
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolgualityreports.nyc

I.S. 392

2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	107	65	101
Grade 7	104	107	62
Grade 8	76	94	106
All students	287	266	269

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	0%	0%	0%
% Free Lunch Eligible	63%	63%	61%
% Student with IEPs	4%	5%	7%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	4%	4%
% HRA Eligible	-	41%	41%
% Temporary Housing	-	3%	5%
% Asian	5%	4%	4%
% Black	80%	80%	78%
% Hispanic	14%	15%	16%
% White	0%	0%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	1%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	3.31	3.07	3.07
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	3.74	3.27	3.15

23K392 I.S. 392

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.03

				2	.014-15 Targets		_		
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	267	3.05	2.36	2.70	2.87	3.07	3.27	3.90	9.80%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	267	54.7%	18.8%	30.6%	39.6%	49.7%	61.6%	4.42	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	261	59.0	49.9	55.4	63.4	68.8	78.3	2.45	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	95	70.0	59.1	64.6	72.6	78.0	87.4	2.68	9.80%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	267	2.94	2.30	2.71	2.95	3.23	3.52	2.96	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	267	45.3%	17.6%	31.8%	43.2%	55.9%	72.2%	3.17	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	261	52.0	42.8	50.5	61.7	69.1	82.3	2.13	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	92	59.0	54.3	60.6	69.8	76.0	86.9	1.75	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	265	92.5%	80.1%	87.5%	91.2%	95.3%	100.0%	3.32	1.96%
Math	265	92.5%	79.6%	87.0%	90.8%	95.1%	100.0%	3.40	1.96%
 Science 	265	87.9%	81.7%	88.7%	92.0%	95.7%	100.0%	1.89	1.96%
Social Studies	265	89.4%	78.7%	86.6%	90.5%	95.0%	100.0%	2.72	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	104	35.6%	0.0%	21.4%	37.2%	55.0%	79.7%	2.90	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	89	96.0%	80.0%	88.0%	92.0%	96.0%	100.0%	4.00	9.80%
								Weighted Average Score	3.03

						014-15 Targets		_			
n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
12	4.5%	23.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%		0.030	0.000
3	1.1%	6.0%		0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%		0.030	0.000
3	1.1%	11.8%		0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%		0.030	0.000
12	4.5%	23.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%		0.030	0.000
3	1.1%	6.1%		0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
3	1.1%	12.0%		0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%		0.030	0.000
2	0.8%	1.8%		17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%		0.030	0.000
19	7.3%	4.7%	63.2%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%		0.030	0.000
14	5.4%	12.1%	57.1%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%		0.030	0.000
17	6.5%	3.3%	47.1%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%		0.030	0.000
2	0.8%	1.6%		12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
26	10.0%	11.7%	42.3%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%		0.030	0.000
15	5.7%	12.8%	26.7%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%		0.030	0.000
17	6.5%	4.0%	58.8%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%		0.030	0.000
		0.0%		11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
									CtAG Addit	ional Points	0.00
	12 3 3 3 12 3 3 3 2 19 14 17	n Population % 12 4.5% 3 1.1% 3 1.1% 12 4.5% 3 1.1% 12 4.5% 3 1.1% 2 0.8% 19 7.3% 14 5.4% 17 6.5% 2 0.8% 26 10.0% 15 5.7%	n Population % of Range 12 4.5% 23.3% 3 1.1% 6.0% 3 1.1% 11.8% 12 4.5% 23.8% 3 1.1% 6.1% 3 1.1% 12.0% 2 0.8% 1.8% 19 7.3% 4.7% 14 5.4% 12.1% 17 6.5% 3.3% 2 0.8% 1.6% 26 10.0% 11.7% 15 5.7% 12.8% 17 6.5% 4.0%	n Population % of Range School Value 12 4.5% 23.3% 0.0% 3 1.1% 6.0% 3 1.1% 11.8% 12 4.5% 23.8% 0.0% 3 1.1% 6.1% 3 1.1% 12.0% 2 0.8% 1.8% 19 7.3% 4.7% 63.2% 14 5.4% 12.1% 57.1% 17 6.5% 3.3% 47.1% 2 0.8% 1.6% 26 10.0% 11.7% 42.3% 15 5.7% 12.8% 26.7% 17 6.5% 4.0% 58.8%	n Population % of Range School Value Target Range 12 4.5% 23.3% 0.0% 0.0% 3 1.1% 6.0% 0.0% 3 1.1% 11.8% 0.0% 12 4.5% 23.8% 0.0% 0.0% 3 1.1% 6.1% 0.0% 3 1.1% 12.0% 0.0% 2 0.8% 1.8% 17.2% 19 7.3% 4.7% 63.2% 31.5% 14 5.4% 12.1% 57.1% 29.6% 17 6.5% 3.3% 47.1% 35.0% 2 0.8% 1.6% 12.4% 26 10.0% 11.7% 42.3% 24.4% 15 5.7% 12.8% 26.7% 24.1% 15 5.7% 12.8% 26.7% 24.1% 17 6.5% 4.0% 58.8% 25.8%	n Population % of Range School Value Target Range Target 12 4.5% 23.3% 0.0% 0.0% 0.4% 3 1.1% 6.0% 0.0% 1.6% 3 1.1% 11.8% 0.0% 0.7% 3 1.1% 6.1% 0.0% 2.4% 3 1.1% 12.0% 0.0% 3.3% 2 0.8% 1.8% 17.2% 27.0% 19 7.3% 4.7% 63.2% 31.5% 39.4% 14 5.4% 12.1% 57.1% 29.6% 38.0% 17 6.5% 3.3% 47.1% 35.0% 42.9% 2 0.8% 1.6% 12.4% 22.8% 26 10.0% 11.7% 42.3% 24.4% 34.1% 15 5.7% 12.8% 26.7% 24.1% 34.0% 17 6.5% 4.0% 58.8% 25.8% 34.5%	n Population % of Range School Value Target Range Target Target 12 4.5% 23.3% 0.0% 0.0% 0.4% 0.8% 3 1.1% 6.0% 0.0% 1.6% 3.2% 3 1.1% 11.8% 0.0% 0.0% 2.6% 5.2% 12 4.5% 23.8% 0.0% 0.0% 0.7% 1.4% 3 1.1% 6.1% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 3 1.1% 12.0% 0.0% 3.3% 6.6% 2 0.8% 1.8% 17.2% 27.0% 36.6% 19 7.3% 4.7% 63.2% 31.5% 39.4% 47.2% 14 5.4% 12.1% 57.1% 29.6% 38.0% 46.2% 17 6.5% 3.3% 47.1% 35.0% 42.9% 50.8% 2 0.8% 1.6% 12.4% 22.8% 33.0% 26 10.0%	n Population % of Range School Value Target Range Target Target Target 12 4.5% 23.3% 0.0% 0.0% 0.4% 0.8% 1.3% 3 1.1% 6.0% 0.0% 1.6% 3.2% 5.1% 3 1.1% 11.8% 0.0% 0.0% 2.6% 5.2% 8.2% 12 4.5% 23.8% 0.0% 0.0% 0.7% 1.4% 2.3% 3 1.1% 6.1% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 7.6% 3 1.1% 12.0% 0.0% 3.3% 6.6% 10.4% 2 0.8% 1.8% 17.2% 27.0% 36.6% 47.8% 19 7.3% 4.7% 63.2% 31.5% 39.4% 47.2% 56.3% 14 5.4% 12.1% 57.1% 29.6% 38.0% 46.2% 55.9% 17 6.5% 3.3% 47.1% 35.0% 42.9%	n Population % of Range School Value Target Range Target Target Target	n Population% of Range School Value Target Range Target Target Target Range Metric Score 12 4.5% 23.3% 0.0% 0.0% 1.6% 3.2% 5.1% 8.4% 3 1.1% 6.0% 0.0% 1.6% 3.2% 5.1% 8.4% 3 1.1% 11.8% 0.0% 0.0% 5.2% 8.2% 13.6% 12 4.5% 23.8% 0.0% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 7.6% 12.6% 3 1.1% 6.1% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 7.6% 12.6% 3 1.1% 12.0% 0.0% 3.3% 6.6% 10.4% 17.2% 2 0.8% 1.8% 17.2% 27.0% 36.6% 47.8% 68.0% 19 7.3% 4.7% 63.2% 31.5% 39.4% 47.2% 56.3% 72.7% 14 5.4% 12.1% 57.1% 29.6% 38.0% 46.	n Population % of Range School Value Target Range Target Target Target Range Metric Score Possible 12 4.5% 23.3% 0.0% 0.0% 1.6% 3.2% 5.1% 8.4% 0.030 3 1.1% 6.0% 0.0% 2.6% 5.2% 8.2% 13.6% 0.030 12 4.5% 23.8% 0.0% 0.0% 0.7% 1.4% 2.3% 3.8% 0.030 3 1.1% 6.1% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 7.6% 12.6% 0.030 3 1.1% 12.0% 0.0% 2.4% 4.8% 7.6% 12.6% 0.030 3 1.1% 12.0% 0.0% 3.3% 6.6% 10.4% 17.2% 0.030 2 0.8% 1.8% 17.2% 27.0% 36.6% 47.8% 68.0% 0.030 19 7.3% 4.7% 63.2% 31.5% 39.4% 47.2% 56.3%

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

23K392

	_	_	_
١ς	- 3	q	7

Metric Score	Weight Pct		
3.40	22%		
3.40	22%		
3.40	22%		
4.52	34%		
ore: 3.80			
3.40	50%		
4.68	50%		
ore: 4.04			
4.99	30%		
3.32	35%		
2.88			
2.88	30%		
2.53			
2.53	5%		
ore: 3.64			
4.36	100%		
ore: 4.36			
2.88	100%		
ore: 2.88			
3 84	100%		
J.UT	10070		
ore: 3.84			
С	3.84 core: 3.84		

I.S. 392

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
ligorous Instruction		•	· ·	, 0		•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	79.4	91.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	68.9	87.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students	93	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.67	3.68
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	94	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.86	4.44
Section Results:		97%					4.52
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	84.5	94.1	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	91	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	86	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.55	
Cultural awareness	Combined	92				0.68	3.72
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.91	4.64
Quality of professional development	Teachers	94	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.87	4.48
School commitment	Teachers	100	59.7	84.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Innovation	Teachers	99	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.97	4.88
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	86.6	95.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.94	4.76
Focus on student learning	Teachers	100	68.4	88.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
Collective responsibility	Teachers	98	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.94	4.76
Section Results:		98%					4.68
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	78	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.34	
Safety	Combined	78				0.34	2.36
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	79	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.48	
Classroom behavior	Combined	79				0.48	2.92
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	84.7	95.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students	78	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.38	2.52
Next-level guidance	Students	94	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.74	3.96
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	92	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.72	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	92				0.72	3.88
Personal attention and support	Students	88	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.61	3.44
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	85	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.33	
Peer support for academic work	Students	64	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.43	_
Peer support for academic work	Combined	74				0.38	2.52
Section Results:		85%					3.32

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

I.S. 392

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.58	3.32
Teacher influence	Teachers	84	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.76	4.04
Program coherence	Teachers	100	60.8	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	100	67.2	88.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		94%					4.36
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	79.9	92.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	87	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.30	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.65	3.60
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	58	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.28	2.12
Section Results:		76%					2.88
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	83	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.55	3.20
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	100	63.2	87.4	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	100	74.2	90.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		94%					3.84

23K392

I.S. 392

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.05	2.87 or lower	2.88 to 2.95	2.96 to 3.01	3.02 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.57	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.52	2.53 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	54.7%	45.7% or lower	45.8% to 49.4%	49.5% to 52.4%	52.5% or highe		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.94	2.92 or lower	2.93 to 3.05	3.06 to 3.15	3.16 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.31	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.60	2.61 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	45.3%	45.8% or lower	45.9% to 51.6%	51.7% to 56.3%	56.4% or highe		
Core Course Pass Rates							
ELA	92.5%	83.0% or lower	83.1% to 87.0%	87.1% to 90.2%	90.3% or highe		
Math	92.5%	85.0% or lower	85.1% to 88.5%	88.6% to 91.4%	91.5% or highe		
Science	87.9%	85.6% or lower	85.7% to 89.0%	89.1% to 91.7%	91.8% or highe		
Social Studies	89.4%	81.7% or lower	81.8% to 86.0%	86.1% to 89.5%	89.6% or highe		
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	35.6%	36.0% or lower	36.1% to 48.1%	48.2% to 58.0%	58.1% or highe		
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	96.0%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.9%	89.0% to 91.9%	92.0% or highe		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.91	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.94	1.95 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.16	2.17 or higher		
SETSS		2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.25	2.26 or higher		
ELL		2.60 or lower	2.61 to 2.71	2.72 to 2.81	2.82 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.08	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.95	1.96 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.99	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.87	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 or higher		
SETSS		2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher		
ELL		2.89 or lower	2.90 to 3.05	3.06 to 3.18	3.19 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress							

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	88.2%	79.1% or lower	79.2% to 84.0%	84.1% to 87.9%	88.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.26	0.29 or lower	0.30 to 0.45	0.46 to 0.58	0.59 or higher			

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.