## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Approaching Target		2.48		
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Meeting Target			3.48	
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.32	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.20	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.60	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.20
Student Achievement	Approaching Target			2.96	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	89	88	94
Grade 1	73	85	89
Grade 2	89	72	85
Grade 3	72	88	78
Grade 4	89	63	97
Grade 5	112	84	58
All students	557	516	531

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	27%	22%	25%
% Free Lunch Eligible	77%	77%	77%
% Student with IEPs	21%	22%	25%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	9%	11%
% HRA Eligible	-	64%	59%
% Temporary Housing	-	8%	9%
% Asian	20%	18%	15%
% Black	9%	11%	12%
% Hispanic	59%	59%	59%
% White	11%	11%	12%
% Other	0%	2%	1%

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.96									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		220	2.36	2.00	2.24	2.40	2.59	2.86	2.75	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		220	21.8%	4.1%	14.9%	22.4%	30.9%	43.0%	2.92	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		139	58.0	50.1	56.9	62.8	66.9	76.7	2.19	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		55	56.0	60.3	68.0	74.7	79.3	90.3	1.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		72	1.67	0.56	1.24	1.83	2.24	3.24	2.73	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		224	2.51	1.98	2.32	2.56	2.83	3.21	2.79	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		224	28.1%	2.9%	18.0%	28.7%	40.8%	57.0%	2.94	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile</li> </ul>		138	71.5	40.1	51.1	60.6	67.3	83.1	4.27	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		47	72.0	56.7	65.2	72.3	77.3	89.2	2.96	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		72	1.59	0.32	1.39	2.31	2.95	4.50	2.22	9.09%
<ul> <li>MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students</li> </ul>		80	93.0%	71.0%	79.3%	85.1%	91.7%	100.0%	4.16	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.81

						2	014-15 Target	5				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	27	12.3%	60.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	22	10.0%	50.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• SETSS	6	2.7%	25.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	27	12.1%	60.2%	3.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.35	0.030	0.010
• Integrated Co-Teaching	22	9.8%	50.0%	4.5%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.63	0.030	0.005
SETSS	6	2.7%	26.0%	16.7%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.98	0.030	0.022
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
O ELL	45	32.4%	69.2%	24.4%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	1.88	0.030	0.007
• Lowest Third Citywide	66	47.5%	68.7%	34.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.63	0.030	0.005
• Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	39	28.1%	71.1%	35.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.98	0.030	0.007
• SC/ICT/SETSS	40	28.8%	71.3%	27.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.43	0.030	0.003
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	46	33.3%	68.4%	32.6%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.92	0.030	0.014
Lowest Third Citywide	60	43.5%	59.8%	41.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.89	0.030	0.014
<ul> <li>Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide</li> </ul>	32	23.2%	60.4%	53.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.01	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	40	29.0%	72.9%	42.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.19	0.030	0.016
ELL Progress	128	25.6%	65.0%	69.5%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.18	0.030	0.024
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.15
									Overa	Il Student Achie	vement Score	2.96

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
30Q017
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.44	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.48	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	90%	3.52	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	90%	3.24	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	80.5%	3.32	
HS			
Overall	80.5%	3.32	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.26	3.10	
HS			
Overall	0.26	3.10	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	78%	3.20	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	88%	3.60	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.20	100%
Section Dating: Exceeding Target	Contine Conner	4.20	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
30Q017
```

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in literacy</li> </ul>	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.82	4.28
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.58	3.32
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	82	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		91%					3.44
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.80	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	97				0.77	4.08
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.56	3.24
Quality of professional development	Teachers	78	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.54	3.16
School commitment	Teachers	95	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.88	4.52
Innovation	Teachers	84	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.47	2.88
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	92	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.64	3.56
<ul> <li>Focus on student learning</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Collective responsibility	Teachers	81	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.46	2.84
Section Results:		90%					3.52
Supportive Environment Safety:					100.0		
Safety	Teachers	95	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.77	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	95				0.77	4.08
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	87	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.61	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	87				0.61	3.44
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	94	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achieveme		79	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.14	
Press toward academic achieveme			85.3	91.9	98.5		
• Press toward academic achieveme		79				0.14	1.56
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	95	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.80	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students	a -	50.4	73.8	97.2	0 = 2	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	95				0.78	4.12
Section Results:		90%					3.24

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
30Q017
```

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.60	3.40
Teacher influence	Teachers	47	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.29	2.16
Program coherence	Teachers	86	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.66	3.64
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	87	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.65	3.60
Section Results:		78%					3.20
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.61	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.68	3.72
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	80	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.62	3.48
Section Results:		88%					3.60
Trust							
<ul> <li>Parent-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
<ul> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	90	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.77	4.08
<ul> <li>Teacher-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.94	4.76
Section Results:		95%					4.20

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.36	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.41	2.42 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.80	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	21.8%	14.2% or lower	14.3% to 19.7%	19.8% to 23.8%	23.9% or highe
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.51	2.35 or lower	2.36 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.62	2.63 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	28.1%	21.4% or lower	21.5% to 28.6%	28.7% to 34.1%	34.2% or highe
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.0%	85.2% or lower	85.3% to 89.0%	89.1% to 91.8%	91.9% or highe
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.65	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.86	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
SETSS	1.85	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher
ELL	2.15	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.25	2.26 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.89	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.11	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.31	2.32 or higher
SETSS	2.08	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
ELL	2.29	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.49	2.50 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	69.5%	39.2% or lower	39.3% to 49.2%	49.3% to 56.7%	56.8% or highe

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	80.5%	71.8% or lower	71.9% to 78.6%	78.7% to 83.7%	83.8% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.26	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher		