
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	71	71	71
Grade 1	75	76	68
Grade 2	52	71	71
Grade 3	60	66	58
Grade 4	75	57	63
Grade 5	48	73	57
All students	417	450	423

Student Demographics

2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015	
22%	17%	14%	
97%	97%	98%	
26%	28%	26%	
16%	10%	10%	
-	85%	84%	
-	36%	40%	
1%	2%	2%	
28%	30%	32%	
71%	68%	65%	
1%	0%	0%	
0%	0%	1%	
	22% 97% 26% 16% 1% 28% 71% 1%	22% 17% 97% 97% 26% 28% 16% 10% - 85% - 36% 1% 2% 28% 30% 71% 68% 1% 0%	22% 17% 14% 97% 98% 26% 28% 26% 16% 10% 10% - 85% 84% - 36% 40% 1% 2% 2% 28% 30% 32% 71% 68% 65% 1% 0% 0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

07X065 P.S. 065 Mother Hale Academy

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.68

							2014-15 Targets				
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct		
State Test Results - ELA									U		
O Average Student Proficiency	173	2.06	1.89	2.07	2.19	2.32	2.61	1.94	9.09%		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	173	8.1%	0.1%	7.1%	12.2%	18.0%	30.0%	2.20	9.09%		
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	103	67.0	46.0	55.0	62.7	68.2	81.2	3.78	9.09%		
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	39	78.0	62.7	70.6	77.3	82.0	93.0	3.15	9.09%		
Early Grade Progress	58	1.04	0.24	0.86	1.42	1.81	2.78	2.32	9.09%		
State Test Results - Math											
Average Student Proficiency	172	2.12	1.88	2.12	2.29	2.48	2.84	2.00	9.09%		
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	172	7.6%	0.0%	9.4%	16.2%	23.9%	39.0%	1.81	9.09%		
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	103	49.0	37.7	49.0	58.9	65.8	82.2	2.00	9.09%		
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	38	58.5	57.4	66.3	73.9	79.2	91.8	1.12	9.09%		
Early Grade Progress	57	1.99	0.02	1.03	1.91	2.53	4.05	3.13	9.09%		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	63	93.2%	73.0%	80.6%	86.1%	92.3%	100.0%	4.12	9.09%		
								Weighted Average Score	2.51		

						3	014-15 Target	•				
		2014 45 Cabaal	D	2014 15	D-44f				- 		Forture Bulliotes	Forture Desirate
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4			- · •		. 0 0	. 0	. 0	. 0	. 0 0			
O Self-Contained	25	14.5%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	18	10.4%	52.8%	5.6%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.68	0.030	0.013
SETSS	4	2.3%	21.9%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	25	14.5%	72.1%	8.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.12	0.030	0.023
Integrated Co-Teaching	18	10.5%	53.6%	16.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.69	0.030	0.020
SETSS	4	2.3%	22.1%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	21	20.4%	43.6%	47.6%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.05	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	66	64.1%	93.6%	48.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.17	0.030	0.016
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	33	32.0%	81.0%	39.4%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.33	0.030	0.010
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	26.2%	63.9%	66.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.53	0.030	0.027
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	21	20.4%	41.9%	14.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	1.45	0.030	0.003
Lowest Third Citywide	66	64.1%	88.0%	28.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.72	0.030	0.005
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	30	29.1%	75.8%	23.3%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	1.59	0.030	0.004
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	26.2%	64.8%	51.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.02	0.030	0.023
© ELL Progress	51	13.2%	33.5%	41.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.73	0.030	0.005
									CtAG Additional Points			0.17
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	2.68

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).


2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 065 Mother Hale Academy

07X065

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	92%	3.64	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	88%	3.52	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
portive Environment	5	2.25	
Quality Review 3.4	Developing	2.00	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	88%	2.96	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	E4 30/	4.43	
EMS	51.7%	1.12	
HS	E4 30/	4.43	22:1
Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	51.7%	1.12	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments	0.22	2.70	
EMS	0.32	3.70	
HS Overall	0.32	3.70	5%
Ονειαιι	0.32	5.70	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.16	
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.28	100%
THE SCHOOL SULVEY - LITECTIVE SCHOOL LEGARISHIP	OZ /0	J.40	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
	Section Score:	3.28	
ong Family-Community Ties	Section Score:	2.92	100%
ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	2.92	100%
ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties			100%
ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target	84% Section Score:	2.92 2.92	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target St NYC School Survey - Trust	84%	2.92	100%
ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target	84% Section Score:	2.92 2.92	



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			_				
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.64	3.56
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		92%					3.64
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.80	
Cultural awareness	Parents	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	32	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.65	3.60
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.86	4.44
Quality of professional development	Teachers	72	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.41	2.64
School commitment	Teachers	82	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20
Innovation	Teachers	89	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.63	3.52
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	91	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.58	3.32
Focus on student learning	Teachers	91	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.71	3.84
Collective responsibility	Teachers	83	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.51	3.04
Section Results:	readirers	88%	03.7	0,	100.0	0.01	3.52
Supportive Environment Safety:	Totalore	07	00.0	0.4.6	400.0	0.25	
Safety	Teachers	87	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.35	
Safety	Students	07	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.05	2.40
Safety	Combined	87				0.35	2.40
Classroom behavior:	T l	0.2	66.0	05.5	400.0	0.47	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	83	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.47	
Classroom behavior	Students	02	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.47	2.00
Classroom behavior	Combined	83	00.0	00.0	100.0	0.47	2.88
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
	Taaabaaa	0.4	75.0	00.0	100.0	0.24	
Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students	84	75.0 85.3	88.8 91.9	100.0 98.5	0.34	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	84	03.3	91.9	90.5	0.34	2.36
		84	77.0	90.6	100.0	0.34	2.30
Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work:	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work	Teachers	84	76 5	91.5	100.0	0.32	
	Parents	96	76.5 88.4	91.5	100.0	0.32	
Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Students	90	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	90	JU.4	/3.ŏ	37.2	0.53	3.12
Section Results:	Combined	88%				0.55	2.96
Jection Results.		0070					2.90

07X065 P.S. 065 Mother Hale Academy

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	86	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.31	2.24
Teacher influence	Teachers	63	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.53	3.12
Program coherence	Teachers	88	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.70	3.80
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	90	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.73	3.92
Section Results:		82%					3.28
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.78	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	89	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.52	3.08
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.43	2.72
Section Results:		84%					2.92
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	92	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.62	3.48
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	88	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.72	3.88
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	89	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		91%					3.44

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.06	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	8.1%	7.4% or lower	7.5% to 11.7%	11.8% to 15.0%	15.1% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.12	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.42	2.43 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	7.6%	10.9% or lower	11.0% to 17.3%	17.4% to 22.1%	22.2% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.2%	83.2% or lower	83.3% to 87.5%	87.6% to 90.7%	90.8% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.80	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.92	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
SETSS		1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher
ELL	2.09	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.14	2.15 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.08	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.21	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
SETSS		1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 or higher
ELL	2.22	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.38	2.39 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
ELL Progress	41.2%	36.5% or lower	36.6% to 46.4%	46.5% to 54.0%	54.1% or higher

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	51.7%	52.8% or lower	52.9% to 59.9%	60.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.32	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.24	0.25 or higher			