
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Icahn Charter School 6

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	37	40	39
Grade 1	35	37	37
Grade 2	36	36	37
Grade 3	-	32	31
Grade 4	-	-	33
All students	108	145	177

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	-	6%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	-	85%	76%
% Student with IEPs	-	7%	10%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	-	0%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	68%	68%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	12%
% Asian	-	1%	1%
% Black	-	54%	54%
% Hispanic	-	45%	44%
% White	-	0%	1%
% Other	-	1%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

				2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15		Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pc
tate Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	63	2.88							0.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	63	55.6%							0.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	31	50.0							0.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	18	50.5							0.00%
Early Grade Progress	31	4.13							0.00%
tate Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	63	3.28							0.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	63	61.9%							0.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	31	48.0							0.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	15	53.0							0.00%
Early Grade Progress	31	5.77							0.00%
AS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students									0.00%

						2044 45 Towards						
							014-15 Target		_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%								0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	1	1.6%	8.1%								0.030	0.000
SETSS	3	4.8%	45.7%								0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%								0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	1	1.6%	8.2%								0.030	0.000
SETSS	3	4.8%	46.2%								0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	9.7%	20.7%								0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	6	19.4%	26.6%	66.7%							0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1	3.2%	8.1%								0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	3	9.7%	16.6%								0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	9.7%	19.9%								0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	3	9.7%	13.3%								0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%								0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	3	9.7%	17.3%								0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	6	3.4%	8.6%	83.3%							0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.00
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports


Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Icahn Charter School 6

84X133

Ouglity Review 1.2 Cuality Review 2.2 Cuality Review 3.2 Cuality Review 3.2 Cuality Review 3.4 Cuality Review 4.2 Cuality Review 3.4 Cuality Revie		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 Quality Review 2.2 NTC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 98% 4.68 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.41 portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 81.8% HS 0verall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 0verall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84	prous Instruction			
Ouality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 98% 4.68 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.41 portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 81.8% H5 Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS H5 Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84	Quality Review 1.1			
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 98% 4.68 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.72 aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.41 portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 81.8% HS Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Porg Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: 3.84	Quality Review 1.2			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.72 aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.41 portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 81.8% Noverall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 section Score: 4.44 Nog Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%	Quality Review 2.2			
aborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.41 Portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 85. Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	98%	4.68	100%
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.41 Portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.72	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.41 portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 81.8% HS Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Pong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84	aborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 95% 4.36 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.41 portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 81.8% HS Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Pong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84	Quality Review 4.2			
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Pong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84	·	95%	4.36	100%
Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment Precentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: Section Sco	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.41	
Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment Precentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: Section Sco	nortive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Indicator Section Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%				
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: ** 4.52 **Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 **Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 **Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 **Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84	·	96%	4.36	100%
EMS HS Overall 81.8% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: ** 4.52 **Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 **ORIG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 **Section S				
Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: ** 4.52 **Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 **Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: \$8% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target \$99% 4.80 100%		81.8%		
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% Section Score: 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Section Score: 4.45 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84	HS			
environments EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Fing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%	Overall	81.8%		
EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Section Score: Section Score: 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84	Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Section Score: 4.44 Section Score: 4.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Section Score: 3.84 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84	environments			
HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Section Score: 4.44 Section Score: 4.44 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Section Score: 3.84 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84	FMS			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Programmily-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%				
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Page Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%				
Active School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Song Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%				
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 St NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.52	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 91% 4.44 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 St NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%	ativa Cabaal Laadayahiy			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.44 Ingramily-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 St NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%		01%	1 11	100%
Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%	The sensor survey Effective sensor Leadership	3170	7.77	100/0
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 St NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.44	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88% 3.84 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 St NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%				
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84 St NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%				
st NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	88%	3.84	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
NYC School Survey - Trust 99% 4.80 100%				
		QQ%	/I 80	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.80	INTO SCHOOL SULVEY - ITUST	JJ/0	4.00	10070
	·			

^{*} These scores have been rescaled so that schools without Quality Review ratings are measured on a comparable scale to schools with Quality Review ratings.



Icahn Charter School 6

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	93	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		98%					4.68
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	99	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.94	
Cultural awareness	Students	33	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.54	
Cultural awareness	Combined	99	06.0	07.4	100.0	0.97	4.88
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.87	4.48
	Teachers	96	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.92	4.48
	Teachers	100	59.9	85.3	100.0	1.00	4.08
		84					
Innovation	Teachers		70.3	86.7	100.0	0.47	2.88
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.92	4.68
Focus on student learning	Teachers	99	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.96	4.84
Collective responsibility Section Results:	Teachers	81 95%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.43	2.72 4.36
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	97	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.87	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	97				0.87	4.48
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	95	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.83	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	95				0.83	4.32
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	00	200.0		
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achieveme	ent Teachers	95	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.78	
Press toward academic achieveme		30	85.3	91.9	98.5	3170	
Press toward academic achievement		95	00.0	31.3	30.3	0.78	4.12
Personal attention and support	Students	33	77.8	89.6	100.0	00	
Peer support for academic work:	Stadelits		,,	33.3	100.0		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	89	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.53	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	99	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.90	
Peer support for academic work	Students	33	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94	JU.4	73.0	31.2	0.71	3.84
	Combined					0.71	
Section Results:		96%					4.36

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	97	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Teacher influence	Teachers	67	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.60	3.40
 Program coherence 	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		91%					4.44
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.77	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	98	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.94	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	97				0.85	4.40
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	78	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.56	3.24
Section Results:		88%					3.84
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	99	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.90	4.60
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	99	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.94	4.76
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.94	4.76
Section Results:		99%					4.80

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.88	2.62 or lower	2.63 to 2.73	2.74 to 2.80	2.81 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.42	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.40	2.41 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	55.6%	35.9% or lower	36.0% to 41.4%	41.5% to 45.5%	45.6% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.28	2.87 or lower	2.88 to 3.02	3.03 to 3.14	3.15 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.54	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.55	2.56 to 2.68	2.69 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	61.9%	45.3% or lower	45.4% to 52.6%	52.7% to 58.1%	58.2% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students							
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained							
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.38	2.39 to 2.46	2.47 or higher		
SETSS							
ELL		2.72 or lower	2.73 to 2.86	2.87 to 2.96	2.97 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.30	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.09	2.10 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained							
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.44 or lower	2.45 to 2.61	2.62 to 2.74	2.75 or higher		
SETSS							
ELL		3.06 or lower	3.07 to 3.25	3.26 to 3.40	3.41 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide		1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
ELL Progress	83.3%	46.7% or lower	46.8% to 56.7%	56.8% to 64.3%	64.4% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	81.8%	64.6% or lower	64.7% to 71.5%	71.6% to 76.6%	76.7% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments		0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher			