
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Priority

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

P.S. 328 Phyllis Wheatley

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	53	49	42
Grade 1	53	50	51
Grade 2	55	53	43
Grade 3	42	62	36
Grade 4	49	42	54
Grade 5	65	46	38
Grade 7	52	2	-
Grade 8	37	50	-
All students	441	385	288

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	17%	15%	16%
% Free Lunch Eligible	97%	97%	96%
% Student with IEPs	14%	22%	25%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	10%	13%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	85%	81%
% Temporary Housing	-	36%	40%
% Asian	1%	1%	2%
% Black	56%	56%	58%
% Hispanic	42%	40%	36%
% White	1%	2%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

19K328 P.S. 328 Phyllis Wheatley

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.13

		2014-15 Targets								
	Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
	State Test Results - ELA									
0	Average Student Proficiency	119	2.11	1.98	2.16	2.30	2.40	2.68	1.72	10.00%
0	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	119	5.0%	1.9%	9.4%	15.3%	20.0%	31.5%	1.41	10.00%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	80	64.5	51.2	57.5	62.9	67.2	76.0	3.37	10.00%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	27	85.0	66.8	72.9	77.7	81.4	89.2	4.46	10.00%
	Early Grade Progress	30	1.01	0.20	0.93	1.55	2.05	3.09	2.13	10.00%
	State Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	123	2.22	1.90	2.15	2.33	2.48	2.84	2.39	10.00%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	123	14.6%	0.1%	9.9%	18.0%	24.4%	38.9%	2.58	10.00%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	84	74.0	46.3	53.7	60.0	64.9	75.3	4.88	10.00%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	30	80.5	60.9	67.6	73.2	77.5	86.7	4.33	10.00%
	Early Grade Progress	33	1.29	0.08	1.10	1.98	2.66	4.13	2.22	10.00%
	MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	40	79.8%							0.00%
									Weighted Average Score	2.95

							04.4.5. T	-				
							014-15 Target		<u>-</u>			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		i opulation /s	or numbe		ranger name	.u.get	141.500	14.600	ranger mange	Wictile Score	1 0001210	Lamou
O Self-Contained	17	14.3%	70.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	1	0.8%	4.1%		0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	15	12.6%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	17	13.8%	68.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	1	0.8%	4.1%		0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	16	13.0%	100.0%	6.3%	0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%	2.06	0.030	0.008
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	18	22.5%	48.1%	33.3%	14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%	2.60	0.030	0.012
Lowest Third Citywide	52	65.0%	94.9%	50.0%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%	3.16	0.030	0.016
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	29	36.3%	91.9%	51.7%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%	3.48	0.030	0.019
• SC/ICT/SETSS	22	27.5%	67.6%	63.6%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	4.37	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	20	23.8%	48.9%	35.0%	13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%	3.03	0.030	0.015
Lowest Third Citywide	60	71.4%	98.1%	55.0%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%	3.95	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	27	32.1%	83.6%	55.6%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%	3.95	0.030	0.022
• SC/ICT/SETSS	23	27.4%	68.3%	56.5%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%	4.32	0.030	0.025
ELL Progress	41	15.6%	39.6%	51.2%	24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%	3.08	0.030	0.016
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.18
Overall Student Achievement Sco						vement Score	3.13					

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 328 Phyllis Wheatley

19K328

Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 91% 3.76 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Section Rating: Approaching Target Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.6% 1.00 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 48.6% 1.00 30% NYC School Survey - Environment 5 48.6% 1.00 30% NYC School Survey - Environment 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1	orous Instruction			
Developing 2.00 22%	Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 85% 2.24 34%	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.40 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Company	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	85%	2.24	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Developing 2.00 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 91% 3.76 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.40	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 91% 3.76 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.6% 1.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.45 3.88 5% Overall 0.45 3.88 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.52 Feetive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.52 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Forgs Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12				
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 91% 3.76 50% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.6% 1.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.45 3.88 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.52 Over Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12	llaborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.88 pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.6% 1.00 HS Overall 48.6% 1.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 1.045 3.88 HS Overall 0.45 3.88 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 TONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12	·	Developing	2.00	50%
Proportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.6% 1.00 HS Overall 48.6% 1.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.45 3.88 HS Overall 0.45 3.88 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Cong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	3.76	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 48.6% 1.00 48.6% 1.00 30% Overall 48.6% 1.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 9.45 3.88 5% Overall 9.45 5	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	pportive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.64 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 48.6% 1.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.45 3.88 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.52 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12		Proficient	3.40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 48.6% 1.00 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.45 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Cective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%	·			
EMS HS Overall 48.6% 1.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.45 3.88 HS Overall 0.45 3.88 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%			-	32.1
Overall 48.6% 1.00 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.45 3.88 HS Overall 0.45 3.88 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.52 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 FONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target 92% 3.56 100%	-	48.6%	1.00	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS EMS Overall O.45 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: Section Score:	HS			
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%		48.6%	1.00	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.45 3.88 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 cong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%				
Overall 0.45 3.88 5% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.52 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target 92% 3.56 100%		0.45	3.88	
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.80 Section School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12	HS			
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12	Overall	0.45	3.88	5%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.80	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84% 3.52 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.52 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%	active School Landership			
rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%	-	84%	3.52	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 UST NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 84% 3.12 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 Just NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.12 ust NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
ust NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	3.12	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.56 100%				
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.56	NYC School Survey - Trust	92%	3.56	100%
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	



P.S. 328 Phyllis Wheatley

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		•	•	, 0		· ·	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	91	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	82	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.00	1.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	82	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.43	2.72
Section Results:		85%					2.24
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.86	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	٥٢	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.00	2.72
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	04.2	04.6	400.0	0.68	3.72
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.78	4.12
Quality of professional development	Teachers	79	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.56	3.24
School commitment	Teachers	89	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.73	3.92
Innovation	Teachers	90	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.65	3.60
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
Focus on student learning	Teachers	94	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.80	4.20
Collective responsibility	Teachers	81	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.45	2.80
Section Results:		91%					3.76
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	88	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.40	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	88				0.40	2.60
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	89	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.67	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	89				0.67	3.68
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	94	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.75	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
 Press toward academic achievement 	Combined	94				0.75	4.00
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	94	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.74	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	87	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2	0.20	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	90	3011	. 0.0	J. 15	0.49	2.96
Section Results:	Combined	92%				0.15	3.64
Jection Regults.		JZ/0					J.U -1

P.S. 328 Phyllis Wheatley

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Effective School Leadership Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	86	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.34	2.36
Teacher influence	Teachers	65	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.57	3.28
Program coherence	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.77	4.08
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	94	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.84	4.36
Section Results:	reactions	84%	01.0	07.0	100.0	0.01	3.52
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.81	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.65	3.60
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	74	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.41	2.64
Section Results:		84%					3.12
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	92	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.54	3.16
Student-teacher trust	Students	<i>J2</i>	64.6	85.2	100.0	0.51	3.10
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	91	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.80	4.20
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:	. cachers	92%	, 111	30.3	200.0	0.72	3.56
		52,0					2.00

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.11	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.22	2.23 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.83	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	5.0%	6.8% or lower	6.9% to 10.7%	10.8% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.22	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.33	2.34 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.83	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	14.6%	9.2% or lower	9.3% to 14.5%	14.6% to 18.5%	18.6% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	79.8%	83.9% or lower	84.0% to 88.0%	88.1% to 91.1%	91.2% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.90	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
SETSS	1.88	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
ELL	1.85	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.89	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.85 or lower	1.86 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
SETSS	2.00	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.16	2.17 or higher		
ELL	1.87	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.23	2.24 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	51.2%	43.7% or lower	43.8% to 53.7%	53.8% to 61.3%	61.4% or highe		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	48.6%	53.8% or lower	53.9% to 60.6%	60.7% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.45	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.32	0.33 or higher			