
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 136 Roy Wilkins

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	105	99	77
Grade 1	102	123	116
Grade 2	102	103	107
Grade 3	83	86	92
Grade 4	100	89	84
Grade 5	100	94	79
All students	628	630	591

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	3%	2%
% Free Lunch Eligible	79%	79%	83%
% Student with IEPs	15%	18%	20%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	8%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	54%	49%
% Temporary Housing	-	10%	11%
% Asian	1%	1%	1%
% Black	91%	90%	91%
% Hispanic	6%	7%	6%
% White	1%	1%	0%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

29Q136 P.S. 136 Roy Wilkins

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.03

				2	014-15 Targets	s			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	243	2.47	2.03	2.28	2.44	2.62	2.89	3.17	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	243	23.0%	4.0%	15.4%	23.3%	32.3%	44.7%	2.96	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	145	60.0	46.9	54.9	61.9	66.8	78.4	2.73	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	53	81.0	57.4	65.6	72.7	77.7	89.6	4.28	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	88	2.23	0.51	1.21	1.82	2.25	3.27	3.95	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	243	2.34	1.95	2.32	2.58	2.87	3.26	2.08	9.09%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	243	14.8%	0.0%	17.1%	29.4%	43.4%	61.3%	1.87	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	145	47.0	37.7	49.8	60.2	67.6	84.9	1.77	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	51	61.0	49.7	60.9	70.7	77.5	93.7	2.01	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	87	1.47	0.02	1.27	2.36	3.12	4.92	2.18	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	83	93.5%	68.8%	77.9%	84.1%	91.2%	100.0%	4.26	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.84

						2	014-15 Target	s	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	28	11.5%	56.7%	17.9%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	20	8.2%	41.6%	20.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	2	0.8%	7.6%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	27	11.1%	55.2%	3.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.35	0.030	0.010
Integrated Co-Teaching	20	8.2%	41.8%	5.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.69	0.030	0.005
SETSS	2	0.8%	7.7%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	2.8%	6.0%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	47	32.4%	46.1%	66.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.56	0.030	0.027
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	20	13.8%	34.9%	60.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.20	0.030	0.024
SC/ICT/SETSS	31	21.4%	50.1%	48.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.36	0.030	0.018
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	2.8%	5.7%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	59	40.7%	55.9%	44.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.13	0.030	0.016
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	16.6%	43.2%	45.8%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.40	0.030	0.018
SC/ICT/SETSS	31	21.4%	51.0%	35.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.55	0.030	0.012
ELL Progress	12	2.2%	5.6%	75.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.19
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.03

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

29Q136 P.S. 136 Roy Wilkins

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	3.72	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	84%	2.88	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	3270	3.00	3370
EMS	78.6%	3.08	
HS	70.070	3.00	
Overall	78.6%	3.08	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.17	2.27	
HS			
Overall	0.17	2.27	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
		5.52	
		3,52	
-			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	77%	3.16	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target	77%	3.16	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties	77%	3.16	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	77% Section Score:	3.16 3.16	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target	77% Section Score: 83%	3.16 3.16 2.88	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target	77% Section Score: 83%	3.16 3.16 2.88	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target St NYC School Survey - Trust	77% Section Score: 83% Section Score:	3.16 3.16 2.88 2.88	100%

P.S. 136 Roy Wilkins

Section Sect				City Range				
© Common Core shifts in interacy Teachers 97 8.6.4 9.4.8 100.0 0.80 4.20 Common Core shifts in math Teachers 93 33.3 99.1 100.0 0.55 3.20 Course clarity Students 94% 84.3 92.7 100.0 0.70 3.80 Collary of Students discussion Teachers 91 68.7 85.3 100.0 0.75 3.72 Collary and awareness Teachers 95 85.4 95.0 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 100.0 0.75 4.00 100.0 0.75 4.00 100.0 0.75 4.00 100.0 0.75 4.00 100.0 0.75 4.00 100.0 0.75 4.00 100.0 0.75 4.00 100			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range		Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Common Core shifts in math	Rigorous Instruction							
Course clarity Students 91 68.7 85.3 100.0 0.70 3.80	 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.80	4.20
Collaborative Teachers 91 68.7 85.3 100.0 0.70 3.80 3.70 3.80 3.70 3.80 3.70	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.55	3.20
Section Results: 94%	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Collaborative Teachers	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	91	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.70	3.80
Cultural awareness: Teachers 95 85.4 95.0 100.0 0.75 Cultural awareness Parents 95 85.4 95.0 99.3 0.75 Cultural awareness Students 68.6 87.4 100.0	Section Results:		94%					3.72
Cultural awareness: Cultural awareness Parents 95 85.4 95.0 100.0 0.75 Cultural awareness Parents 95 90.5 94.9 99.3 0.75 Cultural awareness Parents 95 90.5 94.9 99.3 0.75 Cultural awareness Students 68.6 87.4 100.0	Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness Parents 95 85.4 95.0 100.0 0.75 Cultural awareness Parents 95 90.5 94.9 99.3 0.75 Cultural awareness Students 5 90.5 94.9 99.3 0.75 Cultural awareness Students 5 86.6 87.4 100.0 5.0 Cultural awareness Combined 95								
Cultural awareness Parents 95 90.5 94.9 99.3 0.75 Cultural awareness Students 56.5 87.4 100.0 Cultural awareness Combined 95		Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness								
Cultural awareness Combined 95 4.00 Inclusive classroom instruction Teachers 93 84.2 94.6 100.0 0.53 3.12 Quality of professional development Teachers 76 51.4 77.4 100.0 0.51 3.04 O School commitment Teachers 68 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.27 2.08 Innovation Teachers 78 70.3 86.7 100.0 0.50 3.00 Reflective dialogue Teachers 93 87.9 95.9 100.0 0.67 3.68 Focus on student learning Teachers 93 77.6 92.2 100.0 0.67 3.68 Focus on student learning Teachers 75 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.27 2.08 Prestion Results: *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.70</td> <td></td>							0.70	
Inclusive classroom instruction Teachers 93 84.2 94.6 100.0 0.53 3.12			95	00.0	0711	2000	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development Teachers 76 51.4 77.4 100.0 0.51 3.04 O School commitment Teachers 68 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.21 1.28 Innovation Teachers 78 70.3 86.7 100.0 0.27 2.08 Reflective dialogue Teachers 93 87.9 95.9 100.0 0.50 3.00 Peer collaboration Teachers 93 77.6 92.2 100.0 0.67 3.68 Focus on student learning Teachers 95 66.2 89.0 100.0 0.53 3.12 Collective responsibility Teachers 75 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.27 2.08 Selective Selective 84% 80.0 94.6 100.0 0.67 3.68 Safety Students 74.5 88.5 100.0 0.67 3.68 Classroom behavior Students 66.9 85.5 100.0				84.2	94.6	100.0		3.12
School commitment Teachers 68 59.9 85.3 100.0 0.21 1.84								3.04
Innovation Teachers 78 70.3 86.7 100.0 0.27 2.08								1.84
Reflective dialogue Teachers 93 87.9 95.9 100.0 0.50 3.00 Peer collaboration Teachers 93 77.6 92.2 100.0 0.67 3.68 Focus on student learning Teachers 85 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.27 2.08 Collective responsibility Teachers 75 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.27 2.08 Supportive Environment Safety Safety Sudents 74.5 88.5 100.0 0.67 3.68 Safety Combined 93 80.0 94.6 100.0 0.67 3.68 Safety Students 74.5 88.5 100.0 0.67 3.68 Classroom behavior Teachers 86 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.59 Classroom behavior Teachers 86 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.59 Classroom behavior Teachers 86 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.75 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.08</td>								2.08
Peer collaboration								
Focus on student learning Teachers 85 68.2 89.0 100.0 0.53 3.12 Collective responsibility Teachers 75 65.7 84.7 100.0 0.27 2.08 section Results: 84% 288 288 288 288 288 288 288 288 288 28	-							
Collective responsibility								
Safety Teachers 93 80.0 94.6 100.0 0.67	-							
Safety Teachers 93 80.0 94.6 100.0 0.67 10.67								
Safety Combined 93 74.5 88.5 100.0 10.67 3.68 Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Teachers 86 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.59 3.36 Classroom behavior Students 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.59 3.36 Classroom behavior Combined 86 67.3 84.3 100.0 0.59 3.36 Classroom behavior Combined 86 9.0 96.6 100.0 0.75 4.00 Classroom behavior Combined 86 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.75 4.00 Classroom behavior Teachers 96 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.75 4.00 Peer interactions Students 68.2 84.8 100.0 0.63 10.00 10.63 10.00 10.63 10.00 10.63 10.00 10.63 10.00 10.63 10.00 10.63 10.00 10.67 10.00 10.67 10.0	Safety:	Tanahana	02	20.0	0.4.6	100.0	0.67	
Safety Combined 93 0.67 3.68 Classroom behavior Teachers 86 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.59 Classroom behavior Students 67.3 84.3 100.0 0.59 3.36 Classroom behavior Combined 86 67.3 84.3 100.0 0.59 3.36 Social-emotional measure Teachers 96 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.75 4.00 Peer interactions Students 68.2 84.8 100.0 0.75 4.00 Next-level guidance Students 68.2 84.8 100.0 0.63 100.0 <th< td=""><td>•</td><td></td><td>93</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.67</td><td></td></th<>	•		93				0.67	
Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Teachers 86 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.59 Classroom behavior Students 67.3 84.3 100.0 Classroom behavior Combined 86	•		02	/4.5	88.5	100.0	0.67	2.00
Classroom behavior Teachers 86 66.9 85.5 100.0 0.59 Classroom behavior Students 67.3 84.3 100.0 Classroom behavior Combined 86 0.59 3.36 Social-emotional measure Teachers 96 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.75 4.00 Peer interactions Students 68.2 84.8 100.0 0.75 4.00 Next-level guidance Students <	·	Combined	93				0.67	3.68
Classroom behavior Students 67.3 84.3 100.0 Classroom behavior Combined 86 0.59 3.36 Social-emotional measure Teachers 96 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.75 4.00 Peer interactions Students 68.2 84.8 100.0 0.75 4.00 Next-level guidance Students 50.0 88.8 100.0 0.63 100.0 0.63 100.0 0.63 100.0 0.63 100.0 0.63 3.52 100.0 0.63 3.52 100.0 0.63 3.52 100.0 0.63 3.52 100.0 0.63 3.52 100.0 0.63 3.52 100.0 0.63 3.52 100.0 0.67 100.0 0.67 100.0 0.67 100.0 0.67 100.0 0.67 100.0 0.67 100.0 0.60 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 <		T	0.6	66.0	05.5	100.0	0.50	
Classroom behavior Combined 86 0.59 3.36 ● Social-emotional measure Teachers 96 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.75 4.00 Peer interactions Students 68.2 84.8 100.0 10.63			80				0.59	
● Social-emotional measure Teachers 96 89.0 96.6 100.0 0.75 4.00 Peer interactions Students 68.2 84.8 100.0 10.0 <			0.0	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.50	2.20
Peer interactions Next-level guidance Students Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Students 77.8 89.6 100.0 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Students Students Students O.63 3.52 77.8 89.6 100.0 0.67 Peer support for academic work Parents 94 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students Students O.59 3.36				00.0	00.0	100.0		
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Students Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Students Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Students Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Students Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Students Press toward academic achievement Press t			96				0.75	4.00
Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Students Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Combined Press toward academic achievement Students Peer support for academic work Parents Peer support for academic work Parents Peer support for academic work Parents Peer support for academic work Students Students Peer support for academic work Combined Parents Peer support for academic work Students Stud				08.2	84.8	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement Teachers 91 75.0 88.8 100.0 0.63 Press toward academic achievement Students 85.3 91.9 98.5 Press toward academic achievement Combined 91 0.63 3.52 Personal attention and support Students 77.8 89.6 100.0 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 92 76.5 91.5 100.0 0.67 Peer support for academic work Parents 94 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students 50.4 73.8 97.2 Peer support for academic work Combined 93	_	Students						
Press toward academic achievement Combined 91 0.63 3.52 Personal attention and support Students 77.8 89.6 100.0 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 92 76.5 91.5 100.0 0.67 Peer support for academic work Parents 94 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students 50.4 73.8 97.2 Peer support for academic work Combined 93		Teachers	01	75.0	000	100.0	0.62	
Press toward academic achievement Combined 91 Personal attention and support Students 77.8 89.6 100.0 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 92 76.5 91.5 100.0 0.67 Peer support for academic work Parents 94 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students 50.4 73.8 97.2 Peer support for academic work Combined 93			91				0.03	
Personal attention and support Students 77.8 89.6 100.0 Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Teachers 92 76.5 91.5 100.0 0.67 Peer support for academic work Parents 94 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students 50.4 73.8 97.2 Peer support for academic work Combined 93			01	03.3	31.3	30.3	0.63	2 52
Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Students Peer support for academic work Ombined O.67 Peer support for academic work Fold O.50 O.50			91	77 0	80.6	100.0	0.05	3.32
Peer support for academic work Teachers 92 76.5 91.5 100.0 0.67 Peer support for academic work Parents 94 88.4 94.8 100.0 0.50 Peer support for academic work Students 50.4 73.8 97.2 Peer support for academic work Combined 93 0.59 3.36	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Students		//.0	03.0	100.0		
Peer support for academic workParents9488.494.8100.00.50Peer support for academic workStudents50.473.897.2Peer support for academic workCombined930.593.36		Teachers	02	76 5	01 5	100.0	0.67	
Peer support for academic workStudents50.473.897.2Peer support for academic workCombined930.593.36								
Peer support for academic work Combined 93 0.59 3.36			J4				0.30	
			02	JU.4	73.0	31.2	0.50	2 26
		Combined					0.33	3.60

P.S. 136 Roy Wilkins

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Titantina Sahaal Laadarahia							
Effective School Leadership	Davanta	02	70.2	00.0	100.0	0.61	2.44
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.61	3.44
Teacher influence	Teachers	46	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.27	2.08
Program coherence	Teachers	87	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.68	3.72
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	84	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.58	3.32
Section Results:		77%					3.16
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	92	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.43	2.72
Section Results:		83%					2.88
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.60	3.40
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	67	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.25	2.00
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	81	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.28	2.12
Section Results:		84%					2.64

29Q136

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 136 Roy Wilkins

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.47	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.47	2.48 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.19	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	23.0%	15.5% or lower	15.6% to 21.1%	21.2% to 25.2%	25.3% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.34	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.58	2.59 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.98	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.14	2.15 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.8%	17.4% or lower	17.5% to 24.7%	24.8% to 30.2%	30.3% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.5%	86.2% or lower	86.3% to 89.7%	89.8% to 92.4%	92.5% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.21	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.85	1.86 to 1.91	1.92 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.31	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.14	2.15 or higher			
SETSS		1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.10	2.11 or higher			
ELL	2.68	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.47	2.48 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.19	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.22	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.92	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.09	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher			
SETSS		1.85 or lower	1.86 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
ELL	2.52	2.41 or lower	2.42 to 2.60	2.61 to 2.75	2.76 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
ELL Progress	75.0%	43.4% or lower	43.5% to 53.4%	53.5% to 60.9%	61.0% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	78.6%	72.0% or lower	72.1% to 78.8%	78.9% to 84.0%	84.1% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.17	0.18 or lower	0.19 to 0.29	0.30 to 0.37	0.38 or higher			