
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 115 Daniel Mucatel School

18K115

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	157	176	160
Grade 1	180	183	183
Grade 2	201	177	178
Grade 3	195	224	177
Grade 4	183	194	208
Grade 5	212	164	165
All students	1182	1172	1160

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	4%	3%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	71%	71%	71%
% Student with IEPs	11%	14%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	4%	5%
% HRA Eligible	-	46%	44%
% Temporary Housing	-	8%	8%
% Asian	3%	3%	3%
% Black	87%	86%	85%
% Hispanic	6%	7%	8%
% White	4%	3%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

18K115 P.S. 115 Daniel Mucatel School

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.86

				2	014-15 Target:	5			
Student Achievement Metrics	_	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of	Madela Cares	Maiala Bat
State Test Results - ELA	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Average Student Proficiency	533	2.49	2.00	2.31	2.52	2.77	3.08	2.86	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	533	26.3%	1.1%	16.5%	27.7%	40.3%	56.2%	2.88	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	350	52.5	46.9	54.7	61.5	66.2	77.5	1.72	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	121	63.0	56.0	64.3	71.7	76.8	89.0	1.84	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	168	2.03	0.47	1.31	2.04	2.55	3.78	2.99	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	533	2.60	1.87	2.36	2.71	3.11	3.62	2.69	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	533	32.3%	0.0%	20.9%	36.0%	53.2%	74.7%	2.75	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	350	59.5	35.6	49.2	60.8	69.0	88.6	2.89	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	121	72.0	47.2	59.2	69.4	76.5	93.6	3.37	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	169	2.41	0.02	1.53	2.83	3.74	5.97	2.68	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	149	91.4%	69.1%	78.1%	84.2%	91.2%	100.0%	4.02	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.79

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		·					-					
O Self-Contained	30	5.6%	27.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	33	6.2%	31.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	11	2.1%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	30	5.6%	27.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	33	6.2%	31.6%	6.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.85	0.030	0.006
SETSS	11	2.1%	20.2%	9.1%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	2.6%	5.6%	33.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	106	30.3%	43.0%	33.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.46	0.030	0.003
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	58	16.6%	42.0%	25.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.18	0.030	0.001
SC/ICT/SETSS	48	13.7%	28.1%	37.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.26	0.030	0.009
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	10	2.9%	6.0%	40.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	143	40.9%	56.2%	42.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.99	0.030	0.015
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	71	20.3%	52.9%	40.8%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.97	0.030	0.015
SC/ICT/SETSS	48	13.7%	28.8%	43.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.31	0.030	0.017
ELL Progress	34	3.2%	8.1%	64.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.07
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	2.86

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).


[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 115 Daniel Mucatel School

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.84	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	4.00	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.72	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	3270		33/0
EMS	81.6%	2.96	
HS		_	
Overall	81.6%	2.96	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments	0.00	2.22	
EMS	0.23	2.82	
HS Overall	0.22	2 02	F0/
Overall	0.23	2.82	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
ctive School Leadership	0001		
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	89%	4.08	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.08	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.36	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	3.96	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
<u> </u>			



18K115

Framework	Flomonts	Survov	Scoring	Annondiv
rialliework	ciements -	· Survev	2COLLIS	Appelluix

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruc	tion							
• Common Cor	e shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core	e shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.81	4.24
Course clarity	,	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of stu	dent discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.58	3.32
Section Results:			93%					3.84
Collaborative Te	achers							
Cultural awar								
	awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.82	
	awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0	0.70	
	awareness	Combined	96	33.3	0 71.		0.78	4.12
	sroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.89	4.56
	ofessional development	Teachers	90	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.80	4.20
 School comm 		Teachers	91	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.77	4.08
Innovation		Teachers	88	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.59	3.36
Reflective dia	logue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collabora	_	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.77	4.08
 Focus on stud 		Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
Collective res	-	Teachers	85	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.55	3.20
CONCURVE I CO.								
Section Results:			93%	03.7	04.7			4.00
Section Results: Supportive Envir			93%					4.00
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety		Teachers		80.0	94.6	100.0	0.89	4.00
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety		Teachers Students	93% 98				0.89	
Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Safety	ronment	Teachers	93%	80.0	94.6	100.0		
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom be	ronment havior:	Teachers Students Combined	93% 98 98	80.0 74.5	94.6 88.5	100.0 100.0	0.89	
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom be	ronment havior: m behavior	Teachers Students Combined Teachers	93% 98	80.0 74.5 66.9	94.6 88.5	100.0 100.0	0.89	
Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom be Classroom	nonment havior: m behavior m behavior	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	93% 98 98 79	80.0 74.5	94.6 88.5	100.0 100.0	0.89 0.89 0.36	4.56
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Olassroom be Classroom Classroom Classroom	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined	93% 98 98 79	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.89 0.89 0.36	4.56 2.44
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Classroom be Classroom Classroom Classroom Social-emotio	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior m behavior onal measure	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	93% 98 98 79	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.89 0.89 0.36	4.56 2.44
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom be Classroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Peer interacti	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior onal measure ions	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	93% 98 98 79	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.89 0.89 0.36	4.56 2.44
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Classroom be Classroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Peer interacti Next-level gui	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior m behavior onal measure ions idance	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	93% 98 98 79	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.89 0.89 0.36	4.56 2.44
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Olassroom be Classroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Peer interacti Next-level gui Press toward	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior onal measure tions idance academic achievement:	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students	93% 98 98 79 79 99	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.89 0.89 0.36 0.36 0.91	4.56 2.44
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom be Classroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Peer interacti Next-level gui Press toward	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior onal measure ions idance academic achievement: ward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers	93% 98 98 79	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.89 0.89 0.36	4.56 2.44
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom be Classroom Classroom Classroom Social-emotion Peer interacti Next-level guid Press toward Press tow	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior onal measure ions idance academic achievement: ward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Students Students	93% 98 98 79 79 99	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.89 0.89 0.36 0.36 0.91	4.56 2.44 4.64
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Olassroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Peer interacti Next-level gui Press toward Press tow Press tov	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior m behavior mal measure ions idance academic achievement: ward academic achievement ward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Combined	93% 98 98 79 79 99	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	0.89 0.89 0.36 0.36 0.91	4.56 2.44 4.64
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Classroom be Classroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Peer interacti Next-level gui Press toward Press tow Press tow Press tow Personal atter	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior m behavior onal measure ions idance academic achievement ward academic achievement ward academic achievement ward academic achievement ntion and support	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Students Students	93% 98 98 79 79 99	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	0.89 0.89 0.36 0.36 0.91	4.56 2.44 4.64
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom be Classroom Classroom Classroom Social-emotion Peer interacti Next-level guid Press toward	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior m behavior m behavior onal measure ions idance academic achievement: ward academic achievement ward academic achievement ward academic achievement ntion and support for academic work:	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	93% 98 98 79 79 99 91 91	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	0.89 0.89 0.36 0.36 0.91	4.56 2.44 4.64
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Olassroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Olassroom Peer interacti Next-level guid Press toward Press toward Press tow Press tow Press tow Peer support Peer support	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior m behavior mal measure ions idance academic achievement: ward academic achievement ward academic achievement academic achievement over academic achievement over academic achievement over academic achievement over academic work: port for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers	93% 98 98 79 79 99 91 91	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	0.89 0.89 0.36 0.36 0.91 0.62 0.62	4.00 4.56 2.44 4.64
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom be Classroom Classroom Classroom Social-emotion Peer interacti Next-level guit Press toward Press tow	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior m behavior onal measure ions idance academic achievement ward academic achievement ward academic achievement to academic achievement or academic achievement or academic work port for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Combined Students Combined Students Combined Students	93% 98 98 79 79 99 91 91	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5 100.0	0.89 0.89 0.36 0.36 0.91	4.56 2.44 4.64
Section Results: Supportive Envir Safety: Safety Safety Olassroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Classroom Peer interacti Next-level gui Press toward Press tow	havior: m behavior m behavior m behavior m behavior mal measure ions idance academic achievement: ward academic achievement ward academic achievement academic achievement over academic achievement over academic achievement over academic achievement over academic work: port for academic work	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Teachers	93% 98 98 79 79 99 91 91	80.0 74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	94.6 88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	0.89 0.89 0.36 0.36 0.91 0.62 0.62	4.56 2.44 4.64

P.S. 115 Daniel Mucatel School

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.71	3.84
Teacher influence	Teachers	79	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.78	4.12
Program coherence	Teachers	88	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.70	3.80
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	96	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.88	4.52
Section Results:	rederiers	89%	01.0	07.0	100.0	0.00	4.08
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.87	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.69	3.76
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.48	2.92
Section Results:		86%					3.36
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.65	3.60
Student-teacher trust	Students	5 .	64.6	85.2	100.0	0.00	3.33
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	93	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.83	4.32
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.73	3.92
Section Results:		94%					3.96

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.49	2.47 or lower	2.48 to 2.58	2.59 to 2.66	2.67 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.98	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	26.3%	25.5% or lower	25.6% to 31.0%	31.1% to 35.1%	35.2% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.60	2.59 or lower	2.60 to 2.74	2.75 to 2.86	2.87 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.25	2.26 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	32.3%	32.5% or lower	32.6% to 39.7%	39.8% to 45.2%	45.3% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	91.4%	86.7% or lower	86.8% to 90.1%	90.2% to 92.6%	92.7% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	15-16 Targets			
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.68	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.91	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
SETSS	1.92	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
ELL	2.20	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.73	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.00	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.45	2.46 or higher		
SETSS	2.07	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.29	2.30 or higher		
ELL	2.49	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.62	2.63 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
ELL Progress	64.7%	49.9% or lower	50.0% to 59.9%	60.0% to 67.4%	67.5% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	81.6%	75.1% or lower	75.2% to 81.5%	81.6% to 86.2%	86.3% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.23	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher		