

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


## Summary of Section Ratings

30Q112

P.S. 112 Dutch Kills

### Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

**2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES**  
**School Enrollment and Demographic Data**

30Q112  
P.S. 112 Dutch Kills

**Student Enrollment**

<b>Grade</b>	<b>2012 - 2013</b>	<b>2013 - 2014</b>	<b>2014 - 2015</b>
Kindergarten	90	64	72
Grade 1	76	93	71
Grade 2	59	69	94
Grade 3	82	70	79
Grade 4	82	71	75
Grade 5	100	78	62
<b>All students</b>	<b>489</b>	<b>481</b>	<b>538</b>

**Student Demographics**

	<b>2012 - 2013</b>	<b>2013 - 2014</b>	<b>2014 - 2015</b>
% English Language Learners	24%	22%	16%
% Free Lunch Eligible	88%	88%	88%
% Student with IEPs	21%	20%	17%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	11%	6%	4%
% HRA Eligible	-	65%	67%
% Temporary Housing	-	8%	9%
% Asian	24%	25%	26%
% Black	20%	22%	21%
% Hispanic	47%	46%	46%
% White	8%	7%	7%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES  
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

30Q112  
P.S. 112 Dutch Kills

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
<b>Meeting Target</b>	<b>3.15</b>

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
<b>State Test Results - ELA</b>									
Average Student Proficiency	207	2.39	2.03	2.27	2.42	2.59	2.85	2.80	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	207	20.8%	6.2%	16.4%	23.2%	30.9%	42.3%	2.65	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	122	57.5	49.5	56.3	62.1	66.1	76.0	2.21	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	44	70.0	58.8	66.7	73.5	78.3	89.7	2.49	9.09%
● Early Grade Progress	76	2.34	0.65	1.30	1.86	2.25	3.19	4.10	9.09%
<b>State Test Results - Math</b>									
Average Student Proficiency	209	2.62	2.01	2.35	2.59	2.85	3.23	3.12	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	209	34.0%	4.1%	19.4%	30.1%	42.4%	58.6%	3.32	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	126	59.5	40.8	51.3	60.4	66.8	82.0	2.90	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	44	66.0	56.2	64.7	71.8	76.8	88.8	2.18	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	77	2.51	0.28	1.40	2.38	3.06	4.68	3.19	9.09%
● MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	70	93.1%	71.2%	79.4%	85.2%	91.8%	100.0%	4.16	9.09%
								<b>Weighted Average Score</b>	<b>3.01</b>

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
<b>ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4</b>												
○ Self-Contained	19	9.2%	45.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
○ Integrated Co-Teaching	22	10.6%	53.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	1	0.5%	4.8%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
<b>Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4</b>												
Self-Contained	20	9.6%	47.8%	5.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.91	0.030	0.014
Integrated Co-Teaching	22	10.5%	53.6%	18.2%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.96	0.030	0.022
SETSS	1	0.5%	4.8%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
<b>ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile</b>												
○ ELL	29	23.8%	50.9%	20.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	1.60	0.030	0.005
Lowest Third Citywide	51	41.8%	60.2%	43.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.52	0.030	0.011
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	29	23.8%	60.3%	37.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.18	0.030	0.009
SC/ICT/SETSS	33	27.0%	66.2%	39.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.45	0.030	0.011
<b>Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile</b>												
● ELL	33	26.2%	53.8%	45.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.04	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	46	36.5%	50.1%	32.6%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.01	0.030	0.008
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	19.0%	49.5%	29.2%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	1.98	0.030	0.007
SC/ICT/SETSS	33	26.2%	64.8%	39.4%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.91	0.030	0.014
ELL Progress	71	15.7%	39.8%	52.1%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.71	0.030	0.013
											<b>CtAG Additional Points</b>	<b>0.14</b>
											<b>Overall Student Achievement Score</b>	<b>3.15</b>

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.80	34%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.52</b>	

<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	3.80	50%
<b>Section Rating: Exceeding Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>4.40</b>	

<b>Supportive Environment</b>			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	93%	3.80	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	79.9%	3.12	
HS			
Overall	79.9%	3.12	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.17	2.27	
HS			
Overall	0.17	2.27	5%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.88</b>	

<b>Effective School Leadership</b>			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.52	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.52</b>	

<b>Strong Family-Community Ties</b>			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.52	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.52</b>	

<b>Trust</b>			
NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	3.88	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.88</b>	

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>							
• Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.84	4.36
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.66	3.64
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.60	3.40
<b>Section Results:</b>		93%					<b>3.80</b>
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.83	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.78	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
• Cultural awareness	Combined	97				0.81	4.24
• Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.91	4.64
Quality of professional development	Teachers	87	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.72	3.88
School commitment	Teachers	88	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.70	3.80
Innovation	Teachers	84	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.45	2.80
• Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
• Peer collaboration	Teachers	96	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.84	4.36
• Focus on student learning	Teachers	92	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.75	4.00
Collective responsibility	Teachers	80	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.40	2.60
<b>Section Results:</b>		91%					<b>3.80</b>
<b>Supportive Environment</b>							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	95	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.75	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	81	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.44	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	81				0.44	2.76
• Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	95	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.80	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
• Press toward academic achievement	Combined	95				0.80	4.20
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	90	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.57	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	92				0.53	3.12
<b>Section Results:</b>		93%					<b>3.80</b>

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
<b>Effective School Leadership</b>							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.68	3.72
Teacher influence	Teachers	63	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.53	3.12
Program coherence	Teachers	88	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.71	3.84
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	85	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.60	3.40
<b>Section Results:</b>		82%					<b>3.52</b>
<b>Strong Family Community Ties</b>							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	96	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.51	3.04
<b>Section Results:</b>		86%					<b>3.52</b>
<b>Trust</b>							
• Parent-teacher trust	Parents	98	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.76	4.04
• Parent-principal trust	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.88	4.52
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	83	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.61	3.44
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.64	3.56
<b>Section Results:</b>		93%					<b>3.88</b>

**2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES**  
**Targets for 2015-16**

30Q112  
P.S. 112 Dutch Kills

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>State Test Results - ELA*</b>					
Average Student Proficiency	2.39	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.45	2.46 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.90	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.07	2.08 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.8%	14.8% or lower	14.9% to 20.3%	20.4% to 24.5%	24.6% or higher
<b>State Test Results - Math*</b>					
Average Student Proficiency	2.62	2.40 or lower	2.41 to 2.55	2.56 to 2.67	2.68 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.94	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	34.0%	22.4% or lower	22.5% to 29.6%	29.7% to 35.1%	35.2% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.1%	85.5% or lower	85.6% to 89.1%	89.2% to 91.9%	92.0% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>ELA - Average Proficiency Rating</b>					
Self-Contained	1.84	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.04	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
SETSS		1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
ELL	2.32	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.31	2.32 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
<b>Math - Average Proficiency Rating</b>					
Self-Contained	1.98	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.36	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.32	2.33 or higher
SETSS		1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.25	2.26 or higher
ELL	2.70	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.58	2.59 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
ELL Progress	52.1%	40.6% or lower	40.7% to 50.6%	50.7% to 58.1%	58.2% or higher

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	79.9%	72.3% or lower	72.4% to 79.1%	79.2% to 84.3%	84.4% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.17	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher