
#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	51	50	49
Grade 1	49	49	50
Grade 2	51	49	48
Grade 3	52	48	46
Grade 4	50	50	49
Grade 5	40	50	50
Grade 6	-	47	48
Grade 7	-	-	50
All students	293	343	390

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	12%	8%	8%
% Free Lunch Eligible	78%	78%	82%
% Student with IEPs	18%	25%	24%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	1%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	61%	67%
% Temporary Housing	-	10%	10%
% Asian	2%	2%	1%
% Black	35%	34%	34%
% Hispanic	59%	60%	62%
% White	1%	2%	1%
% Other	1%	2%	1%

84M382 DREAM Charter School

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score **Exceeding Target**4.44

				7	2014-15 Targets				
		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	– Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA				<b></b>					
Average Student Proficiency	240	2.51	2.01	2.21	2.32	2.45	2.71	4.23	10.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	240	21.7%	4.0%	12.5%	18.2%	24.6%	36.0%	3.55	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	178	68.5	46.0	54.3	61.5	66.5	78.4	4.17	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	65	77.0	57.3	66.2	73.9	79.3	92.1	3.57	10.00%
Early Grade Progress	49	2.69	0.52	1.11	1.62	1.97	2.88	4.79	10.00%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	240	2.85	1.95	2.24	2.43	2.65	3.02	4.54	10.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	240	44.6%	1.2%	13.8%	22.7%	32.9%	48.3%	4.76	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	178	64.5	42.5	52.1	60.4	66.1	80.1	3.72	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	61	79.0	55.2	64.3	72.0	77.5	90.4	4.12	10.00%
Early Grade Progress	49	5.65	0.02	1.13	2.10	2.77	4.40	4.99	10.00%
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	95	89.5%							0.00%
Math	95	89.5%							0.00%
Science	95	89.5%							0.00%
Social Studies	95	89.5%							0.00%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit									0.00%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders									0.00%
								Weighted Average Score	4.24

						2	014 15 Taract					
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	014-15 Targets Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•			5 5	J		•	0			
Self-Contained	8	3.3%	18.5%	12.5%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	49	20.4%	100.0%	4.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.14	0.030	0.009
SETSS	5	2.1%	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	8	3.3%	18.6%	37.5%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	49	20.4%	100.0%	10.2%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.53	0.030	0.012
SETSS	5	2.1%	18.1%	40.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	30	16.9%	44.6%	56.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.44	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	66	37.1%	57.9%	54.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.89	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	36	20.2%	56.3%	55.6%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.00	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	49	27.5%	76.2%	44.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.01	0.030	0.015
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	30	16.9%	42.8%	43.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.88	0.030	0.022
Lowest Third Citywide	46	25.8%	37.0%	60.9%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.33	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	27	15.2%	42.2%	74.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.80	0.030	0.029
SC/ICT/SETSS	49	27.5%	76.9%	49.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.79	0.030	0.021
ELL Progress	27	7.0%	24.4%	70.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.20
									Over	all Student Achie	vement Score	4.44

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

**Framework Elements Scoring Appendix** 

84M382 DREAM Charter School

Quality Review 1.1  Quality Review 1.2  Quality Review 2.2  NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 87% 2.52 100%		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1 Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 87% 2.52 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.76  aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 93% 4.04 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.11  portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 82% 2.44 65% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 93.7% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.42 4.28 HS 0.42 4.28 HS 0.42 4.28 HS 0.42 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Interval School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 85% 3.72 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Interval Section Score: 4.24  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 4.24  Interval Section Score: 4.24	orous Instruction			
Ouality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 87% 2.52 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.76  aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 93% 4.04 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.11  portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Quality Review 1.1			
NVC School Survey - Rigorous instruction 87% 2.52 100%  Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.76  aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NVC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 93% 4.04 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.11  portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 82% 2.44 65% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance Enter Section Students with 90%+ attendance HS Overall 83.7% 4.24 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.38  Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 85% 3.72 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  In Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24	Quality Review 1.2			
Section Rating: Approaching Target  Section Score:* 2.76  aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 93% 4.04 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11  portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 82% 2.44 65% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 83.7% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 85% 3.72 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Rating: Section Score: 4.24  st NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24	Quality Review 2.2			
Aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  93% 4.04 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:* 4.11   Portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 82% 2.44 65% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 83.7% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 SEMS 0.42 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	87%	2.52	100%
Quality Review 4.2  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  93% 4.04 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  4.11   Portive Environment Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 82% 2.44 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 83.7% 4.24 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Section Score: 3.72  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Section Score: 4.24  Section Score: 4.24  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 4.24	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:*	2.76	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 93% 4.04 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11  Portive Environment Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 82% 2.44 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 83.7% 4.24  HS Overall 83.7% 4.24 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.42 4.28  HS Overall 0.42 4.28  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  CITIES Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Portion Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Score: 4.24	aborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 93% 4.04 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11  Portive Environment Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 82% 2.44 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 83.7% 4.24  HS Overall 83.7% 4.24 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.42 4.28  HS Overall 0.42 4.28  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  CITIES Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Portion Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Score: 4.24	Quality Review 4.2			
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 82% 2.44 65% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 83.7% 4.24  HS Overall 83.7% 4.24 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.42 4.28  HS Overall 0.42 4.28  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24	·	93%	4.04	100%
Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  82%  2.44  65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  B3.7%  4.24  B3.7%  4.24  30%  Movemant of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  Overall  0.42  4.28  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  3.72  Downs Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  Section Score:  4.24  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust  89%  3.44  100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.11	
Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  82%  2.44  65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  B3.7%  4.24  B3.7%  4.24  30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  Overall  0.42  4.28  BS  Overall  0.42  4.28  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Section Score:  3.72  100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  4.24  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust  89%  3.44  100%	noutive Favirence			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 82% 2.44 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 83.7% 4.24 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.38  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 85% 3.72 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%				
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 83.7% 4.24  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 85%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.38  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 85% 3.72 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  ING Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%	·	82%	2.44	65%
EMS HS Overall 83.7% 4.24 HS Overall 83.7% 4.24 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.38  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  ING Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%				
Overall 83.7% 4.24 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.38  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 85% 3.72 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24	_	83.7%	4.24	
Overall 83.7% 4.24 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.42 4.28 HS Overall 0.42 4.28 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.38  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 85% 3.72 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24	HS			
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.42 4.28 HS  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%		83.7%	4.24	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.42 4.28  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  89% 3.44 100%	environments			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  89% 3.44 100%	EMS	0.42	4.28	
Overall 0.42 4.28 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 85% 3.72 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24				
ctive School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.72  Ing Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score: 4.24  Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust  89%  3.44  100%		0.42	4.28	5%
ctive School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.72  Ing Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score: 4.24  Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust  89%  3.44  100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:*	3.38	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 85% 3.72 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.72  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  St  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%				
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.72  Ing Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%	-	050/	2.72	4000/
Ing Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score: 4.24  NYC School Survey - Trust  89%  3.44  100%	NYC School Survey - Επέςτινε School Leadership	85%	3.72	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  St NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 90% 4.24 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.24  St NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
st NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%		90%	4.24	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	
NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.44 100%				
		909/	2.44	4000/
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.44	INTC SCHOOL Survey - Trust	89%	5.44	100%
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	

<sup>\*</sup> These scores have been rescaled so that schools without Quality Review ratings are measured on a comparable scale to schools with Quality Review ratings.

DREAM Charter School

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	86	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.25	2.00	
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Course clarity	Students	84	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.13	1.52	
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	87	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.64	3.56	
ection Results:		87%					2.52	
ollaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	86.2	94.8	100.0	0.50		
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students	82	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.44		
Cultural awareness	Combined	90				0.56	3.24	
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.58	3.32	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	76	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.50	3.00	
School commitment	Teachers	91	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.77	4.08	
<ul><li>Innovation</li></ul>	Teachers	94	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.82	4.28	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.4	95.2	100.0	1.00	4.99	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	96	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.78	4.12	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	97	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.91	4.64	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	96	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.91	4.64	
ection Results:		93%					4.04	
upportive Environment Safety:								
Safety	Teachers							
Safety	Students	86	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.50		
Safety	Combined	86				0.50	3.00	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers							
Classroom behavior	Students	76	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.36		
Classroom behavior	Combined	76				0.36	2.44	
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	96	88.0	96.2	100.0	0.75	4.00	
<ul> <li>Peer interactions</li> </ul>	Students	72	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.13	1.52	
Next-level guidance	Students	81	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.15	1.60	
Press toward academic achievement:								
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers							
Press toward academic achievement	Students	86	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.25		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	86				0.25	2.00	
<ul> <li>Personal attention and support</li> </ul>	Students	78	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.11	1.44	
Peer support for academic work:								
Peer support for academic work	Teachers							
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.80		
Peer support for academic work	Students	66	45.8	67.0	88.2	0.48		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	82				0.64	3.56	
Section Results:		82%					2.44	

84M382 DREAM Charter School

			City Daysa				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
			200000000000000000000000000000000000000		rop or mange	r er centre er manige	000.0
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.61	3.44
Teacher influence	Teachers	71	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.69	3.76
Program coherence	Teachers	87	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.67	3.68
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	90	63.6	87.0	100.0	0.73	3.92
Section Results:		85%					3.72
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.90	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.79	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	97				0.84	4.36
<ul> <li>Parent involvement in the schools</li> </ul>	Parents	83	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		90%					4.24
Trust							
<ul> <li>Parent-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Parents	96	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.63	3.52
<ul> <li>Student-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Students	72	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.15	1.60
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	89	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.70	3.80
<ul> <li>Teacher-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	96	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.84	4.36
Section Results:		89%					3.44

Targets for 2015-16 DREAM Charter School

84M382

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

<b>Student Achievement Metrics</b>	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.51	2.35 or lower	2.36 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.48	2.49 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.08	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	21.7%	17.6% or lower	17.7% to 22.0%	22.1% to 25.1%	25.2% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.85	2.44 or lower	2.45 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.65	2.66 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.22	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.12	2.13 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	44.6%	23.0% or lower	23.1% to 29.2%	29.3% to 33.7%	33.8% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	89.5%	73.6% or lower	73.7% to 80.0%	80.1% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher			
Math	89.5%	73.2% or lower	73.3% to 79.7%	79.8% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher			
Science	89.5%	76.1% or lower	76.2% to 81.8%	81.9% to 86.1%	86.2% or higher			
Social Studies	89.5%	71.1% or lower	71.2% to 78.1%	78.2% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit		15.8% or lower	15.9% to 24.4%	24.5% to 30.8%	30.9% or higher			

9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
_	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.46	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.07	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.14	2.15 or higher			
SETSS	2.27	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
ELL	2.48	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.32	2.33 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.09	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Nath - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.60	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.21	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.28	2.29 or higher			
SETSS	3.02	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
ELL	2.88	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.58	2.59 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.18	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
ELL Progress	70.4%	37.1% or lower	37.2% to 45.9%	46.0% to 52.3%	52.4% or higher			

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	83.7%	68.9% or lower	69.0% to 76.4%	76.5% to 81.9%	82.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.42	0.13 or lower	0.14 to 0.21	0.22 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			

<sup>\*</sup> If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.