2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.48	
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.48
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.68	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.56	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2	.88	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.04
Student Achievement	Meeting Target				3.95
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 029 Queens

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	112	118	129
Grade 1	115	118	123
Grade 2	109	126	121
Grade 3	122	117	120
Grade 4	89	128	118
Grade 5	94	91	132
All students	713	770	808

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	25%	25%	28%
% Free Lunch Eligible	73%	73%	74%
% Student with IEPs	19%	18%	18%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	8%	5%
% HRA Eligible	-	48%	46%
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	5%
% Asian	30%	30%	29%
% Black	3%	3%	3%
% Hispanic	52%	54%	55%
% White	14%	13%	13%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

25Q029 P.S. 029 Queens

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.95									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		358	2.55	2.16	2.41	2.54	2.70	2.94	3.06	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		358	29.9%	11.3%	22.3%	29.2%	37.0%	47.8%	3.09	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		235	66.0	50.8	57.7	63.6	67.7	77.5	3.59	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thir	d	81	71.0	59.4	66.9	73.4	77.8	88.7	2.63	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		115	2.45	0.99	1.57	2.03	2.35	3.15	4.13	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		362	2.81	2.21	2.54	2.74	2.97	3.29	3.30	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		362	41.7%	12.3%	27.4%	37.3%	48.6%	63.4%	3.39	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		239	68.0	44.9	54.8	63.1	68.9	82.8	3.84	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Thir 	d	83	81.0	55.4	64.0	71.3	76.4	88.7	4.37	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		117	3.51	0.74	1.72	2.52	3.08	4.47	4.31	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		74	98.4%	76.6%	83.2%	87.9%	93.3%	100.0%	4.76	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.68

						2	2014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4			-			-	-	-				
• Self-Contained	42	11.7%	57.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	8.1%	41.1%	17.2%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	10	2.8%	26.7%	10.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.18	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	42	11.6%	57.7%	11.9%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.87	0.030	0.029
Integrated Co-Teaching	28	7.7%	39.3%	42.9%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
O SETSS	10	2.8%	26.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	80	34.0%	72.6%	26.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.03	0.030	0.008
Lowest Third Citywide	81	34.5%	49.3%	45.7%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.83	0.030	0.014
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	30	12.8%	32.4%	50.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.42	0.030	0.018
SC/ICT/SETSS	58	24.7%	59.6%	48.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.35	0.030	0.018
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	85	35.6%	73.1%	35.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.16	0.030	0.016
Lowest Third Citywide	72	30.1%	41.3%	61.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.34	0.030	0.025
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	27	11.3%	29.4%	59.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.24	0.030	0.024
SC/ICT/SETSS	57	23.8%	57.9%	49.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.80	0.030	0.021
ELL Progress	203	27.4%	69.5%	55.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.06	0.030	0.015
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.27
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.95

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

25Q029 P.S. 029 Queens

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
25Q029
```

P.S. 029 Queens

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			-
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	3.68	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	92%	3.92	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.48	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.44	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	5770		3370
EMS	83.9%	3.04	
HS			
Overall	83.9%	3.04	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.40	4.20	
HS			
Overall	0.40	4.20	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	83%	3.56	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
ng Family-Community Ties			
	82%	2.88	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	0270	2.00	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
t NVC School Survey Truct	0.49/	4.04	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.04	100%
Continue Dations, France dia e Tarrest		4.04	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
25Q029
```

P.S. 029 Queens

			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigo	ous Instruction			J. J			<u> </u>		
(Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.56	3.24	
C	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.55	3.20	
(Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
• (Quality of student discussion	Teachers	97	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.89	4.56	
Sectio	on Results:		95%					3.68	
Colla	borative Teachers								
C	Cultural awareness:								
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.90		
	Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
•	Cultural awareness	Combined	97				0.82	4.28	
• 1	nclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.86	4.44	
• (Quality of professional development	Teachers	88	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.75	4.00	
S	chool commitment	Teachers	84	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.59	3.36	
I	nnovation	Teachers	88	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.61	3.44	
• F	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36	
• F	Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.89	4.56	
F	ocus on student learning	Teachers	89	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.66	3.64	
(Collective responsibility	Teachers	85	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.56	3.24	
Sectio	on Results:		92%					3.92	
	ortive Environment afety:								
	Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.97		
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
•	Safety	Combined	99				0.97	4.88	
C	Classroom behavior:								
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	94	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.80		
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
•	Classroom behavior	Combined	94				0.80	4.20	
• 5	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.82	4.28	
F	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
	lext-level guidance	Students							
F	ress toward academic achievement:								
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	98	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.90		
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
•	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	98				0.90	4.60	
F	ersonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
F	eer support for academic work:								
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.87		
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75		
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
•	Peer support for academic work	Combined	96				0.81	4.24	
Sectio	on Results:		97%					4.44	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

25Q029 P.S. 029 Queens

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.66	3.64
Teacher influence	Teachers	60	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.49	2.96
Program coherence	Teachers	84	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.60	3.40
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.82	4.28
Section Results:		83%					3.56
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.90	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.61	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.76	4.04
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	67	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.17	1.68
Section Results:		82%					2.88
Trust							
• Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.79	4.16
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	93	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.84	4.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.65	3.60
Section Results:		94%					4.04

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.55	2.50 or lower	2.51 to 2.60	2.61 to 2.68	2.69 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.99	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.14	2.15 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	29.9%	28.3% or lower	28.4% to 33.8%	33.9% to 38.0%	38.1% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.81	2.70 or lower	2.71 to 2.86	2.87 to 2.97	2.98 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.13	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	41.7%	37.7% or lower	37.8% to 45.0%	45.1% to 50.5%	50.6% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.4%	87.5% or lower	87.6% to 90.6%	90.7% to 93.0%	93.1% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.83	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.35	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.23	2.24 or higher
SETSS	2.19	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.22	2.23 or higher
ELL	2.27	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.39	2.40 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.16	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.12	2.13 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.74	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.38	2.39 to 2.51	2.52 or higher
SETSS	2.16	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.48	2.49 or higher
ELL	2.60	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.71	2.72 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.12	2.13 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
ELL Progress	55.7%	43.8% or lower	43.9% to 53.8%	53.9% to 61.3%	61.4% or highe

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	Targets	S		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	83.9%	76.5% or lower	76.6% to 82.5%	82.6% to 87.0%	87.1% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.40	0.17 or lower	0.18 to 0.27	0.28 to 0.35	0.36 or higher