2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Ta	rget	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.00		
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3	.52	
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target				3.72	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target				3.64	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.8	84		
Trust	Exceeding Target					4.04
Student Achievement	Approaching Target			2.92		
		1	2	3	2	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	56	51	56
Grade 1	72	55	53
Grade 2	62	73	62
Grade 3	54	60	73
Grade 4	73	55	54
Grade 5	72	71	57
All students	389	383	398

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	7%	6%	7%
% Free Lunch Eligible	60%	60%	63%
% Student with IEPs	35%	35%	33%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	25%	21%	19%
% HRA Eligible	-	41%	38%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	12%
% Asian	2%	2%	3%
% Black	57%	58%	58%
% Hispanic	37%	35%	35%
% White	2%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	3%	3%



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.92									
					2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		162	2.31	1.96	2.17	2.31	2.46	2.73	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		162	17.9%	1.0%	10.4%	17.0%	24.6%	36.7%	3.12	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		89	65.0	45.9	54.6	62.3	67.6	80.2	3.51	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Low 	west Third	32	67.5	59.0	68.0	75.9	81.4	94.5	1.94	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		69	1.16	0.11	0.99	1.75	2.28	3.54	2.22	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		160	2.25	1.87	2.18	2.40	2.65	3.03	2.32	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		160	12.5%	0.0%	12.4%	21.4%	31.5%	47.1%	2.01	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		89	60.0	35.3	47.6	58.3	65.7	83.4	3.23	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lov	west Third	31	75.0	52.2	63.1	72.6	79.3	95.3	3.36	9.09%
 Early Grade Progress 		68	1.17	0.02	1.21	2.24	2.96	4.68	1.97	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Studer 	nts	59	94.4%	74.6%	81.7%	86.8%	92.7%	100.0%	4.23	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.81

						2014-15 Targets						
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
closing the Achievement dup (clAd) methes	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	35	21.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	1.2%	6.1%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
o setss	18	11.1%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	34	21.3%	100.0%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.00	0.030	0.008
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	1.3%	6.6%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	18	11.3%	100.0%	5.6%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.85	0.030	0.006
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	5	5.6%	12.0%	60.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	42	47.2%	68.3%	40.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.20	0.030	0.009
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	26	29.2%	73.9%	42.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.63	0.030	0.012
SC/ICT/SETSS	30	33.7%	85.4%	36.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.18	0.030	0.009
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	6	6.7%	13.8%	33.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	49	55.1%	75.7%	49.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.60	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	28	31.5%	82.0%	64.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.43	0.030	0.026
• SC/ICT/SETSS	29	32.6%	83.3%	51.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.02	0.030	0.023
ELL Progress	19	5.6%	14.2%	63.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.11
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	2.92

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
11X160
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.16	34%
Castion Dating, Masting Target	Section Score:	2.00	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	90%	3.64	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	93%	3.88	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	82.2%	4.12	
HS			
Overall	82.2%	4.12	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.13	1.93	
HS			
Overall	0.13	1.93	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.64	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	2.84	100%
The school survey strong running community ries	0370	2.04	100/0
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.04	100%
,		-	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	93	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.51	3.04
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	92	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.51	3.04
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		91%					3.16
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.85	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	97				0.80	4.20
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.81	4.24
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	92	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.83	4.32
School commitment	Teachers	82	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20
Innovation	Teachers	84	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.48	2.92
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.63	3.52
Focus on student learning	Teachers	89	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.66	3.64
Collective responsibility	Teachers	80	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.40	2.60
Section Results:		90%					3.64
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.93	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	99				0.93	4.72
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	83	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.48	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	83				0.48	2.92
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.95	4.80
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	88	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.53	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.53	3.12
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.87	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students	<i>c</i> -	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.55	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	95				0.69	3.76
Section Results:		93%					3.88

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Teacher influence	Teachers	46	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.26	2.04
Program coherence	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.77	4.08
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		82%					3.64
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.90	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.70	3.80
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	69	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.22	1.88
Section Results:		83%					2.84
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	92	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.82	4.28
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:		94%					4.04

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.31	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.52	2.53 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.86	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	17.9%	20.2% or lower	20.3% to 25.7%	25.8% to 29.9%	30.0% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.25	2.45 or lower	2.46 to 2.61	2.62 to 2.72	2.73 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.87	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.14	2.15 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	12.5%	26.0% or lower	26.1% to 33.3%	33.4% to 38.8%	38.9% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.4%	84.7% or lower	84.8% to 88.6%	88.7% to 91.5%	91.6% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.75	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.92	1.93 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
SETSS	1.96	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
ELL	2.10	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.88	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.09	2.10 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.47	2.48 or higher		
SETSS	2.02	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher		
ELL	2.12	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.58	2.59 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
		39.4% or lower	39.5% to 49.4%	49.5% to 56.9%	57.0% or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	82.2%	73.3% or lower	73.4% to 80.1%	80.2% to 85.2%	85.3% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.13	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.26	0.27 to 0.34	0.35 or higher		