
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

03M087

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	150	145	137
Grade 1	142	149	151
Grade 2	139	131	139
Grade 3	194	139	128
Grade 4	150	192	136
Grade 5	156	126	166
All students	949	900	875

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	4%	4%
% Free Lunch Eligible	8%	8%	7%
% Student with IEPs	18%	18%	18%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	5%	4%
% Temporary Housing	-	0%	1%
% Asian	7%	7%	7%
% Black	6%	5%	5%
% Hispanic	15%	15%	15%
% White	68%	67%	65%
% Other	0%	6%	8%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

03M087 P.S. 087 William Sherman

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.31

				2	.014-15 Target	s			
Church and Ashious was not Maduica		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	407	3.38	2.51	2.83	3.01	3.22	3.45	4.70	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	407	73.2%	28.7%	43.5%	53.2%	64.2%	77.0%	4.70	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	270	72.5	51.0	59.1	66.2	71.1	82.9	4.12	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	95	77.0	55.7	64.3	71.8	77.0	89.5	4.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	124	2.93	1.24	1.88	2.37	2.71	3.53	4.27	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	404	3.59	2.62	3.03	3.24	3.49	3.77	4.36	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	404	78.2%	34.4%	51.6%	61.7%	73.1%	85.9%	4.40	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	268	69.5	45.0	55.2	63.8	69.8	84.1	3.95	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	98	72.5	51.1	60.8	69.2	75.1	89.1	3.56	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	125	3.05	1.12	1.98	2.61	3.05	4.20	4.00	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	109	96.6%	86.5%	91.8%	94.1%	96.7%	100.0%	3.96	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	4.18

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Point
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		·					-	-				
Self-Contained	1	0.2%	1.0%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	64	15.7%	79.7%	45.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	11	2.7%	25.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.2%	1.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	60	14.9%	76.0%	51.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	11	2.7%	26.0%	45.5%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL ELL	8	3.0%	6.4%	37.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	10	3.7%	3.1%	70.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	1.1%	2.8%	70.076	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	53	19.6%	45.0%	54.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.00	0.030	0.023
		23.070	131070	• / .	22.070	3 1.370	111070	3 11770	771175		0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	10	3.7%	7.6%	20.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	12	4.5%	6.2%	58.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	0.7%	1.8%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	49	18.3%	42.1%	44.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.41	0.030	0.018
ELL Progress	30	3.5%	8.9%	80.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.13
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	4.31

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

03M087

P.S. 087 William Sherman

Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 80% 2.52 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96 Section Rating: Approaching Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 97% 4.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.3% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 94.3% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 DOVER Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 4.28		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2 Proficient 3.40 22% Quality Review 2.2 Developing 2.00 22% MYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 92% 3.76 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Ilaborative Teachers Proficient 3.40 50% Cushity Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 80% 2.52 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96 Spontage of Students with 90%+ 31 and 30% 3.40 30% AVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 97% 3.40 35% Percentage of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 94.3% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive 94.3% 3.92 3.40 30% EMS 0.29 3.40 5% 30% 30% 30% BEAS 0.29 3.40 5% <th< td=""><td></td><td>Proficient</td><td>3.40</td><td>22%</td></th<>		Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 92% 3.76 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Ilaborative Teachers	·	Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.20 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Comparison of State of Section Score: 3.40 50%	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	92%	3.76	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 80% 2.52 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96 Section Rating: Machine Section Score: 2.96 Section Score: 2.96 Section Score: 2.96 Section Score: 3.40 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 80% 2.52 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.40 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30				
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 80% 2.52 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 97% 4.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.3% 3.92 HS Overall 94.3% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 5% HS Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Popor Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 93% 4.28 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Score: 4.28	laborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.96 Section Score: 3.40 Section Survey - Supportive Environment 97% 4.36 Section Survey - Supportive Environment 97% 4.36 Section Survey - Supportive Environment 97% 4.36 Section Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28	Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 97% 4.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.3% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 5% Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 93% 4.28 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	80%	2.52	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 97% 4.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.3% 3.92	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 97% 4.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 94.3% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 5% Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	nortive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 97% 4.36 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 94.3% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 69% 1.96 100% Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%		Proficient	3.40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 94.3% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.29 3.40 HS Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	·			
EMS HS Overall 94.3% 3.92 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.29 3.40 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%		57,70	50	3370
Overall 94.3% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 HS Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	_	94.3%	3.92	
Overall 94.3% 3.92 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.29 3.40 HS Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 93% 4.28 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%				
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Section Score: 1.90 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%		94.3%	3.92	30%
environments EMS HS Overall 0.29 3.40 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%			-	20.5
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 1.96 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5%	EMS	0.29	3.40	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.88 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%				
Active School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	Overall	0.29	3.40	5%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 69% 1.96 100% Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 93% 4.28 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%				
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Section Score: 1.96 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 93% 4.28 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	•	69%	1 96	100%
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 93% 4.28 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	The sensor survey Effective sensor Leadership	0570	1.50	10070
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 93% 4.28 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 St NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.96	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 93% 4.28 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	ong Family Community Ties			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.28 Ist NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%		020/	4.20	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	93%	4.28	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
NYC School Survey - Trust 84% 2.60 100%				
		0.40/	2.00	4000/
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.60	NYC School Survey - Trust	84%	2.60	100%
	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	



P.S. 087 William Sherman

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigoro	ous Instruction			•			•	
 Co 	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
• Co	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	98	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.85	4.40
Co	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	uality of student discussion	Teachers	83	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.46	2.84
	Results:		92%					3.76
`allah	orative Teachers							
	iltural awareness:							
Cu	Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.90	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	98	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.81	
	Cultural awareness	Students	90	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.01	
_			98	08.0	87.4	100.0	0.85	4.40
• Inc	Cultural awareness clusive classroom instruction	Combined Teachers		012	04.6	100.0		4.40
			100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
	uality of professional development	Teachers Teachers	45	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
	hool commitment		88	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.70	3.80
	novation	Teachers	70	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
	flective dialogue	Teachers	88	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.25	2.00
	er collaboration	Teachers	78	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.03	1.12
	cus on student learning	Teachers	86	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.56	3.24
	ollective responsibility n Results:	Teachers	68 80%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.07	1.28 2.52
	rtive Environment fety:							
	Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Cla	assroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	98	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.94	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
•	Classroom behavior	Combined	98				0.94	4.76
• So	cial-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Pe	er interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Ne	ext-level guidance	Students						
Pre	ess toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	92	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.68	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	92				0.68	3.72
Pe	rsonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Pe	er support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.87	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.83	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
•	Peer support for academic work	Combined	98				0.85	4.40
			97%					4.36

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	83	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.16	1.64
Teacher influence	Teachers	74	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.70	3.80
 Program coherence 	Teachers	55	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	65	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.09	1.36
Section Results:		69%					1.96
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	93	62.4	76.6	90.8	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		93%					4.28
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	80	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	76	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.45	2.80
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	84	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.38	2.52
Section Results:		84%					2.60

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.38	3.13 or lower	3.14 to 3.23	3.24 to 3.31	3.32 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.94	2.62 or lower	2.63 to 2.74	2.75 to 2.83	2.84 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	73.2%	59.8% or lower	59.9% to 65.3%	65.4% to 69.4%	69.5% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.59	3.36 or lower	3.37 to 3.51	3.52 to 3.63	3.64 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	3.10	2.73 or lower	2.74 to 2.90	2.91 to 3.02	3.03 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	78.2%	69.4% or lower	69.5% to 76.7%	76.8% to 82.2%	82.3% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	96.6%	89.5% or lower	89.6% to 92.1%	92.2% to 94.1%	94.2% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.93	2.52 or lower	2.53 to 2.63	2.64 to 2.71	2.72 or higher			
SETSS	2.27	2.58 or lower	2.59 to 2.70	2.71 to 2.80	2.81 or higher			
ELL	2.57	2.60 or lower	2.61 to 2.74	2.75 to 2.85	2.86 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.32	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	3.13	2.82 or lower	2.83 to 3.00	3.01 to 3.13	3.14 or higher			
SETSS	2.81	2.78 or lower	2.79 to 2.95	2.96 to 3.09	3.10 or higher			
ELL	2.72	2.97 or lower	2.98 to 3.16	3.17 to 3.31	3.32 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.51	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.23	2.24 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
ELL Progress	80.0%	63.6% or lower	63.7% to 72.5%	72.6% to 79.3%	79.4% or highe			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	94.3%	82.3% or lower	82.4% to 86.8%	86.9% to 90.2%	90.3% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.29	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.15	0.16 to 0.19	0.20 or higher			