2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target		2	.88	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.08	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.72		
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.72		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target			2.92	
Trust	Meeting Target			3.08	
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3	3.90
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	94	105	109
Grade 1	112	100	114
Grade 2	108	113	99
Grade 3	117	109	105
Grade 4	93	103	112
Grade 5	101	102	105
All students	661	668	713

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	22%	20%	18%
% Free Lunch Eligible	93%	93%	95%
% Student with IEPs	24%	25%	24%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	10%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	80%	78%
% Temporary Housing	-	26%	29%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	30%	30%	28%
% Hispanic	68%	68%	70%
% White	1%	1%	0%
% Other	0%	1%	1%



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.90									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		313	2.19	1.88	2.09	2.23	2.39	2.67	2.71	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		313	11.2%	0.0%	8.2%	14.0%	20.7%	32.8%	2.52	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		200	65.5	48.7	56.4	63.1	67.8	78.9	3.51	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowes 	t Third	73	84.0	62.0	70.0	76.9	81.7	92.9	4.21	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		104	2.20	0.21	0.93	1.57	2.01	3.08	4.18	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		316	2.45	1.88	2.16	2.35	2.58	2.95	3.43	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		316	25.0%	0.0%	11.1%	19.1%	28.2%	43.5%	3.65	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		202	72.0	40.6	51.5	60.9	67.6	83.3	4.28	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowes 	t Third	70	80.5	57.7	66.8	74.5	79.9	92.7	4.05	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		104	3.21	0.02	1.12	2.07	2.74	4.35	4.29	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		96	87.8%	71.3%	79.5%	85.3%	91.8%	100.0%	3.38	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.66

						2	2014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	42	13.4%	66.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	22	7.0%	35.5%	4.5%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.29	0.030	0.010
• SETSS	10	3.2%	30.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	42	13.3%	66.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	22	7.0%	35.7%	9.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.33	0.030	0.010
• SETSS	11	3.5%	33.7%	27.3%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.91	0.030	0.029
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	47	23.5%	50.2%	51.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.20	0.030	0.024
Lowest Third Citywide	116	58.0%	84.4%	56.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.08	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	54	27.0%	68.4%	59.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.17	0.030	0.024
• SC/ICT/SETSS	51	25.5%	61.9%	70.6%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.70	0.030	0.028
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	50	24.8%	50.9%	52.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.29	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	109	54.0%	74.2%	54.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.04	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	48	23.8%	62.0%	54.2%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.05	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	51	25.2%	62.0%	51.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.97	0.030	0.022
O ELL Progress	114	17.7%	44.9%	35.1%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.27	0.030	0.002
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.24
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.90

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
07X049
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	87%	2.76	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
aborative Teachers		2.40	500/
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	83%	2.76	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	81%	1.84	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	69.8%	3.00	
HS			
Overall	69.8%	3.00	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.27	3.20	
HS			
Overall	0.27	3.20	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
ctive School Leadership	7.07	2.72	4000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	74%	2.72	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	2.92	100%
Soction Dating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
st	0521	2.02	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	85%	3.08	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
		0.00	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
07X049
```

		City Range						
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigo	rous Instruction							
•	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	89	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.33	2.32
	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
0	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	76	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.24	1.96
Secti	on Results:		87%					2.76
Colla	aborative Teachers							
	Cultural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	90	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
	Cultural awareness	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
	Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	89	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.29	2.16
	Quality of professional development	Teachers	73	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.44	2.76
0	School commitment	Teachers	64	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.09	1.36
	Innovation	Teachers	79	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.29	2.16
•	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
	Peer collaboration	Teachers	90	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.55	3.20
	Focus on student learning	Teachers	89	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.64	3.56
	Collective responsibility	Teachers	74	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.25	2.00
Secti	on Results:		83%					2.76
	oortive Environment Safety:							
	Safety	Teachers	79	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
0	Safety	Combined	79				0.00	1.00
	Classroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	67	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.01	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
0	Classroom behavior	Combined	67				0.01	1.04
•	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	Next-level guidance	Students						
	Press toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	75	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.00	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
0	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	75				0.00	1.00
	Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
	Peer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	79	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.11	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	86				0.30	2.20
Secti	on Results:		81%					1.84

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
07X049
```

			City Range			
	Survey % Po	sitive Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership						
Inclusive principal leadership Pa	irents 88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.42	2.68
Teacher influence Te	eachers 47	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.28	2.12
Program coherence Te	achers 81	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.52	3.08
Principal instructional leadership Te	achers 80	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.48	2.92
Section Results:	74%					2.72
Strong Family Community Ties						
Teacher outreach to parents:						
Teacher outreach to parents Te	eachers 95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents Pa	irents 93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents Co	ombined 94				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools Pa	irents 72	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.33	2.32
Section Results:	83%					2.92
Trust						
Parent-teacher trust Pa	irents 95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust Pa	irents 95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust St	udents	64.6	85.2	100.0		
• Teacher-principal trust Te	achers 67	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.24	1.96
Teacher-teacher trust Te	achers 83	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.33	2.32
Section Results:	85%					3.08

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.19	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.30	2.31 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.85	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	11.2%	8.9% or lower	9.0% to 14.0%	14.1% to 17.9%	18.0% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.45	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.49	2.50 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.88	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	25.0%	15.0% or lower	15.1% to 22.3%	22.4% to 27.8%	27.9% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	87.8%	84.4% or lower	84.5% to 88.4%	88.5% to 91.3%	91.4% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.76	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.07	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
SETSS	2.01	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
ELL	2.13	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.90	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.85	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.82	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.16	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher		
SETSS	2.39	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher		
ELL	2.46	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.38	2.39 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
ELL Progress	35.1%	37.5% or lower	37.6% to 47.5%	47.6% to 55.0%	55.1% or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	69.8%	65.2% or lower	65.3% to 72.1%	72.2% to 77.2%	77.3% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.27	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher