# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Exceeding Target				4.60
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Exceeding Target				4.36
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.04
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.00
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.52		
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.28
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.8	84
		1	2	3	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	97	86	99
Grade 1	112	94	91
Grade 2	85	103	93
Grade 3	77	89	99
Grade 4	73	78	94
Grade 5	87	80	83
All students	531	530	559

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	20%	19%	23%
% Free Lunch Eligible	66%	66%	66%
% Student with IEPs	15%	18%	20%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	62%	61%
% Temporary Housing	-	3%	4%
% Asian	44%	44%	43%
% Black	1%	0%	0%
% Hispanic	27%	29%	30%
% White	28%	27%	26%
% Other	0%	0%	0%



# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.84									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
<ul> <li>Average Student Proficiency</li> </ul>		268	2.84	2.29	2.54	2.67	2.81	3.02	4.14	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		268	41.8%	17.6%	29.1%	35.5%	42.8%	52.5%	3.86	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		167	67.0	53.0	59.5	64.7	68.4	77.3	3.62	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest	Third	58	70.0	58.1	65.6	72.1	76.6	87.5	2.68	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		94	3.50	1.21	1.78	2.18	2.46	3.17	4.99	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		274	2.98	2.38	2.73	2.92	3.13	3.42	3.29	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		274	52.6%	21.0%	36.3%	45.6%	56.2%	69.4%	3.66	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		171	69.0	46.6	56.4	64.7	70.4	84.0	3.75	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest	Third	60	62.0	55.4	63.5	70.4	75.2	86.9	1.81	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		97	3.14	1.25	2.13	2.77	3.21	4.35	3.84	9.09%
<ul> <li>MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students</li> </ul>		78	98.7%	79.1%	85.1%	89.3%	94.1%	100.0%	4.78	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.67

						2	014-15 Target	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.4%	2.0%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	43	16.0%	81.2%	11.6%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.35	0.030	0.025
• SETSS	8	3.0%	28.6%	25.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.4%	2.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
<ul> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> </ul>	43	15.7%	80.1%	23.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.38	0.030	0.025
SETSS	8	2.9%	27.9%	12.5%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.16	0.030	0.016
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	41	24.6%	52.6%	34.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.79	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	36	21.6%	29.9%	44.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.67	0.030	0.013
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	8	4.8%	12.2%	37.5%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	20.4%	47.3%	23.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.12	0.030	0.001
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	45	26.3%	54.0%	33.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.98	0.030	0.015
D Lowest Third Citywide	43	25.1%	34.5%	27.9%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.66	0.030	0.005
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	7	4.1%	10.7%	42.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	19.9%	46.7%	26.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.79	0.030	0.006
ELL Progress	126	22.5%	57.1%	73.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.33	0.030	0.025
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.17
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.84

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
20K112
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	3.84	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	
aborative Teachers			
	Wall Developed	4.99	50%
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	Well Developed 91%	3.72	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
portive Environment	Wall Developed	4.00	200/
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	93%	3.84	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	88.3%	3.68	
HS	00.370	5.00	
Overall	88.3%	3.68	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	00.370	5.00	5070
environments			
EMS	0.13	1.93	
HS	-		
Overall	0.13	1.93	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	87%	4.00	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	80%	2.52	100%
, ,		-	
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.52	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.28	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in literacy</li> </ul>	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.91	4.64
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	92	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.51	3.04
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	91	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.71	3.84
Section Results:		94%					3.84
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	94				0.63	3.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	92	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	86	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.70	3.80
School commitment	Teachers	89	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.73	3.92
Innovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.56	3.24
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.75	4.00
Focus on student learning	Teachers	90	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.68	3.72
Collective responsibility	Teachers	91	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:		91%					3.72
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.88	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	98				0.88	4.52
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	88	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.62	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	88				0.62	3.48
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	89	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.56	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	89				0.56	3.24
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	93	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.68	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94				0.72	3.88
Section Results:		93%					3.84

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Teacher influence	Teachers	64	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.55	3.20
<ul> <li>Program coherence</li> </ul>	Teachers	94	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.84	4.36
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		87%					4.00
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	96	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.76	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	96				0.75	4.00
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	63	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.01	1.04
Section Results:		80%					2.52
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	98	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.81	4.24
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.84	4.36
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
<ul> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	89	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.75	4.00
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	97	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.88	4.52
Section Results:		95%					4.28

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.84	2.44 or lower	2.45 to 2.55	2.56 to 2.63	2.64 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.20	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.10	2.11 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	41.8%	24.5% or lower	24.6% to 30.0%	30.1% to 34.1%	34.2% or highe
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.98	2.64 or lower	2.65 to 2.79	2.80 to 2.91	2.92 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.11	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	52.6%	33.5% or lower	33.6% to 40.7%	40.8% to 46.2%	46.3% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.7%	88.2% or lower	88.3% to 91.2%	91.3% to 93.5%	93.6% or highe
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained					
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.20	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.23	2.24 or higher
SETSS	2.50	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.19	2.20 or higher
ELL	2.52	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.39	2.40 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained					
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.42	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.56	2.57 or higher
SETSS	2.30	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.40	2.41 or higher
ELL	2.69	2.40 or lower	2.41 to 2.59	2.60 to 2.74	2.75 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.07	2.08 or higher
ELL Progress	73.0%	51.6% or lower	51.7% to 61.6%	61.7% to 69.1%	69.2% or highe

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	88.3%	78.0% or lower	78.1% to 83.6%	83.7% to 87.8%	87.9% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.13	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.14	0.15 to 0.19	0.20 or higher		