CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

F

November 18, 2020 / Calendar No. 8

N 180178 ZRK¹ CORRECTED

IN THE MATTER OF an application submitted by Michael Spinard, pursuant to Section 201 of the New York City Charter, for an amendment of the Zoning Resolution of the City of New York, modifying Appendix F for the purpose of establishing a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area, Borough of Brooklyn, Community District 2.

The applicant, Michael Spinard, filed this application (N 180178 ZRK) for a zoning text amendment to modify Appendix F (Inclusionary Housing Designated areas and Mandatory Inclusionary Housing areas), to establish a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing (MIH) area on the property located at 265 Front Street (Block 43, Lot 1 and p/o Lot 41). This application, in conjunction with the related action (C 150178 ZMK), would facilitate the construction of a nine-dwelling unit four-story building with 11,932 square feet of residential floor area, and 4,995 square feet of ground floor commercial space at 265 Front Street (Block 43, lot 1 and p/o Lot 41), in Vinegar Hill neighborhood of Brooklyn, Community District 2.

RELATED ACTION

In addition to the zoning text amendment (N 180178 ZRK) that is the subject of this report, the proposed project also requires action by the City Planning Commission on the following application, which is being considered concurrently with this application:

C 150178 ZMK

Zoning map amendment to change an M1-2 District to an R6A/C2-4 District.

BACKGROUND

_

¹ ULURP number was corrected throughout the report to reflect accurate prefix

A full background discussion and description of this application appears in the report for the related zoning map amendment application (C 150178 ZMK).

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

This application (N 180178 ZRK), in conjunction with the application for the related action (C 150178 ZMK), was reviewed pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) and the SEQRA regulations set forth in Volume 6 of the New York Code of Rules and Regulations, Section 617.00 et seq. and the City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) Rules of Procedure of 1991 and Executive Order No. 91 of 1977. The lead is the City Planning Commission. The designated CEQR number is 15DCP207K.

PUBLIC REVIEW

This application (N 180178 ZRK) was duly referred to Brooklyn Community Board 2 and the Brooklyn Borough President in accordance with the procedures for non-ULURP matters, along with the application for the related action, (C 150178 ZMK), which was certified as complete by the Department of City Planning (DCP) on January 21, 2020, and was duly referred to Brooklyn Community Board 2 and the Brooklyn Borough President in accordance with Title 62 of the rules of the City of New York, Section 2-02(b).

Community Board Review

On February 19, 2020, Brooklyn Community Board 2 held a hearing on this application (N 180178 ZRK) and the application for the related action (C 150178 ZMK). On March 11, 2020, the Community Board 2 voted to disapprove the application by a vote of 22 in favor, two opposed, and three abstention.

The Community Board 2 did not submit a written recommendation explaining their reasons to oppose the related zoning map amendment action (C 150178 ZMK).

Borough President Recommendation

Page 2 N 180178 ZRK This application (N 180178 ZRK), in conjunction with the related action (C 150178 ZMK), was considered by the Brooklyn Borough President, who issued a recommendation disapproving the application on September 28, 2020

A summary of the recommendations of the Borough President appears in the report for the related zoning map amendment action (C 150178 ZMK).

City Planning Commission Public Hearing

On September 14, 2020 (Calendar No. 4 and 5), the City Planning Commission scheduled October 7, 2020 for a public hearing on this application (N 180178 ZRK), in conjunction with the application for the related application (C 150178 ZMK). The hearing was duly held on October 7, 2020 (Calendar No. 11 & 12).

In addition to the applicant's team in support of the application there were three speakers in opposition of the application, as described in the report for the related action (C 150178 ZMK).

There were no other speakers, and the hearing was closed.

CONSIDERATION

The Commission believes that this application for a zoning text amendment (N 180178 ZRK), in conjunction with the related application, as revised, for a zoning map amendment (C 150178 ZMK), is appropriate.

A full consideration and analysis of the issues and the reasons for approving this application appear in the related report for the zoning map amendment (C 150178 ZMK).

Page 3 N 180178 ZRK

RESOLUTION

RESOLVED, that the City Planning Commission finds that the action described herein will have no significant impact on the environment; and be it further

RESOLVED, by the City Planning Commission, pursuant to Section 200 of the New York City Charter, that based on the environmental determination, and the consideration described in this report, the Zoning Resolution of the City of New York, effective as of December 15, 1961, and as subsequently modified, is further amended as follows:

265 Front Street Community District 2, Brooklyn

10/23/19 Zoning Map 12d

Matter in <u>underline</u> is new, to be added;

Matter in strikeout is to be deleted;

Matter within # # is defined in Section 12-10;

* * * indicates where unchanged text appears in the Zoning Resolution

* * *

APPENDIX F

Inclusionary Housing Designated Areas and Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Areas

* * *

BROOKLYN

* * *

Brooklyn Community District 2

* * *

Map 10 - [date of adoption]



Portion of Community District 2, Brooklyn

* * *

* * *

The above resolution (N 180178 ZRK) duly adopted by the City Planning Commission on November 18, 2020 (Calendar No. 8) is filed with the Office of the Speaker, City Council, and the Borough President in accordance with the requirements of Section 197-d of the New York City Charter.

Page 5 N 180178 ZRK CORRECTED

MARISA LAGO, Chair

KENNETH J. KNUCKLES, Esq., Vice-Chairman

DAVID J. BURNEY, ALLEN P. CAPPELLI, Esq., ALFRED C. CERULLO, III,

JOSEPH I. DOUEK, RICHARD W. EADDY, HOPE KNIGHT, ANNA HAYES LEVIN,

ORLANDO MARIN, LARISA ORTIZ, RAJ RAMPERSHAD, Commissioners

MICHELLE R. de la UZ, Abstaining

Page 6 N 180178 ZRK CORRECTED



COMMUNITY/BOROUGH BOARD RECOMMENDATION

Project Name: 2	265 Front Street				
Applicant:	MICHAEL SPINARD		Applicant's Primary Contact:	MICHAEL SPINARD	
Application #	I150178ZMK		Borough:		
CEQR Number: 15DCP207K			Community Districts:	BK02	
	4				
Docket Descrip	otion:				
Please use the abo	ove application number on	all correspondent	ce concerning this application		
RECOMMEND	ATION: Disapproved				
# In Favor: 22	# Against:	2	# Abstaining: 3	Total members appointed to the board: 46	
Date of Vote: 3/11/2020 12:00 AM			Vote Location : BAM Fisher, Hillman Studio, 321 Ashland Place, Brooklyn NY		
Please attach any	further explanation of the	recommendation o	on additional sheets as neces	sary	
Date of Public	Hearing: 2/19/2020 11	:00 PM			
Was a quorum				uorum of 20% of the appointed members ewer than seven such members	
Public Hearing Location:			NYU Tandon School, Dibner Building, Room LC400, 5 Metrotech Center, Brooklyn		
CONSIDERATION	ON:				
Pecommendation	on submitted by	BK CB2	T	Date: 3/18/2020 5:35 PM	
Recommendation submitted by BK CB2				Date. 3/ 10/2020 3.33 F IVI	

Brooklyn Borough President Recommendation

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
120 Broadway, 31st Floor, New York, NY 10271
CalendarOffice@planning.nyc.gov



INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. Return this completed form with any attachments to the Calendar Information Office, City Planning Commission, Room 2E at the above address.
- 2. Send one copy with any attachments to the applicant's representatives as indicated on the Notice of Certification.

APPLICATION #: 265 FRONT STREET – 150178 ZMK, 180178 ZRK

An application submitted by Michael Spinard pursuant to Sections 197-c and 201 of the New York City Charter for a zoning map amendment to change from M1-2 to R6A/C2-4 a property on the northeast corner of Front and Gold streets, and a zoning text amendment to designate the site as a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing (MIH) area. Such actions would facilitate the development of a four-story, mixed-use building with nine dwelling units and a 4,995 square foot (sq. ft.) commercial ground floor in Brooklyn Community District 2 (CD 2). The development would not be required to provide affordable housing pursuant to MIH.

COMMUNITY DISTRICT NO. 2	BOROUGH OF BROOKLYN
RECOM	<u>IMENDATION</u>
□ APPROVE	■ DISAPPROVE
☐ APPROVE WITH	□ DISAPPROVE WITH
MODIFICATIONS/CONDITIONS	MODIFICATIONS/CONDITIONS

SEE ATTACHED

September 10, 2020

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT

DATE

RECOMMENDATION FOR: 265 FRONT STREET – 150178 ZMK, 180178 ZRK

Michael Spinard submitted an application pursuant to Sections 197-c and 201 of the New York City Charter for a zoning map amendment to change from M1-2 to R6A/C2-4 a property on the northeast corner of Front and Gold streets, and a zoning text amendment to designate the site as a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing (MIH) area. Such actions would facilitate the development of a four-story, mixed-use building with nine dwelling units and a commercial ground floor of 4,995 square feet (sq. ft.) in Brooklyn Community District 2 (CD 2). The development would not be required to provide affordable housing pursuant to MIH.

Borough President Eric Adams held a remote public hearing on this application on June 30, 2020. There were three speakers on the item, in opposition, including the president of the Vinegar Hill Association (VHA) and two neighboring residents. All three expressed concern that, if approved, the proposed R6A district would undermine the current R6B zoning and set a precedent for future out-of-context development. They noted efforts prior to 2000, undertaken toward preserving Vinegar Hill's small scale and unique character, first via landmarking, followed by the establishment of low-rise contextual residential zoned areas. Furthermore, they pointed to dense and tall construction in nearby DUMBO, and questioned the need for additional commercial space, given much new commercial development.

In response to Borough President Adams' inquiry regarding what consideration has been given to withdrawing this application and resubmitting as an R6B district without the commercial overlay, the applicant's representative stated that doing so would jeopardize the project's viability. The representative expressed that the developer would rather memorialize the represented height, ground-floor non-residential space, and other community requests via a restrictive declaration.

In response to Borough President Adams' inquiry regarding what consideration has been given to providing parking, and whether such a garage might accommodate car share vehicles to provide local residents affordable access to a car, the representative stated that the ground floor could accommodate some combination of residential use and accessory parking, inclusive of car-share.

In response to Borough President Adams' inquiry regarding the incorporation of sustainable features such as passive house design, blue/green/white roof covering, and/or New York City Department of Environmental (DEP) rain gardens, the representative stated the project would seek to implement multiple green features, including high efficiency heating/cooling, and water conservation systems.

In response to Borough President Adams' inquiry regarding the inclusion and participation of locally-owned business enterprises (LBEs) and minority- and women-owned business enterprises (MWBEs) in the construction process, as well as job opportunities for residents of Farragut Houses, the representative stated that the developer would encourage MWBE firms to take part in the bidding process. Moreover, the applicant is interested in partnering with community organizations to offer youth apprenticeships on the project.

Prior and subsequent to the hearing, Borough President Adams received letters from 12 Vinegar Hill residents in opposition to the project, citing the need to protect the neighborhood's narrow streetscape from non-residential and out-of-context development. One letter specifically noted that R6A districts do not require new buildings to line up their street walls with existing ones, while R6B districts contain a provision mandating such design.

Subsequent to the hearing, Borough President Adams received a letter from the Dumbo Neighborhood Association urging him to disapprove the application, and seek an R6B district on the site, in order to protect Vinegar Hill from inappropriate development.

Consideration

Brooklyn Community Board 2 (CB 2) voted to disapprove this application on March 11, 2020. The proposed actions would affect a 6,515 sq. ft. corner lot in Vinegar Hill that extends approximately 67 feet along Front Street and 100 feet along Gold Street. This property has been operated by the applicant as a truck depot for several decades. It contains no permanent structures, except for a single-story metal shed that provides shelter for vehicles. The remainder of the site is used for open storage and parking. The rezoning area also includes a sliver portion of the adjacent lot, 275 Front Street, that would not enable residential development.

The development site is located within a small M1-2 district encompassing the southern portion of its block, between Gold Street and Hudson Avenue. The current zoning allows a floor area ratio (FAR) of 2.0 for commercial and community facility uses and does not permit residential use. The site's northern boundary abuts a portion of the Vinegar Hill Historic District, designated in 1997, which consists of three non-contiguous clusters of early 19th-century homes. The historic district's Area II covers five Gold Street-fronting properties on the block's northwest corner. The following year, the New York City Department of City Planning (DCP) rezoned several blocks from M1-2 and M3-1 to R6A and R6B to preserve its historic character and promote contextual development. At that time, the south side of Front Street was rezoned to R6A, excluding the southeast corner of Front and Gold streets, where the M1-2 zoning was left in place. Another rezoning in 2004 extended the R6A district to the southeast corner of Front and Gold streets to allow a seven-story loft building at 99 Gold Street, directly across from the project site, to be converted to residential use.

The current R6A district is mapped strictly from the south side of Front Street to York Street, between Bridge and Navy streets, while most blocks of Vinegar Hill north of Front Street and east of Bridge Street are zoned R6B. Front Street therefore forms a firm dividing line between two zoning districts of substantially different allowable heights. Previous attempts to establish higher-density zoning in Vinegar Hill have met strong opposition from the community. In 2017, Borough President Adams disapproved a request to rezone 251 Gold Street from R6B to R7A, which would have yielded a nine-story building with 92 units. Ultimately, the applicant withdrew the proposal and sold the site to a new owner, who plans to develop a five-story residential building with 59 units.

The surrounding context is defined by a mix of scales, uses, and zoning districts. The area recognized as Vinegar Hill is zoned primarily R6B with pockets of M1-2 zoning north and south of Water Street. The predominant building type is three to four story row houses, with a few warehouses scattered throughout. Commercial uses and taller buildings are found closer to Bridge Street. The DUMBO neighborhood to the west is a patchwork of MX (mixed residential and manufacturing) districts, containing new residential towers and older high-rise buildings. The area has seen multiple conversions of loft space to apartments and offices since the 1990s. To the east is the Brooklyn Navy Yard, and a large M3-1 zone that extends north and west to Brooklyn Bridge Park. ConEdison-operated infrastructure occupies several blocks upland from the waterfront, including a substation at 49 Gold Street. Two blocks to the south lies Farragut Houses, a complex of 10 New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) buildings situated between the Brooklyn Navy Yard and Trinity Park. There are multiple New York City Department of Transportation (DOT)-designated local truck routes in the area, including Front Street, which is only 54 feet wide.

Based on the application filed with the New York City Department of City Planning (DCP), the proposed development was represented as a four-story, 51-foot tall mixed-use building with a total of 16,927 sq. ft., enabled by the requested R6A MIH/C2-4 zoning. The project's Environmental Assessment Statement

(EAS) analyzed a building of up to eight stories, as R6A MIH is a contextual district with a limited floor area ratio (FAR) of 3.6 and a maximum height of 85 feet. However, the applicant has repeatedly stated intent, on record, to develop below permitted residential floor area, which would otherwise trigger MIH obligation. As the project is expected to yield less than 12,500 sq. ft. of residential floor area, and nine apartments, it would not provide any affordable housing. With fewer than 10 market-rate units, the development would also be permitted to waive otherwise required parking. The envisioned commercial ground floor would occupy approximately 5,000 sq. ft. It should be noted that M1-1 zoning allows a wide variety of commercial uses as-of-right, while the requested C2-4 overlay would permit a more limited range of tenants, found in Use Groups (UGs) six through nine (primarily personal service establishments and retail stores). However, manufacturing districts impose parking requirements of one space per 300 square feet, whereas developments on small lots in C2-4 zones can qualify for a parking waiver. Such an exemption represents an additional benefit of residential use.

Borough President Adams believes that it is appropriate to zone for residential development to achieve continuity of such use along the east side of Gold Street. It would also be appropriate for development to proceed without required parking as 265 Front Street is located within a Transit Zone with buses and subways that operate south and west of the site. The B62 bus stops at the intersection of Gold and York streets, while the B67 bus makes stops along Gold Street. The Sixth Avenue Local F train is accessible at York Street station, located three blocks southwest. There is also a CitiBike station across Gold Street with 23 docks.

Borough President Adams concurs with the developer's assertion that the site is underutilized, and generally supports new construction that creates housing and jobs for Brooklyn residents. However, he believes it is important to be respectful of the built context extending north of Front Street, in order to preserve the integrity of Vinegar Hill's residential context, which would not be guaranteed by an extension of the R6A district from the south side of Front Street across the project site. Though commercial use is permitted on the site today, Borough President Adams acknowledges the community's position that it would be beneficial to remove such rights and, therefore, he does not support the establishment of a C2-4 commercial overlay. He strongly endorses the call for the developer to withdraw the current application and submit a revised proposal for a rezoning from M1-1 to R6B. Should a new application come up for review, Borough President Adams would seek a timely opportunity to support it and advance several objectives consistent with his policies. In addition to appropriate zoning, a future application should strive for multiple resiliency and sustainability measures, including DEP rain gardens, Vision Zero pedestrian safety improvements, a high level of local hiring and procurement with enhanced opportunities for Farragut Houses residents, as well as the inclusion of car-share vehicles with any provided parking.

Refile Application with an R6B District

Borough President Adams acknowledges community concerns regarding the appropriateness of establishing an R6A district and a C2-4 commercial overlay at this location. Given the residential character of the surrounding area, exemplified by adjacent historic homes and nearby new construction, he concurs that the proposed zoning district would result in no government-enforceable guarantee that resulting development would comply with R6B zoning envelope requirements or not include commercial use.

At Borough President Adams' June 30th hearing, the applicant provided updated renderings of 265 Front Street, showing a fully residential ground floor, though it was not represented whether such representation complied with R6B building line-up provisions. While the developer has stated intent not to exceed the proposed height, such representation is not regulated by the New York City Department of Buildings (DOB). Therefore, approval of the application as-is would not preclude a taller development, with a commercial ground floor on both Front and Gold streets.

The requested rezoning would allow up to 3.6 residential FAR by co-designating the boundary requested to be rezoned as an MIH area. As stated earlier, the developer has represented that despite the requested MIH designation, it would be more viable to develop 265 Front Street with less allowable floor area (approximately 2.6 FAR split between commercial and residential uses) to remain below the threshold of MIH.

In his recommendation for 251 Front Street, which was proposed with 23 MIH units, Borough President Adams expressed that development with affordable housing does not outweigh considerations of its impact on neighborhood character. While he normally supports MIH designation throughout the borough, he respects the clear position of the site's neighbors, as well as the VHA, that development pursuant to R6A zoning would also be inappropriate, based on the district's greater density and height. Borough President Adams does not support mapping an MIH area at 265 Front Street, as there is no way to ensure that future development would incorporate sufficient affordable floor area to justify departing from the built context (as was the case for his support of 100 percent affordable development at 142 South Oxford Street). Moreover, the proposed C2-4 commercial space is not desired by the community. Therefore, Borough President Adams believes that the putative benefit of an R6A/C2-4 rezoning is outweighed by potential impacts to quality of life.

Ordinarily, Borough President Adams would recommend that the City Planning Commission (CPC) and/or City Council change the proposed zoning to a lower-density district. However, in this case, the community's desired modification from R6A to R6B would also reduce the permitted community facility FAR from 4.8 to 2.0, while the proposed R6A would reduce the community facility FAR to 3.0. Therefore, R6B would be out of scope for consideration. In order to achieve such zoning, the developer would have to refile the application with an R6B district, instead of R6A/C2-4. Such action would limit residential FAR to 2.0, lower the allowable height to 50 feet, and require a street wall line-up along Gold Street. It would also ensure that the development would not contain commercial use.

Borough President Adams recognizes that such action would result in additional costs and delays to the project timeline. The applicant has expressed willingness to forgo a commercial ground floor and deliver a fully residential building via a restrictive declaration that would memorialize such commitments. However, there is significant concern that if the property were sold prior to development, it might require prohibitive financial resources to utilize the legal system toward ensuring that any subsequent owner would be bound by such a mechanism.

Borough President Adams acknowledges that if the requested rezoning is disapproved, the property could still be redeveloped as-of-right. While the existing commercial FAR is heavily burdened by the M1-1 parking requirement, the zoning permits a waiver of such obligation for as-of-right construction to facilitate maximum commercial development. The New York City Zoning Resolution (ZR) stipulates one parking space per 300 sq. ft. for most retail establishments in M1-1 zones. As such, it is not economically sound for a property with a relatively small footprint to construct a cellar in order to achieve the maximum permitted commercial/community facility FAR. At grade, 265 Front Street could accommodate a 60-foot wide parking area with approximately 18-20 spaces. However, at 300 sq. ft. per vehicle, this would yield 5,400 to 6,000 sq. ft. of commercial space, below the permitted one FAR. Moreover, most of this retail would have to occupy the second floor to accommodate vertical circulation, including two stairwells and a costly elevator. Given retail rent levels, this would be a highly unviable means to approach approximately 0.83 commercial FAR. Achieving up to 2.4 primarily community facility FAR with some commercial use would require a depth of excavation based on the incorporation of an automated parking solution. The overall configuration would result in inefficient gross to net floor area utilization (based on vertical circulation combined with setbacks on higher floors), and very high construction and operating costs. Securing a market-rate retail tenant for such a space would likely prove difficult, so a 2.4 FAR commercial/community facility development is largely theoretical.

There is, however, one as-of-right scenario that could realize the full permitted FAR of commercial use based on the grant of a parking waiver. This would require subdividing the property into two zoning lots. As 14.5 spaces would round up to 15, a lot of the fewer than 4,350 sq. ft. would qualify to waive the parking requirement, per ZR Section 44-23, while the other lot would need to be more than 2,750 sq. ft (any combination of these numbers would also be possible).

In sum, disapproval of the proposed R6A/C2-4 district could potentially enable an as-of-right, full commercial development on two zoning lots without parking. The most likely ground-floor occupant of such a building would be an eating and/or drinking establishment, which is opposed by neighborhood residents. Borough President Adams believes that it is important to consider the unintended consequences of as-of-right, and discretionary scenarios. In both cases, commercial use on this corner would be detrimental to the low-intensity, largely residential context. In order to address neighborhood concerns, Borough President Adams seeks a resubmission of this application with an R6B district, and without an overlay, to preclude commercial development. He believes that the applicant would achieve a better outcome with the R6B residential FAR of 2.0 than what is permitted by the M1-1 zoning. Moreover, development pursuant to R6B, the predominant residential district in Vinegar Hill, would still result in a development taller than the area's characteristic row houses, but would nonetheless be deemed acceptable by the community.

Borough President Adams believes that CPC should encourage the applicant to withdraw this request and refile promptly for an R6B district without a commercial overlay, which would enable the City Council to adopt a modified application. For his part, Borough President Adams would urge the applicant to pursue a resubmission immediately after withdrawal, to avoid further delays. He would also ask DCP to expedite its review of the new application, to trigger the start of a new ULURP clock. Finally, he would urge CB 2 to be prompt in its consideration and commit to an expedited time frame of his own. Such expedient review would help discourage as-of-right commercial development while establishing bulk regulations consistent with the Vinegar Hill historic district.

There is recent precedent for such refilings in Brooklyn. In late 2018, Borough President Adams reviewed an application for a seven-story, nine-unit market rate development in CD 6, pursuant to a rezoning from M1-1 to R7A. That request garnered significant community resistance and was subsequently withdrawn. The developer has since filed an application for an enlargement of that area's lower-density contextual R6B zoning district, which is pending certification. This revised proposal demonstrates that it is possible to achieve viable residential development respectful of a low-rise community.

Similarly, a new application for 265 Front Street, requesting an R6B district, in lieu of R6A/C2-4, would help achieve satisfaction for all parties. Therefore, Borough President Adams recommends that, should the current application for 265 Front Street not be withdrawn from consideration, the CPC and/or the City Council disapprove. Furthermore, CPC should encourage the applicant to refile to seek R6B and that the applicant, Michael Spinard, should promptly refile with DCP to seek enlargement of the R6B district.

Advancing Resilient and Sustainable Energy and Stormwater Management Policies

The proposed development would provide opportunities to explore resiliency and sustainability measures such as incorporating blue/green/white roof finishes, passive house construction principles, solar panels, and wall cladding. In the fall of 2019, the City Council passed Local Laws 92 and 94, which require that newly constructed roofs, as well as existing roofs undergoing renovation (with some exceptions) incorporate a green roof and/or a solar installation. The laws further stipulate 100 percent roof coverage for such systems and expand the City's highly reflective (white) roof mandate.

Borough President Adams believes that developers should seek to exceed this mandate by integrating blue roofs with green roof systems. With regard to solar panels, there are now options beyond traditional roof installation. Multiple companies are manufacturing solar cladding from tempered glass that resembles traditional building materials, with energy output approximating that of mass-market photovoltaic systems. For taller buildings, and those in proximity to the waterfront, micro wind turbines can provide effective sustainable energy generation. Finally, passive house construction achieves energy efficiency while promoting locally-based construction and procurement.

The required Builders Pavement Plan for the proposed development would allow the developer to incorporate DEP rain gardens along the site's Front and Gold streets façades. Implementation of rain gardens could help advance DEP green infrastructure strategies and enhance the operation of the Red Hook Wastewater Treatment Plant during wet weather. Borough President Adams believes that Michael Spinard should consult with DEP, the New York City Department of Transportation (DOT), and the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation (NYC Parks), as well as CB 2 and local elected officials regarding the integration of a rain garden with ZR-required new street tree plantings, as part of a Builders Pavement Plan.

Therefore, prior to considering a resubmission of this application, the City Council should obtain commitments, in writing, from the applicant, Michael Spinard, clarifying to what extent the integration resiliency and sustainability features would be memorialized. The City Council should further seek demonstration of Michael Spinard's commitment to coordinate with DEP, DOT, and NYC Parks regarding the installation of DEP rain gardens as part of a Builders Pavement Plan, for the development site's surrounding perimeters, in consultation with CB 2 and local elected officials.

Advancing Vision Zero Policies via Borough President Adams' CROSS Brooklyn Initiative

Borough President Adams supports Vision Zero policies, including practices that extend sidewalks into the roadway as a means of shortening the path where pedestrians cross in front of traffic lanes. These sidewalk extensions, also known as bulbouts or neckdowns, make drivers more aware of pedestrian crossings and encourage them to slow down.

In 2015, Borough President Adams also launched his own initiative, Connecting Residents on Safer Streets (CROSS) Brooklyn. This program supports the creation of bulbouts or curb extensions at dangerous intersections in Brooklyn. During the program's first year, \$1 million was allocated to fund five dangerous intersections in Brooklyn. By installing additional curb extensions, seniors will benefit because more of their commutes will be spent on sidewalks, especially near dangerous intersections. At the same time, all users of the roadways will benefit from safer streets.

Though Vinegar Hill is a low-density residential community, there are multiple New York City Department of Transportation (DOT)-designated local truck routes in the area, including Front Street, which is only 54 feet wide. These conditions make it difficult to access open spaces such as Bridge Park near York Street station, and Brooklyn Bridge Park along the waterfront. For residents of Farragut Houses, this is an equity issue, as dangerous crossings have long impeded their enjoyment of these resources. Borough President Adams has advocated for traffic calming measures in the area, such as protected bicycle lanes along Navy Street. Accordingly, he believes it is important to facilitate a safer pedestrian experience in Vinegar Hill, via a curb extension at the intersection of Front and Gold streets. Such an improvement would be consistent with his CROSS Brooklyn initiative and would also enhance the public benefit of the proposed development.

Borough President Adams recognizes that the costs associated with the construction of sidewalk extensions can be exacerbated by the need to modify infrastructure and/or utilities. Therefore, where such consideration might compromise feasibility, Borough President Adams would urge DOT to explore the implementation of either protected painted sidewalk extensions defined by a roadbed surface

treatment or sidewalk extensions as part of a Builders Pavement Plan. If the implementation meets DOT's criteria, the agency should enable Michael Spinard to undertake such improvements after consultation with CB 2, as well as local elected officials, as part of its Builders Pavement Plan. The implementation of a sidewalk extension through roadbed treatment requires a maintenance agreement that indemnifies the City from liability, contains a requirement for insurance, and details the responsibilities of the maintenance partner. Borough President Adams would expect the developer to commit to such maintenance as an ongoing obligation.

Borough President Adams believes that prior to considering a resubmission of the application, the City Council should obtain written commitments from the developer, Michael Spinard, clarifying intent to advance CROSS Brooklyn coordination with DEP, DOT, and NYC Parks, for the provision of a curb extension at the northeast corner of Front and Gold streets, as part of a Builders Pavement Plan or a treated roadbed sidewalk extension. The City Council should further seek demonstration of the developer's commitment to enter into a standard DOT maintenance agreement for this intersection. Finally, DOT should confirm that implementation would not proceed without consultation of CB 2 and local elected officials.

<u>Promoting Local Hiring and MWBE Participation for Construction and Procurement Jobs</u>

In the summer of 2020, the City's MWBE certification program surpassed 10,000 businesses, while Mayor de Blasio signed Executive Order 59, which would expand contracting opportunities for MWBEs. Prioritizing local hiring and promoting Brooklyn-based businesses including those qualify as LBE and MWBE is central to Borough President Adams' economic development agenda.

This application would provide opportunities to retain a Brooklyn-based contractor and subcontractor, especially those designated LBEs consistent with Section 6-108.1 of the City's Administrative Code, and MWBEs that meet or exceed standards per Local Law 1 (no less than 20 percent participation).

Beyond procurement, Borough President Adams seeks to maximize employment opportunities for public housing residents on ULURP projects. In recent years, CD 2 has seen an influx of new development, particularly around its three NYCHA campuses. As such, Borough President Adams believes that the developer should take proactive steps to ensure that Farragut Houses residents secure a significant proportion of the eventual construction jobs. He calls on the applicant to retain a workforce development entity with strong ties to this community, and further engage the Farragut Houses Tenant Association. There are several experienced firms that have helped developers meet local hiring targets on past projects. Borough President Adams' office is available to provide further coordination on this matter, to maximize job opportunities for NYCHA residents.

Borough President Adams believes that prior to considering a resubmission of the application, the City Council should obtain written commitments from the developer, Michael Spinard, stating intent to retain Brooklyn-based contractors and subcontractors, especially those who are designated LBE consistent with Section 6-108.1 of the City's Administrative Code and MWBE as a means to meet or exceed standards per Local Law 1 (no less than 20 percent participation), as well as coordinate the oversight of such participation by an appropriate monitoring agency, including consideration for the a screening program towards the achieving of hiring of Farragut Houses residents.

Parking as a Community Amenity

Borough President Adams believes that in certain communities, where street parking is a challenge, it is beneficial to encourage accessory parking provision in new developments, even if such sites are located in proximity to public transportation. Several sites on the blocks surrounding 265 Front Street are currently undergoing large-scale redevelopment will bring more people and businesses to the area. In the last few decades, these neighborhoods have seen an influx of residents, visitors, and workers. Such population growth tends to exacerbate competition and demand for parking, a fact readily observed in Brooklyn

communities experiencing dramatic growth. The nature of the area's built fabric, which consists largely of pre-1961 buildings, combined with a lack of parking requirements for building conversions, has led to an undersupply of accessory off-street parking. Many new and denser developments also seek parking waivers to save construction costs, and often receive them without having to meet any findings for such requests. In aggregate, such projects, especially when constructed within a short-time frame further constrain limited street parking and exacerbate conflicts between residential and commercial vehicles.

At the June 30 hearing, the applicant's representative shared renderings of 265 Front Street with ground-floor accessory parking. The elective provision of parking, even in a relatively small project, can help mitigate neighborhood parking demand by allowing residents to have vehicles, while keeping those cars off the streets. Moreover, the value of such a public amenity can be increased through the inclusion of car-share vehicles, and allowance of space rentals by non-residents.

Borough President Adams believes that facilitating car-share at this location would benefit building occupants as well as residents of nearby Farragut Houses. He acknowledges that the costs associated with individual car ownership can be very challenging for households of limited financial means, particularly where such vehicles are not used for daily commuting to places of employment. A rental car can provide mobility in certain use cases, though it is not as flexible as having direct access to a car for a set amount of time and can be expensive for longer trips. Car rental requires, at minimum, a full day reservation as well as time and effort to access such facilities. However, affordable and convenient access to car-share vehicles can provide a quality-of-life enhancement, by significantly reducing the financial and logistical burden of traditional ownership and rental options. Farragut Houses residents who might benefit from car-share would likely seek to utilize this service if it were more widely available in the vicinity, particularly as overnight parking on NYCHA campuses is highly restricted and prohibitively expensive in the surrounding area.

According to ZR Section 36-46(a)(1), a car-sharing entity is permitted to occupy up to five parking spaces but no more than 20 percent of all spaces in group parking facilities. Though a 265 Front Street accessory parking facility would have limited capacity, it could nonetheless accommodate rentals by car-share operators based on reasonable pricing. The incorporation of car-sharing vehicles within the building's garage would require the developer to provide visible signage, per ZR Section 36-523, stating the total number of parking spaces and the maximum number of car-sharing vehicles.

This amenity would be available to the building's occupants and local residents, including those in Farragut Houses. Additionally, individuals, such as area residents, would be permitted to lease 265 Front Street parking spaces not utilized by residents, for a period of no more than 30 days, pursuant to ZR Section 36-46.

If the developer elects to pursue this design, Borough President Adams believes that a portion of the garage should be set aside for a limited number of car-sharing vehicles through dialogue with car-share companies, including those that utilize dedicated street parking. Therefore, the developer should engage car-share companies interested in leasing spaces within the eventual 265 Front Street garage.

Recommendation

Be it resolved that the Brooklyn borough president, pursuant to Section 201 of the New York City Charter, recommends that this application be withdrawn. If such action is not taken, the City Planning Commission (CPC) and/or the City Council should disapprove the application.

Be It Further Resolved:

- 1. That CPC should encourage the applicant, Michael Spinard, to refile the application as an enlargement of the existing R6B zoning district
- 2. That Michael Spinard withdraw the current application for an R6A/C2-4 district and promptly refile as above, at the urging of CPC and the City Council
- 3. That prior to considering a new application, the City Council obtain written commitments from Michael Spinard clarifying the extent to which the development would
 - a. Incorporate resiliency and sustainability measures such as New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) rain gardens, blue/green/white roof treatment, passive house design principles, as well as solar roof and wall panels, in consultation with the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation (NYC Parks), the New York City Department of Transportation (DOT), Brooklyn Community Board 2 (CB 2), and local elected officials
 - b. Coordinate Connecting Residents on Safer Streets (CROSS) Brooklyn implementation with DEP, DOT, and NYC Parks for the installation of a curb extension at the northeast corner of Front and Gold streets, either as part of a Builders Pavement Plan, or as a treated roadbed sidewalk extension
 - c. Enter into a standard DOT maintenance agreement and coordinate with DEP, DOT, and NYC Parks should there be agency implementation of such enhancement at the intersection of Front and Gold streets, with the understanding that work would not proceed without consultation of CB 2 and local elected officials
 - d. Retain Brooklyn-based contractors and subcontractors, especially those who are designated local business enterprises (LBEs) consistent with Section 6-108.1 of the City's Administrative Code and minority- and women-owned business enterprises (MWBEs) as a means to meet or exceed standards per Local Law 1 (no less than 20 percent participation)
 - e. Retain a qualified outside monitoring agency to coordinate the oversight of LBE/MWBE participation and consider implementing a screening program to maximize hiring opportunities for residents of Farragut Houses
 - f. Engage car-share companies that may be interested in leasing multiple spaces within a ground-floor garage at 265 Front Street