Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	21	28	19
Grade 7	36	22	21
Grade 8	34	34	17
All students	91	84	57

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	5%	7%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	86%	86%	92%
% Student with IEPs	32%	32%	46%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	0%	14%
% HRA Eligible	-	86%	75%
% Temporary Housing	-	24%	28%
% Asian	0%	4%	2%
% Black	64%	62%	63%
% Hispanic	34%	32%	30%
% White	1%	1%	4%
% Other	0%	1%	2%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.32	2.01	2.01
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.61	2.16	2.15

14K330 The School for the Urban Environment

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.53

				2014-15 Targets		_			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	53	1.95	1.86	2.02	2.11	2.21	2.49	1.56	9.80%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	53	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	6.5%	9.7%	18.3%	1.00	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 	49	72.0	50.3	55.2	62.2	66.9	75.3	4.61	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	20	84.0	65.6	71.0	78.7	83.9	93.0	4.01	9.80%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	55	2.17	1.74	1.95	2.07	2.21	2.54	3.71	9.80%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	55	10.9%	0.0%	3.9%	6.7%	9.9%	19.5%	4.10	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	52	80.0	41.7	49.1	59.8	66.9	79.6	4.99	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	21	85.0	59.9	65.6	73.9	79.3	89.0	4.59	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates									
• ELA	53	88.7%	54.3%	68.0%	77.5%	88.0%	100.0%	4.06	1.96%
Math	53	86.8%	57.2%	69.8%	78.7%	88.7%	100.0%	3.81	1.96%
 Science 	53	92.5%	59.2%	71.3%	79.7%	89.2%	100.0%	4.31	1.96%
Social Studies	53	88.7%	49.8%	65.1%	75.4%	86.9%	100.0%	4.14	1.96%
O Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	17	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.4%	9.5%	20.6%	1.00	3.92%
 9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders 	28	64.0%	59.0%	68.0%	75.0%	83.0%	93.0%	1.56	9.80%
								Weighted Average Score	3.31

							014-15 Targets		_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		ropulation 76	Of Natige	School value	raiget Naiige	laiget	raiget	raiget	raiget Name	Wethe Score	POSSIDIE	Larried
Self-Contained	13	24.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	6	11.3%	62.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	6	11.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	13	23.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	6	10.9%	60.2%	16.7%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	6	10.9%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	8.2%	18.3%		17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	41	83.7%	100.0%	56.1%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	3.98	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	25	51.0%	100.0%	56.0%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	4.01	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	24	49.0%	100.0%	66.7%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	4.41	0.030	0.026
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	7	13.5%	27.8%	28.6%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	2.57	0.030	0.012
Lowest Third Citywide	37	71.2%	87.8%	67.6%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	4.63	0.030	0.027
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	40.4%	90.8%	71.4%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	4.80	0.030	0.029
SC/ICT/SETSS	24	46.2%	100.0%	79.2%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELL Progress	8	14.0%	38.8%	50.0%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%	4.11	0.030	0.023
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.22
									Over	rall Student Achie	vement Score	3.53

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

The School for the Urban Environment

Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 100% 4.99 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 aborative Teachers	22% 22% 22% 34%
Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 100% 4.99 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 aborative Teachers	22% 22%
Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 100% 4.99 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 100% 4.99 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 aborative Teachers	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64 aborative Teachers	34%
aborative Teachers	
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 100% 4.99	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20	
portive Environment	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.68	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	
EMS 48.5% 1.28	
HS	
Overall 48.5% 1.28	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	
environments	
-	
EMS 0.56 4.06	
HS	/
	5%
HS	5%
HS Overall 0.56 4.06	5%
Overall 0.56 4.06 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 ctive School Leadership	
Overall 0.56 4.06 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Cotive School Leadership	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Overall O.56 4.06 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 100% 4.96	
Overall 0.56 4.06 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 100% 4.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.96	
Overall 0.56 4.06 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 100% 4.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.96 Ong Family-Community Ties	100%
Overall 0.56 4.06 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 100% 4.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.96 Ong Family-Community Ties	
Overall 0.56 4.06 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 100% 4.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.96 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 4.52	100%
Overall 0.56 4.06 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 100% 4.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.96 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52	100%
Overall 0.56 4.06 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 100% 4.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.96 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 4.52	100%
Overall 0.56 4.06 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Octive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 100% 4.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.96 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52	100%



The School for the Urban Environment

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction			•	, ,		•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	79.4	91.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	68.9	87.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students	100	81.3	89.7	98.1	1.00	4.99
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	100	53.2	78.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
ection Results:		100%					4.99
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	84.5	94.1	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	100	87.1	93.3	99.5	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Students	96	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.95	
• I. I	Combined	99	70.0	04.2	37.0	0.98	4.92
Cultural awareness Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	100	81.7	93.3	100.0	1.00	4.92
Quality of professional development	Teachers	100	54.0	93.3 77.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
School commitment	Teachers	100	59.7	84.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Innovation	Teachers	100	65.8	84.3 85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Teachers		86.6	95.8	100.0		
Reflective dialoguePeer collaboration		100				1.00	4.99
	Teachers Teachers	100	76.7	91.9	100.0	1.00	4.99 4.99
Focus on student learning Collective recognitions		100	68.4	88.4	100.0	1.00	
Collective responsibility ection Results:	Teachers	100 100%	57.5	82.3	100.0	1.00	4.99 4.99
upportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	93	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.83	
• Safety	Combined	93				0.83	4.32
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	96	63.4	79.2	95.0	1.00	
Classroom behavior	Combined	96				1.00	4.99
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	84.7	95.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Peer interactions 	Students	99	67.5	80.7	93.9	1.00	4.99
Next-level guidance	Students	98	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.91	4.64
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement							
Press toward academic achievement		97	80.6	88.2	95.8	1.00	
Press toward academic achievement		97				1.00	4.99
Personal attention and support	Students	99	74.1	85.5	96.9	1.00	4.99
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	86	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.41	
Peer support for academic work	Students	77	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.79	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	82				0.60	3.40
ection Results:		96%					4.68

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
·	Parents	98	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.94	4.76
Inclusive principal leadershipTeacher influence	Teachers	100	34.5	67.1	99.7	1.00	4.70
Program coherence	Teachers	100	60.8	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	100	67.2	88.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		100%					4.96
Change Family Community Ties							
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	79.9	92.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	99	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.94	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	99				0.97	4.88
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	77	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.78	4.12
Section Results:		88%					4.52
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	99	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.92	4.68
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	100	88.6	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Student-teacher trust	Students	97	69.2	82.0	94.8	1.00	4.99
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	100	63.2	87.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	74.2	90.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		99%					4.92

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
State Test Results - ELA*									
Average Student Proficiency	1.95	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.14	2.15 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.81	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	0.0%	4.9% or lower	5.0% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher				
State Test Results - Math*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.17	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.79	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.9%	4.9% or lower	5.0% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher				
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	88.7%	75.0% or lower	75.1% to 80.9%	81.0% to 85.6%	85.7% or highe				
Math	86.8%	76.3% or lower	76.4% to 81.6%	81.7% to 86.0%	86.1% or highe				
Science	92.5%	79.3% or lower	79.4% to 84.1%	84.2% to 88.1%	88.2% or highe				
Social Studies	88.7%	72.9% or lower	73.0% to 79.3%	79.4% to 84.9%	85.0% or highe				
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%	4.9% or lower	5.0% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or highe				
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	64.0%	67.9% or lower	68.0% to 72.9%	73.0% to 79.9%	80.0% or highe				
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets							
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	1.84	1.71 or lower	1.72 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.97	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher				
SETSS	1.87	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.15	2.16 or higher				
ELL	1.78	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.10	2.11 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Math - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	1.84	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.12	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.00	2.01 or higher				
SETSS	1.85	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.09	2.10 or higher				
ELL	2.05	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.06	2.07 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher				
ELL Progress	50.0%	28.3% or lower	28.4% to 38.1%	38.2% to 46.1%	46.2% or highe				

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	48.5%	58.8% or lower	58.9% to 64.7%	64.8% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.56	0.22 or lower	0.23 to 0.35	0.36 to 0.46	0.47 or higher			

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.