2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.08	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.20	
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.60	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.64	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.36	
Trust	Meeting Target			3	3.88
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.66		
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	47	55	82
Grade 1	43	47	51
Grade 2	56	54	52
Grade 3	46	61	55
Grade 4	64	53	67
Grade 5	67	58	47
All students	359	364	417

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	4%	3%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	66%	66%	67%
% Student with IEPs	18%	18%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	7%	9%
% HRA Eligible	-	40%	41%
% Temporary Housing	-	9%	8%
% Asian	1%	2%	2%
% Black	90%	89%	86%
% Hispanic	7%	9%	10%
% White	2%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	2%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.66									
					2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		156	2.43	2.02	2.29	2.47	2.67	2.96	2.78	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		156	22.4%	2.9%	15.9%	25.1%	35.6%	49.1%	2.71	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		97	63.0	46.3	54.4	61.4	66.3	77.9	3.33	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		36	67.5	56.3	64.8	72.3	77.5	89.8	2.36	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		52	1.25	0.49	1.24	1.88	2.33	3.41	2.02	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		158	2.42	1.92	2.33	2.62	2.95	3.38	2.31	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		158	20.9%	0.0%	18.1%	31.1%	46.0%	64.6%	2.22	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		98	50.0	37.9	50.0	60.6	68.0	85.5	2.00	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		36	58.5	49.4	60.8	70.7	77.6	94.1	1.80	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		53	1.10	0.02	1.35	2.50	3.31	5.22	1.81	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		46	94.6%	75.8%	82.6%	87.5%	93.0%	100.0%	4.23	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.51

						2	014-15 Targets	5				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			•	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
• • • •	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	19	12.2%	60.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching			0.0%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	12	7.7%	73.3%	16.7%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	19	12.0%	59.7%	5.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	3.05	0.030	0.015
Integrated Co-Teaching			0.0%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	12	7.6%	73.1%	16.7%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.98	0.030	0.022
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	3.1%	6.6%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	25	25.8%	36.2%	52.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.59	0.030	0.019
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	14.4%	36.5%	42.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.69	0.030	0.013
SC/ICT/SETSS	19	19.6%	45.0%	52.6%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.79	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	3	3.1%	6.4%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	25	25.5%	35.0%	36.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.34	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	14.3%	37.2%	42.9%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.15	0.030	0.016
• SC/ICT/SETSS	19	19.4%	45.2%	21.1%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.40	0.030	0.003
ELL Progress	12	3.4%	8.6%	50.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.15
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	2.66

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
29Q181
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	84%	2.48	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	84%	2.96	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	89%	3.24	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	74.6%	2.32	
HS			
Overall	74.6%	2.32	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.67	4.99	
HS			
Overall	0.67	4.99	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
ctive School Leadership	0001	2.54	4000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.64	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.36	100%
		2.36	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	3.88	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
	Section Store.	5.00	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Cumum % Desitive	Detter of Dense	City Range	Ton of Donoo	Demonst of Demos	Coore	
Rigorous Instruction		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	90	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Common Core shifts in meth	Teachers	90	83.3	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00	
		90				0.50	3.00	
Course clarity	Students Teachers	72	84.3 68.7	92.7 85.3	100.0 100.0	0.11	1.44	
 Quality of student discussion Section Results: 	Teachers	84%	08.7	85.5	100.0	0.11	1.44 2.48	
Section Results:		84%					2.48	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	94	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.56		
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
Cultural awareness	Combined	94				0.65	3.60	
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	91	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	74	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.47	2.88	
School commitment	Teachers	89	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.72	3.88	
• Innovation	Teachers	69	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.00	1.00	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.79	4.16	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	90	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.55	3.20	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	90	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.69	3.76	
• Collective responsibility	Teachers	63	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.00	1.00	
Section Results:		84%					2.96	
Supportive Environment								
Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	97	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.85		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
Safety	Combined	97				0.85	4.40	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	82	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.46		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	82				0.46	2.84	
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	90	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:								
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	86	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.44		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	86				0.44	2.76	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:								
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	90	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.55		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.51		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
Peer support for academic work	Combined	92				0.53	3.12	
Section Results:		89%					3.24	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.77	4.08
Teacher influence	Teachers	47	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.28	2.12
Program coherence	Teachers	92	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.79	4.16
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	92	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.79	4.16
Section Results:		82%					3.64
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.80	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.54	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.67	3.68
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.50	3.00
Section Results:		86%					3.36
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	92	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.83	4.32
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	89	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.56	3.24
Section Results:		93%					3.88

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.43	2.36 or lower	2.37 to 2.46	2.47 to 2.54	2.55 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.08	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	22.4%	19.2% or lower	19.3% to 24.7%	24.8% to 28.9%	29.0% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.42	2.41 or lower	2.42 to 2.57	2.58 to 2.68	2.69 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.02	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.9%	23.7% or lower	23.8% to 30.9%	31.0% to 36.4%	36.5% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.6%	87.7% or lower	87.8% to 90.8%	90.9% to 93.2%	93.3% or highe		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.85	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.92	1.93 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
SETSS	2.29	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 or higher		
ELL	2.53	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.03	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
SETSS	2.07	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
ELL	2.28	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.57	2.58 to 2.71	2.72 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.82	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	74.6%	72.9% or lower	73.0% to 79.7%	79.8% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.67	0.17 or lower	0.18 to 0.27	0.28 to 0.35	0.36 or higher		