

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


Summary of Section Ratings

30Q085

P.S. 085 Judge Charles Vallone

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	91	87	88
Grade 1	88	99	88
Grade 2	77	85	102
Grade 3	83	76	81
Grade 4	81	75	79
Grade 5	95	77	77
All students	574	563	580

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	10%	9%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	69%	69%	69%
% Student with IEPs	10%	12%	11%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	3%	2%
% HRA Eligible	-	34%	33%
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	6%
% Asian	25%	21%	21%
% Black	2%	3%	5%
% Hispanic	31%	32%	30%
% White	38%	39%	39%
% Other	0%	5%	5%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

30Q085
P.S. 085 Judge Charles Vallone

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.35

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 Targets								
	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	221	3.11	2.27	2.57	2.75	2.96	3.21	4.60	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	221	57.0%	15.7%	29.8%	39.3%	50.2%	63.4%	4.52	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	139	71.0	46.9	55.4	62.8	67.9	80.2	4.25	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	49	67.0	54.3	62.9	70.4	75.6	88.1	2.55	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	74	3.29	1.20	1.81	2.28	2.61	3.39	4.87	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	224	3.35	2.34	2.74	2.98	3.25	3.59	4.29	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	224	67.4%	20.2%	37.2%	48.5%	61.3%	76.8%	4.39	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	139	72.0	44.0	54.1	62.7	68.7	83.0	4.23	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	47	72.0	50.7	60.0	68.1	73.7	87.3	3.70	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	75	3.19	1.24	2.11	2.73	3.15	4.28	4.04	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	66	98.6%	77.8%	84.1%	88.6%	93.7%	100.0%	4.78	9.09%
Weighted Average Score								4.20	

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	8	3.6%	17.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	1.8%	9.1%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	7	3.2%	30.5%	28.6%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	8	3.6%	17.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	4	1.8%	9.2%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	7	3.1%	29.8%	28.6%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	18	12.9%	27.6%	50.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.15	0.030	0.024
Lowest Third Citywide	25	18.0%	24.6%	60.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	7	5.0%	12.7%	57.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	13	9.4%	15.8%	69.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	18	12.9%	26.5%	55.6%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.43	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	26	18.7%	25.7%	53.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.03	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	7	5.0%	13.0%	42.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	13	9.4%	16.4%	30.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	61	11.8%	29.9%	60.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.55	0.030	0.019
CtAG Additional Points											0.15	
Overall Student Achievement Score											4.35	

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	97%	4.52	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.32	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.16	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	81.4%	2.40	
HS			
Overall	81.4%	2.40	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.30	3.50	
HS			
Overall	0.30	3.50	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	70%	2.20	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.20	

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.04	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	83%	2.40	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.40	

2014-15 School Quality Reports
Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

30Q085

P.S. 085 Judge Charles Vallone

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Rigorous Instruction							
• Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
• Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
• Quality of student discussion	Teachers	96	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.88	4.52
Section Results:		97%					4.52
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.92	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	96				0.71	3.84
• Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.84	4.36
Quality of professional development	Teachers	64	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.25	2.00
School commitment	Teachers	81	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.52	3.08
Innovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.57	3.28
• Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.79	4.16
Peer collaboration	Teachers	86	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.38	2.52
Focus on student learning	Teachers	79	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.34	2.36
• Collective responsibility	Teachers	93	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.80	4.20
Section Results:		87%					3.32
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.94	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	99				0.94	4.76
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	91	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.72	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	91				0.72	3.88
• Social-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	93	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.70	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	93				0.70	3.80
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	99	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.95	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
• Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.85	4.40
Section Results:		95%					4.16

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	85	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.26	2.04
Teacher influence	Teachers	48	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.30	2.20
Program coherence	Teachers	72	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.31	2.24
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	74	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.32	2.28
Section Results:		70%					2.20
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	87	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.51	3.04
Section Results:		84%					3.04
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	87	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.25	2.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	69	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.29	2.16
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	83	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.34	2.36
Section Results:		83%					2.40

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES Targets for 2015-16

30Q085

P.S. 085 Judge Charles Vallone

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.11	2.77 or lower	2.78 to 2.88	2.89 to 2.95	2.96 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.21	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	57.0%	40.4% or lower	40.5% to 45.9%	46.0% to 50.0%	50.1% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.35	3.01 or lower	3.02 to 3.16	3.17 to 3.28	3.29 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.25	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.43	2.44 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	67.4%	50.9% or lower	51.0% to 58.2%	58.3% to 63.7%	63.8% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.6%	88.0% or lower	88.1% to 91.0%	91.1% to 93.3%	93.4% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*		2015-16 Targets			
2014-15 School Value		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.76	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.21	2.22 or higher
SETSS	2.37	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.21	2.22 or higher
ELL	2.41	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.40	2.41 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.95	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.53	2.54 or higher
SETSS	2.35	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.38	2.39 to 2.52	2.53 or higher
ELL	2.53	2.42 or lower	2.43 to 2.61	2.62 to 2.76	2.77 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
ELL Progress	60.7%	53.6% or lower	53.7% to 63.6%	63.7% to 71.1%	71.2% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	81.4%	78.3% or lower	78.4% to 83.8%	83.9% to 88.0%	88.1% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.30	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher