
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	39	39	44
Grade 1	46	38	40
Grade 2	46	36	39
Grade 3	48	45	35
Grade 4	50	47	40
Grade 5	42	44	42
All students	302	285	270

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	10%	10%	8%
% Free Lunch Eligible	66%	66%	68%
% Student with IEPs	27%	34%	33%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	7%	9%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	43%	46%
% Temporary Housing	-	10%	13%
% Asian	15%	14%	11%
% Black	24%	21%	18%
% Hispanic	51%	52%	59%
% White	8%	10%	10%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

01M019 P.S. 019 Asher Levy

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.56

				2	014-15 Target:	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	114	2.63	1.99	2.21	2.36	2.52	2.79	4.41	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	114	34.2%	2.0%	12.2%	19.4%	27.6%	39.8%	4.54	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	80	69.0	45.7	54.7	62.5	67.9	80.9	4.08	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	28	79.5	59.2	67.9	75.4	80.7	93.2	3.77	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	33	2.53	0.21	1.09	1.86	2.39	3.66	4.11	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	114	2.98	1.92	2.25	2.48	2.74	3.11	4.65	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	114	49.1%	0.0%	14.6%	25.1%	37.0%	53.4%	4.74	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	80	73.5	36.8	48.8	59.2	66.5	83.8	4.40	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	27	82.0	53.0	63.5	72.7	79.1	94.4	4.19	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	33	3.85	0.12	1.34	2.39	3.13	4.89	4.41	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	38	92.1%	76.4%	83.0%	87.8%	93.2%	100.0%	3.80	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	4.28

			2014-15 Targets									
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	– Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	12	10.5%	51.7%	8.3%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	10	8.8%	44.7%	50.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	19	16.7%	100.0%	5.3%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	2.67	0.030	0.013
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	12	10.5%	52.2%	8.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.17	0.030	0.024
Integrated Co-Teaching	10	8.8%	44.9%	90.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	19	16.7%	100.0%	10.5%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	2.76	0.030	0.013
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	11.3%	24.1%	33.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	28	35.0%	50.0%	57.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.09	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	16	20.0%	50.6%	75.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.87	0.030	0.029
SC/ICT/SETSS	33	41.3%	100.0%	48.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.37	0.030	0.018
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	11.3%	23.2%	66.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	27	33.8%	46.4%	59.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.26	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	16	20.0%	52.1%	56.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.13	0.030	0.024
• SC/ICT/SETSS	33	41.3%	100.0%	51.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.01	0.030	0.023
ELL Progress	16	6.8%	17.3%	56.3%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.28
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	4.56

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

01M019 P.S. 019 Asher Levy

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1			
Quality Review 1.2			
Quality Review 2.2			
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.28	34%
Section Rating:	Section Score:		
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	96%	4.48	50%
Section Rating:	Section Score:		
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	60 == 1		
EMS	69.7%	2.52	
HS			
Overall	69.7%	2.52	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments	0.46	2.40	
EMS	0.16	2.18	
HS	0.16	2.40	
Overall	0.16	2.18	
Section Rating:	Section Score:		
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	90%	4.12	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.12	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.36	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
ust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	3.80	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range				
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigo	rous Instruction			•	, .		•	
	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.91	4.64
	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
(Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	92	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.74	3.96
Secti	on Results:		96%					4.28
Calla	aborative Teachers							
	Cultural awareness:							
· ·	Cultural awareness Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.82	
	Cultural awareness		95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	Cultural awareness Cultural awareness	Parents Students	95	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
	Cultural awareness Cultural awareness	Combined	96	08.0	07.4	100.0	0.78	4.12
•	Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.12
	Quality of professional development	Teachers	89	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.77	4.99
	School commitment	Teachers	98	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.77	4.80
	Innovation		98				0.95	
		Teachers		70.3	86.7	100.0		4.64
	Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
		Teachers Teachers	98	77.6 68.2	92.2	100.0	0.89	4.56
	Focus on student learning		98		89.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
	Collective responsibility on Results:	Teachers	94 96%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.81	4.24 4.48
	Safety:		00	00.0	04.6	400.0	0.00	
	Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.93	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0	0.00	
•	Safety	Combined	99				0.93	4.72
(Classroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	94	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.82	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
•	Classroom behavior	Combined	94				0.82	4.28
	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	Next-level guidance	Students						
	Press toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	97	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.89	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
•	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	97				0.89	4.56
	Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
	Peer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	99	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.97	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
•	Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.86	4.44
secti	on Results:		97%					4.60

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Calculation devolution							
Effective School Leadership		0.0		00.0	400.0		• • •
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	89	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.48	2.92
Teacher influence	Teachers	72	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.68	3.72
 Program coherence 	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	98	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:		90%					4.12
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.87	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.63	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	96				0.75	4.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.43	2.72
Section Results:		86%					3.36
Tweet							
Trust	Davanta	0.5	00.0	05.3	00.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	89	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.35	2.40
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	96	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.91	4.64
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	95	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.79	4.16
Section Results:		94%					3.80

01M019

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 019 Asher Levy

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.63	2.35 or lower	2.36 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.53	2.54 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.05	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	34.2%	19.3% or lower	19.4% to 24.8%	24.9% to 29.0%	29.1% or highe		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.98	2.40 or lower	2.41 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.68	2.69 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.04	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	49.1%	23.3% or lower	23.4% to 30.5%	30.6% to 36.0%	36.1% or highe		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	92.1%	87.2% or lower	87.3% to 90.5%	90.6% to 92.9%	93.0% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.88	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.93	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
SETSS	2.05	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 or higher		
ELL	2.39	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.14	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.99	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	3.82	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher		
SETSS	2.19	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher		
ELL	2.86	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.68	2.69 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
ELL Progress	56.3%	41.8% or lower	41.9% to 51.7%	51.8% to 59.3%	59.4% or highe		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	69.7%	70.6% or lower	70.7% to 77.5%	77.6% to 82.6%	82.7% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.16	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.23	0.24 or higher			