
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

P.S. 185 Walter Kassenbrock

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	119	104	129
Grade 1	120	119	103
Grade 2	103	112	122
Grade 3	141	111	114
Grade 4	138	138	114
Grade 5	143	139	134
All students	764	723	716

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	7%	7%
% Free Lunch Eligible	37%	37%	40%
% Student with IEPs	13%	13%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	1%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	29%	27%
% Temporary Housing	-	1%	1%
% Asian	8%	8%	8%
% Black	0%	0%	0%
% Hispanic	14%	15%	15%
% White	78%	76%	75%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

20K185 P.S. 185 Walter Kassenbrock

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.94

				2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	353	2.90	2.49	2.81	2.98	3.17	3.39	2.53	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	353	46.7%	27.5%	42.0%	51.1%	61.5%	73.3%	2.52	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	228	66.0	51.0	59.0	66.0	70.9	82.4	3.00	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	81	74.0	53.9	63.1	71.1	76.6	89.8	3.53	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	107	2.26	1.33	1.97	2.46	2.80	3.60	2.59	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	352	3.11	2.61	3.01	3.24	3.49	3.78	2.43	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	352	56.8%	33.4%	50.7%	60.9%	72.6%	85.7%	2.60	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	227	63.0	45.8	56.0	64.6	70.7	84.9	2.81	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	78	63.0	51.3	60.6	68.7	74.4	87.9	2.30	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	108	2.28	1.33	2.18	2.74	3.14	4.20	2.18	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	122	97.0%	86.1%	91.4%	93.8%	96.6%	100.0%	4.12	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.78

					2014-15 Targets								
	Clasing the Ashievement Con (CtAC) Matrice		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
	ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
	Self-Contained	3	0.8%	3.9%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
•	Integrated Co-Teaching	26	7.4%	37.6%	11.5%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.34	0.030	0.025
	SETSS	17	4.8%	45.7%	5.9%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	2.89	0.030	0.014
	Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
	Self-Contained	3	0.9%	4.5%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
•	Integrated Co-Teaching	26	7.4%	37.8%	19.2%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.06	0.030	0.023
0	SETSS	17	4.8%	46.2%	5.9%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.89	0.030	0.007
	ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
•		31	13.6%	29.1%	54.8%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.36	0.030	0.025
•	Lowest Third Citywide	42	18.4%	25.1%	59.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.22	0.030	0.024
	Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	10	4.4%	11.1%	50.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
	SC/ICT/SETSS	30	13.2%	26.6%	50.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.53	0.030	0.019
	Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
	ELL	32	14.1%	29.0%	28.1%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.52	0.030	0.011
	Lowest Third Citywide	39	17.2%	23.6%	38.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
	Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	4	1.8%	4.7%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
	SC/ICT/SETSS	30	13.2%	27.4%	36.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.66	0.030	0.012
	ELL Progress	47	6.6%	16.8%	59.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
											CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.16
										Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	2.94

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 185 Walter Kassenbrock

20K185

Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 98% 4.68 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.09 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Proficient 3.40 22% Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 22% NVC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 93% 3.64 34% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NVC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 98% 4.68 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Opportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 98% 4.52 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.2% 3.16 30% Moverall 90.2% 3.16 30% Moverall 90.30 3.50 5% Moverall Students with disabilities to less restrictive environments 4.20 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed 4.99 2.2%	Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: Se	Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20	Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 98% 4.68 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Score: 4.05 Section Score: 4.05 Section Score: 4.05 Section Score: 4.06 Section Score: 4.07 Section Score: 4.08 Sec	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.64	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 98% 4.68 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.09 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.09 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 98% 4.68 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.09 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.09 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	llaborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.09 3.0% A.52 3.58 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.2% 3.16 3.0% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.30 3.50 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Score: 3.24 Section Score: 3.24		Proficient	3.40	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 98% 4.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.2% 3.16 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.30 3.50 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	·			
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 98% 4.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.2% 3.16 HS Overall 90.2% 3.16 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.30 3.50 5% Overall 0.30	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 98% 4.52 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 90.2% 3.16	 nnortive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 98% 4.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 90.2% 3.16 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.30 3.50 EMS Overall 0.30 3.50 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	1 -	Well Developed	<i>1</i> 99	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 90.2% 3.16 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.30 3.50 HS Overall 0.30 3.50 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Oong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%	·	·		
EMS HS Overall 90.2% 3.16 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.30 3.50 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%		3670	4.32	3370
Overall 90.2% 3.16 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.30 3.50 HS Overall 0.30 3.50 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24		90.2%	3 16	
Overall 90.2% 3.16 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.30 3.50 HS Overall 0.30 3.50 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%		30.270	3.10	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall O.30 3.50 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: A.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: A.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: A.32 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: A.32 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: A.32 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score: A.34 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: A.38 Section Score: A.39 A.09		90.2%	3 16	30%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%		30.270	3.10	3070
EMS HS Overall 0.30 3.50 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%		0.30	3 50	
Overall 0.30 3.50 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 FONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%		0.30	3.30	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%		0.30	3.50	5%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 92% 4.32 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%				
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%		92%	4 32	100%
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%		3270	1.32	100/0
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 86% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 UST NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.24	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
NYC School Survey - Trust 97% 4.08 100%				
		070/	4.00	1000/
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.08	INTO SCHOOL SULVEY - TRUST	9/70	4.00	100%
	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.08	



P.S. 185 Walter Kassenbrock

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction		,	· ·	, ,	, ,	· ·	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	92	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.79	4.16
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	90	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.69	3.76
ection Results:		93%					3.64
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	97				0.75	4.00
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.97	4.88
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	89	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.77	4.08
 School commitment 	Teachers	100	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.99	4.96
Innovation	Teachers	99	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.96	4.84
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.92	4.68
Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.98	4.92
Focus on student learning	Teachers	100	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.99	4.96
Collective responsibility	Teachers	99	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.97	4.88
ection Results:		222/					4.60
ection results.		98%					4.68
upportive Environment Safety:							4.68
upportive Environment Safety: Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.68
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety	Students	100	80.0 74.5	94.6 88.5	100.0 100.0		
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Safety						1.00	4.68
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior:	Students	100					
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Safety	Students	100					
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior:	Students Combined	100 100	74.5	88.5	100.0	1.00	
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers	100 100	74.5 66.9	88.5 85.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students	100 100 97	74.5 66.9	88.5 85.5	100.0	1.00 0.90	4.99 4.60
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined	100 100 97 97	74.5 66.9 67.3	88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.90 0.90	4.99 4.60
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	100 100 97 97	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.90 0.90	4.99 4.60
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	100 100 97 97	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.90 0.90	
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	100 100 97 97	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.90 0.90	4.99 4.60
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students	100 100 97 97 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.90 0.90 1.00	4.99 4.60
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers	100 100 97 97 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.90 0.90 1.00	4.99 4.60 4.99
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students	100 100 97 97 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.90 0.90 1.00	4.99 4.60 4.99
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	100 100 97 97 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.90 0.90 1.00	4.99 4.60 4.99
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work:	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	100 100 97 97 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.90 0.90 1.00	4.99 4.60
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students	100 100 97 97 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.90 0.90 1.00	4.99 4.60 4.99
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	100 100 97 97 100 95 95	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.90 0.90 1.00	4.99 4.60 4.99
upportive Environment Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Combined Students Combined Students Teachers	100 100 97 97 100 95 95	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.90 0.90 1.00	4.99 4.60 4.99

P.S. 185 Walter Kassenbrock

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.63	3.52
Teacher influence	Teachers	82	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.83	4.32
 Program coherence 	Teachers	95	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	99	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.97	4.88
Section Results:		92%					4.32
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.87	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	88	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.56	3.24
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	78	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.55	3.20
Section Results:		86%					3.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.65	3.60
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	99	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.98	4.92
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.96	4.84
Section Results:		97%					4.08

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
State Test Results - ELA*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.90	2.83 or lower	2.84 to 2.93	2.94 to 3.01	3.02 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.23	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.40	2.41 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	46.7%	44.0% or lower	44.1% to 49.5%	49.6% to 53.6%	53.7% or higher				
State Test Results - Math*									
Average Student Proficiency	3.11	2.94 or lower	2.95 to 3.10	3.11 to 3.21	3.22 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.28	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.55	2.56 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	56.8%	49.5% or lower	49.6% to 56.7%	56.8% to 62.2%	62.3% or higher				
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	97.0%	87.8% or lower	87.9% to 90.9%	91.0% to 93.2%	93.3% or higher				
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained		1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.10	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.31	2.32 or higher				
SETSS	2.08	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.33	2.34 or higher				
ELL	2.38	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.50	2.51 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher				
Math - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained		1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.34	2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.51	2.52 to 2.64	2.65 or higher				
SETSS	2.14	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.58	2.59 or higher				
ELL	2.61	2.53 or lower	2.54 to 2.72	2.73 to 2.87	2.88 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.11	2.12 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.28	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher				
ELL Progress	59.6%	55.9% or lower	56.0% to 65.9%	66.0% to 73.4%	73.5% or higher				

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	90.2%	80.6% or lower	80.7% to 85.6%	85.7% to 89.3%	89.4% or higher				
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.30	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher				