.2% or higher
tate Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.79	2.65 or lower	2.66 to 2.81	2.82 to 2.93	2.94 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.13	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	40.2%	35.5% or lower	35.6% to 42.8%	42.9% to 48.3%	48.4% or higher
AS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	92.8%	86.7% or lower	86.8% to 90.0%	90.1% to 92.6%	92.7% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
LA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.86	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.92	1.93 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.28	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.21	2.22 or higher
SETSS	2.08	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.19	2.20 or higher
ELL	2.32	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.35	2.36 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.14	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Nath - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.93	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.29	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 or higher
SETSS	2.34	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.34	2.35 or higher
ELL	2.52	2.39 or lower	2.40 to 2.58	2.59 to 2.73	2.74 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
LL Progress	80.8%	45.8% or lower	45.9% to 55.8%	55.9% to 63.3%	63.4% or higher

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	85.8%	76.6% or lower	76.7% to 82.5%	82.6% to 87.0%	87.1% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.21	0.17 or lower	0.18 to 0.27	0.28 to 0.35	0.36 or higher		