
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 195 William Haberle

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	110	89	85
Grade 1	102	106	94
Grade 2	80	108	110
Grade 3	103	89	106
Grade 4	117	113	97
Grade 5	135	116	110
All students	701	675	656

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	2%	1%	2%
% Free Lunch Eligible	70%	70%	71%
% Student with IEPs	17%	20%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	8%	9%
% HRA Eligible	-	44%	42%
% Temporary Housing	-	6%	7%
% Asian	2%	1%	1%
% Black	93%	93%	92%
% Hispanic	4%	5%	5%
% White	0%	0%	0%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

29Q195 P.S. 195 William Haberle

Student Achievement Rating Approaching Target	Student Achievement Score 2.80	
Student Achievement Pating	Student Achievement Scare	

				2	014-15 Target:	5			
Student Achievement Metrics	_	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of	Mahria Canna	Mainht Dat
State Test Results - ELA	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Average Student Proficiency	303	2.39	2.06	2.31	2.45	2.62	2.88	2.57	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	303	21.1%	5.3%	16.5%	24.2%	33.0%	45.1%	2.60	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	190	65.0	46.2	54.2	61.2	66.0	77.6	3.79	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	68	75.0	56.0	64.5	71.9	77.1	89.3	3.60	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	105	1.28	0.58	1.25	1.83	2.24	3.21	2.05	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
O Average Student Proficiency	303	2.22	1.95	2.32	2.58	2.87	3.26	1.73	9.09%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	303	13.5%	0.0%	17.1%	29.4%	43.4%	61.3%	1.79	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	193	56.0	38.8	50.2	60.2	67.2	83.7	2.58	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	73	69.0	50.0	61.1	70.7	77.4	93.4	2.82	9.09%
C Early Grade Progress	105	0.98	0.02	1.27	2.36	3.12	4.92	1.77	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98	95.0%	76.2%	82.9%	87.7%	93.2%	100.0%	4.26	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.69

						2	014 1F Tayaat	_				
							014-15 Target					
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•					Ū					
 Self-Contained 	40	13.2%	65.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	5.6%	28.4%	5.9%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.79	0.030	0.013
SETSS	4	1.3%	12.4%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	40	13.2%	65.7%	2.5%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.86	0.030	0.006
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	5.6%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	4	1.3%	12.5%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	2.1%	4.5%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	78	41.1%	59.1%	51.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.51	0.030	0.019
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	44	23.2%	58.7%	47.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.19	0.030	0.016
SC/ICT/SETSS	44	23.2%	55.3%	52.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.76	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	6	3.1%	6.4%	16.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	113	58.5%	80.4%	32.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.02	0.030	0.008
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	57	29.5%	76.8%	26.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	1.79	0.030	0.006
SC/ICT/SETSS	44	22.8%	55.0%	43.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.26	0.030	0.017
ELL Progress	12	2.0%	5.1%	75.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.11
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	2.80

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 195 William Haberle

29Q195

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	4.00	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
ollaborative Teachers			
	Proficient	3.40	50%
Quality Review 4.2	94%	4.16	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.10	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
ipportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	93%	3.84	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	3370	5.51	3370
EMS	81.3%	3.32	
HS	01.070	3.32	
Overall	81.3%	3.32	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive		****	
environments			
EMS	0.33	3.80	
HS			
Overall	0.33	3.80	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.40	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.40	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	97%	4.88	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.88	
			_
NVC School Survey - Truct	OE0/	4.00	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.00	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
		-300	

P.S. 195 William Haberle

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99	
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	85	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.51	3.04	
Section Results:		93%					4.00	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.97		
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	98				0.86	4.44	
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.94	4.76	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	91	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.81	4.24	
 School commitment 	Teachers	94	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.85	4.40	
Innovation	Teachers	92	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.72	3.88	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.78	4.12	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	92	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.76	4.04	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	87	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.62	3.48	
Section Results:	reactiers	94%	03.7	01.7	100.0	0.02	4.16	
Supportive Environment Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	92	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.60		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
Safety	Combined	92				0.60	3.40	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	87	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.60		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	87				0.60	3.40	
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.89	4.56	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:								
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	90	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.60		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	90				0.60	3.40	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:								
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.83		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	99	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.91		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
Peer support for academic work	Combined	98				0.87	4.48	
Section Results:		93%					3.84	

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effect: a Calcada ada abia							
Effective School Leadership		0.0	=0.0	00.0	400.0	• • •	. =-
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	99	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.94	4.76
Teacher influence	Teachers	70	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.64	3.56
 Program coherence 	Teachers	97	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.92	4.68
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	96	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.91	4.64
Section Results:		91%					4.40
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.97	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	98	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.90	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	99				0.94	4.76
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	94	62.4	76.6	90.8	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		97%					4.88
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	99	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.94	4.76
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	94	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.86	4.44
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.71	3.84
Section Results:		95%					4.00
		23,5					

29Q195

P.S. 195 William Haberle

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.39	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.52	2.53 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.90	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	21.1%	17.9% or lower	18.0% to 23.4%	23.5% to 27.6%	27.7% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.22	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.65	2.66 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.90	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.17	2.18 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	13.5%	20.1% or lower	20.2% to 27.3%	27.4% to 32.8%	32.9% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.0%	85.5% or lower	85.6% to 89.1%	89.2% to 91.9%	92.0% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.84	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.85	1.86 to 1.91	1.92 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.96	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
SETSS		1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.17	2.18 or higher		
ELL	2.29	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.38	2.39 to 2.49	2.50 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.89	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.95	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.33	2.34 or higher		
SETSS		1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 or higher		
ELL	2.47	2.33 or lower	2.34 to 2.52	2.53 to 2.67	2.68 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
ELL Progress	75.0%	46.7% or lower	46.8% to 56.7%	56.8% to 64.3%	64.4% or highe		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	81.3%	73.6% or lower	73.7% to 80.3%	80.4% to 85.4%	85.5% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.33	0.17 or lower	0.18 to 0.28	0.29 to 0.36	0.37 or higher		