2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target		2.12		
Collaborative Teachers	Approaching Target		2.64		
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.12		
Effective School Leadership	Not Meeting Target	1.8	0		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.24		
Trust	Approaching Target		2.32		
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.19		
		1	2 3	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	35	35	35
Grade 1	44	26	36
Grade 2	50	45	28
Grade 3	34	30	34
Grade 4	37	36	27
Grade 5	67	36	33
All students	285	223	210

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	5%	5%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	87%	87%	92%
% Student with IEPs	22%	31%	34%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	11%	15%	15%
% HRA Eligible	-	77%	74%
% Temporary Housing	-	11%	14%
% Asian	3%	5%	2%
% Black	68%	63%	65%
% Hispanic	24%	29%	30%
% White	4%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	1%



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.19									
					2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA	_									
• Average Student Proficiency		90	2.01	1.96	2.14	2.25	2.38	2.64	1.28	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		90	10.0%	1.7%	9.3%	14.6%	20.6%	32.3%	2.13	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		56	37.0	45.2	54.3	62.2	67.6	80.7	1.00	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		20	57.5	59.3	68.2	76.1	81.6	94.7	1.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		33	1.15	0.21	0.89	1.50	1.92	2.94	2.43	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
• Average Student Proficiency		91	2.11	1.92	2.16	2.32	2.51	2.87	1.79	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		91	11.0%	1.1%	10.7%	17.5%	25.3%	40.2%	2.04	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		57	54.0	34.5	47.1	58.0	65.6	83.7	2.63	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		21	70.0	52.8	63.4	72.6	79.0	94.3	2.72	9.09%
• Early Grade Progress		34	0.73	0.02	1.06	1.96	2.59	4.14	1.68	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		32	94.1%	73.5%	81.0%	86.3%	92.4%	100.0%	4.22	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.08

						2	014-15 Targets	6	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
closing the Achievement dup (clAd) methes	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	14	15.6%	76.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	10	11.1%	56.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
o setss	9	10.0%	95.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	14	15.4%	76.6%	7.1%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.86	0.030	0.022
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	10	11.0%	56.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
o SETSS	9	9.9%	95.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	9	16.1%	34.4%	66.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.86	0.030	0.029
 Lowest Third Citywide 	28	50.0%	72.5%	28.6%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.06	0.030	0.000
O Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	12	21.4%	54.2%	8.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• SC/ICT/SETSS	19	33.9%	86.0%	21.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	9	15.8%	32.4%	55.6%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.43	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	31	54.4%	74.7%	35.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.29	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	24.6%	64.1%	35.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.53	0.030	0.012
SC/ICT/SETSS	20	35.1%	90.5%	35.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.50	0.030	0.011
ELL Progress	10	5.2%	13.2%	40.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.11
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.19

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	25%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	25%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	25%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	68%	1.00	25%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.12	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	67%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	62%	1.12	33%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.64	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	35%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	65%	1.20	25%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	57.3%	1.60	
HS			
Overall	57.3%	1.60	35%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.03	1.21	
HS			
Overall	0.03	1.21	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.12	
ctive School Leadership	F (2)/	4.00	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	59%	1.80	100%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.80	
ng Family-Community Ties			
	770/	2.24	1000/
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	77%	2.24	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.24	
st			
	659/	2.22	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	65%	2.32	100%
		• • •	
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.32	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigor	ous Instruction		·····		- 7 0			
•	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	65	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.00	1.00
	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	75	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.00	1.00
Co	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	uality of student discussion	Teachers	63	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
	n Results:		68%					1.00
Collat	oorative Teachers							
	ultural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	67	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.00	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Students	JZ	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	80	08.0	07.4	100.0	0.25	2.00
o In	clusive classroom instruction	Teachers	78	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.23	1.00
	uality of professional development	Teachers	47	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
	chool commitment	Teachers	47 40	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
	novation	Teachers	57	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	71	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.00	1.00
	eer collaboration	Teachers	68	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
	ocus on student learning	Teachers	56	68.2	92.2 89.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
	-	Teachers	64	65.7	89.0			
	ollective responsibility n Results:	Teachers	62%	05.7	84.7	100.0	0.00	1.00 1.12
Suppo	ortive Environment							
Sa	afety:							
	Safety	Teachers	54	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
0	Safety	Combined	54				0.00	1.00
Cl	assroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	53	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.00	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
0	Classroom behavior	Combined	53				0.00	1.00
o Sc	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	73	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.00	1.00
Pe	eer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
N	ext-level guidance	Students						
Pr	ress toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	64	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.00	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
0	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	64				0.00	1.00
P€	ersonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Pe	eer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	67	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.00	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	i cei support foi acadenne work							
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
			80		73.8	97.2	0.25	2.00

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.63	3.52
 Teacher influence 	Teachers	31	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.04	1.16
 Program coherence 	Teachers	65	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.13	1.52
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	49	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		59%					1.80
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	69	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	87	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	78				0.13	1.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.49	2.96
Section Results:		77%					2.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	35	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	35	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		65%					2.32

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.01	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.71	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.0%	7.7% or lower	7.8% to 12.2%	12.3% to 15.7%	15.8% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.11	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.39	2.40 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.76	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	11.0%	10.9% or lower	11.0% to 17.3%	17.4% to 22.1%	22.2% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.1%	85.7% or lower	85.8% to 89.3%	89.4% to 92.1%	92.2% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.62	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.72	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
SETSS	1.79	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
ELL	2.09	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.75	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.66	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.89	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.85	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 or higher		
SETSS	1.80	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
ELL	2.07	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.47	2.48 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.81	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
LL Progress	40.0%	37.1% or lower	37.2% to 47.0%	47.1% to 54.6%	54.7% or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	57.3%	57.7% or lower	57.8% to 64.5%	64.6% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.03	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.18	0.19 to 0.24	0.25 or higher		