



ERIC L. ADAMS  
MAYOR

**CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD**  
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235  
[www.nyc.gov/ccrb](http://www.nyc.gov/ccrb)



DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID  
INTERIM CHAIR

**Executive Director's Monthly Report**  
**April 2025**  
**(Statistics for March 2025)**

## Contents

Executive Summary	2
Glossary	3
Complaints Received	4
CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct	5
Allegations Received	7
CCRB Docket	10
Body Worn Camera Footage Requests	12
Closed Cases	14
Dispositions / Case Abstracts	15
Dispositions - Full Investigations	17
Dispositions - All CCRB Cases	18
Dispositions - Allegations	19
Substantiation Rates	23
Substantiation Rates and Video	24
Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers	25
Complaints by PSA	26
Mediation Unit	28
Administrative Prosecution Unit	30
NYPD Discipline	31
List of Substantiated Allegations	39

# Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for March 2025 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 46% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 64% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In March, the CCRB opened 462 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 2,963 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 49% of its fully investigated cases in March (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 41% of the cases it closed in March (page 14).
- 4) For March, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 50% of cases - compared to 33% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 39-48).
- 6) In March the Police Commissioner finalized 11 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 3 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 1 trial was conducted against respondent officers in March.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

# Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

**Allegation:** An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

**APU:** The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

**Board Panel:** The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

**Case/Complaint:** For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

**Disposition:** The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

**FADO:** Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

**FADO&U:** A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

**Intake:** CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

**Investigation:** CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

**Mediation:** A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

**Unable to Investigate:** A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”


**Withdrawn:** When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

**Closed Pending Litigation:** When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is "Closed Pending Litigation."


# Complaints Received

The CCRB’s Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB’s jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In March 2025, the CCRB initiated 462 new complaints.


**Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2024 - March 2025)**



**Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2024 - March 2025)**



**Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2025)**



## CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (March 2025)





Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2025)



**Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (March 2025)**


NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints	NYPD Precinct of Occurrence*	Number of Complaints
0	1	67	16
1	7	68	3
5	7	69	3
6	2	70	3
7	2	71	4
9	1	72	5
10	1	73	13
13	5	75	21
14	10	76	2
17	2	77	8
18	5	78	9
19	2	79	4
20	2	81	4
22	1	83	9
23	5	84	6
24	2	88	3
25	5	90	8
26	4	100	2
28	6	101	5
30	4	102	5
32	6	103	4
33	2	104	6
34	10	105	2
40	18	106	4
41	9	107	3
42	7	108	2
43	12	109	3
44	14	110	3
45	4	111	1
46	10	112	2
47	12	113	5
48	10	114	9
49	6	115	3
50	4	116	8
52	4	120	6
60	8	121	6
61	5	122	4
62	1	123	3
63	2	Unknown	37

\*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

# Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

**Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (March 2024 vs. March 2025)**




\*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

**Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)**

	March 2024		March 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	249	51%	228	49%	-21	-8%
Abuse of Authority (A)	372	77%	308	67%	-64	-17%
Discourtesy (D)	124	26%	86	19%	-38	-31%
Offensive Language (O)	30	6%	19	4%	-11	-37%
Total FADO Allegations	775		641		-134	-17%
Total Complaints	486		462		-24	-5%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

**Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2024 vs. YTD 2025)**



\*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

**Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)**

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Complaints	Count	% of Total Complaints		
Force (F)	664	48%	675	49%	11	2%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1069	77%	991	72%	-78	-7%
Discourtesy (D)	376	27%	309	23%	-67	-18%
Offensive Language (O)	101	7%	74	5%	-27	-27%
Total FADO Allegations	2210		2049		-161	-7%
Total Complaints	1393		1371		-22	-2%

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

**Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)**

	March 2024		March 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	662	30%	505	36%	-157	-24%
Abuse of Authority (A)	1302	60%	788	55%	-514	-39%
Discourtesy (D)	179	8%	106	7%	-73	-41%
Offensive Language (O)	42	2%	23	2%	-19	-45%
Total Allegations	2185		1422		-763	-35%
Total Complaints	486		462		-24	-5%

**Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)**

	YTD 2024		YTD 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Force (F)	1780	28%	1644	32%	-136	-8%
Abuse of Authority (A)	3946	61%	3026	58%	-920	-23%
Discourtesy (D)	584	9%	428	8%	-156	-27%
Offensive Language (O)	149	2%	113	2%	-36	-24%
Total Allegations	6459		5211		-1248	-19%
Total Complaints	1393		1371		-22	-2%

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

# CCRB Docket

As of the end of March 2025, 46% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 64% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

**Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (March 2025)**

Case Age Group	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1352	45.8%
Cases 5-7 Months	525	17.8%
Cases 8-11 Months	641	21.7%
Cases 12-18 Months*	425	14.4%
Cases Over 18 Months**	8	0.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2951</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*12-18 Months: 10 cases that were reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

\*\*Over18 Months: 0 cases that were reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold; 2 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (March 2025)**


	Count	% of Total
Cases 0-4 Months	1220	41.3%
Cases 5-7 Months	543	18.4%
Cases 8-11 Months	685	23.2%
Cases 12-18 Months*	489	16.6%
Cases Over 18 Months**	14	0.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2951</b>	<b>100%</b>

\*12-18 Months: 11 cases that were reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.


\*\*Over18 Months: 1 case that was reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold; 2 cases that were on FID Hold.

*An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.*

**Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2024 - March 2025)**



**Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis**



**Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change**


	February 2025		March 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total		
Investigations	1475	50%	1467	50%	-8	-1%
Pending Board Review	1448	49%	1484	50%	36	2%
Mediation	16	1%	7	0%	-9	-56%
On DA / FID Hold	5	0%	5	0%	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2944</b>		<b>2963</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>1%</b>

# Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.


**Figure 18: Average Days To Recieve Positive Return on BWC Requests (January 2024 - March 2025)**




**Figure 19: Pending Requests for BWC Footage**

Days Pending	BWC Requests	% of Total
00 <= Days < 30	102	63.4%
30 <= Days < 60	25	15.5%
60 <= Days < 90	9	5.6%
90 >= Days	25	15.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Figure 20: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests (January 2024 - March 2025)**




**Figure 21: Fulfilled BWC Requests  
(January 2024 - March 2025)**



# Closed Cases

In March 2025, the CCRB fully investigated 41% of the cases it closed.

Figure 22: Case Resolutions (January 2024 - March 2025) (%)



## Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.\*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.\*\*
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

## Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

### 1. Substantiated

An individual stated that he was experiencing a mental health crisis and hid in a neighbor's backyard from police looking for him. He eventually came out of hiding. Subject officer 1 proceeded to punch the individual several times in his face. The subject officers also did not properly activate their BWCs during the incident. The incident was partially captured on BWC. It showed the individual was standing on a patio when subject officer 1 grabbed him and the individual punched subject officer 1 once in the head. Subject officer 1 responded by punching the individual in his face while subject officer 2 tried to maintain a hold on him. The individual fell to the ground wherein subject officer 1 used his body weight to hold the individual down and continued to punch him in the face several times. The investigation found that both subject officers significantly outweighed the individual, were both aware that the individual was experiencing a mental health crisis, and after the initial first punches from each party, the individual no longer actively resisted but was still subjected to repeated punches by subject officer 1. The investigation also found that subject officer 1 deactivated his BWC after conferring with supervisors during the initial search for the individual; subject officer 2 only activated his BWC after he had entered the individual's home during the search. The Board substantiated the Use of Force and Abuse of Authority allegations.

### 2. Unable to Determine

An individual stated that she and two friends tried to go through a turnstile at a subway station. One friend turned back to tap his payment card so that she could go through, but it didn't work. Her other friend went to purchase a MetroCard while she waited by the turnstiles with the friend who was standing on the other side of the turnstile. The subject officer and another officer who were nearby approached them. The subject officer asked why the individual was looking at them and why she was trying to evade the fare – he spoke in English. The individual responded in Spanish, and he responded in English “I will look at you however I want to bitch. The individual's friend told the officer that she only spoke Spanish. In Spanish the subject officer called the individual “stupid”. The incident was only partially captured on BWC. It showed the individual and her friend by the turnstiles speaking to the officer – the audio only came on as the subject officer told the individual to leave the station in Spanish. The other officer did not speak Spanish and stated the entire dialogue was in Spanish. The subject officer denied using any profanity or calling the individual stupid. Without any independent witnesses or audio footage, the investigation could not determine if the subject officer spoke discourteously or

used offensive language towards the individual. The Board closed the Discourtesy and Offensive Language allegations as Unable to Determine.

### **3. Unfounded**

An individual stated that while she was being arrested, she saw subject officer 1 push a bystander and prevent him from recording and that as she was being escorted outside, she asked to change her clothes and subject officer 2 responded “no, fuck that. She’s going just like this”. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed that subject officer 1 stuck his arm out to prevent a bystander from getting too close to him and told the individual that he could continue recording as long as he maintained a reasonable distance from the officers. It showed subject officer 2 denying the individual’s request to change clothes without the use of any profanity towards the individual. The Board closed the Use of Force and Discourtesy allegations as Unfounded.

### **4. Within NYPD Guidelines**

An individual stated that he refused to allow the DOB inspectors to enforce a stop work order on his building and FDNY and several officers responded to the location. He stated that subject officer 1 pushed him several times when he tried to join a conversation and that the subject officer 1 and 2 entered the property. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed the individual trying to physically interrupt the conversation between the officers and the DOB inspectors who were on site to enforce a stop work order. Subject officer 1 told him that it was a private conversation as he stood approximately two feet away. Subject officer 1 instructed the individual to move back at least three times for officer safety and when the individual did not move, subject officer 1 placed a hand on his chest and pushed him back approximately three feet and told him this distance was sufficient. There were no additional pushes. Subject officer 1 and 2 also entered the property after FDNY opened it to allow the DOB inspectors inside. The investigation found that subject officer 1 used the minimal amount of force after the individual refused multiple directives to stand back. The investigation also found that the stop work order authorized officers to assist the DOB inspectors to carry out their work and maintain peace - their entry was an administrative function governed by the stop work order. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority and Use of Force allegations as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

### **5. Officer Unidentified**

An individual stated that she was collecting bottles when she and two civilians got into a fight. One of the civilians bit her on the shoulder and they ran off when she called 911. Officers responded to the location and one of them called her crazy. The individual requested an ambulance to treat her shoulder. The ambulance arrived, and she was pushed to it by an officer, causing her to break a toenail. While in the ambulance, an officer called her a “crazy bitch” and that same day an officer called her and told her that he would kill her. The individual gave a physical description of the officers. The investigation found that there were no ambulance dispatches to the incident location, nor any NYPD records of a 911 call, nor any records of any NYPD vehicles being in the vicinity of the incident location. Without additional pertinent information, the investigation could not identify the subject officers. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Force, and Offensive Language allegations as Officer Unidentified.

\* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

\*\* Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

## Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 23: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (March 2025)





Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2025)



## Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

**Figure 25: Disposition of Cases (2024 vs 2025)**

	Mar 2024		Mar 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
<b>Full Investigations</b>								
Substantiated	69	33%	91	49%	201	36%	296	51%
Within NYPD Guidelines	36	17%	24	13%	83	15%	70	12%
Unfounded	44	21%	40	22%	113	20%	94	16%
Unable to Determine	55	27%	24	13%	117	21%	108	18%
MOS Unidentified	3	1%	5	3%	39	7%	17	3%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>207</b>		<b>184</b>		<b>553</b>		<b>585</b>	
<b>Mediation Closures</b>	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Mediated	3	100%	8	100%	14	100%	10	100%
<b>Total - Mediation Closures</b>	<b>3</b>		<b>8</b>		<b>14</b>		<b>10</b>	
<b>Unable to Investigate / Other Closures</b>	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total	Count	% of Total
Complaint Withdrawn	21	11%	15	6%	79	8%	53	7%
Closed - Pending Litigation	33	18%	42	16%	134	14%	129	16%
Unable to Investigate*	127	68%	193	75%	756	77%	600	75%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	5	3%	3	1%	14	1%	9	1%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	4	2%	0	0%	4	1%
<b>Total - Other Case Dispositions</b>	<b>186</b>		<b>257</b>		<b>983</b>		<b>795</b>	
<b>Total - Closed Cases</b>	<b>396</b>		<b>449</b>		<b>1550</b>		<b>1390</b>	

\*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

\*\*Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

\*\*\*Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

## Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

**Figure 26: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2024 vs 2025)**

	Mar 2024		Mar 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%of Total						
<b>Fully Investigated Allegations</b>								
Substantiated	248	23%	275	22%	697	24%	939	23%
Unable to Determine	246	22%	221	17%	507	18%	753	18%
Unfounded	237	22%	209	17%	560	19%	743	18%
Within NYPD Guidelines	336	31%	484	38%	920	32%	1496	36%
MOS Unidentified	32	3%	76	6%	204	7%	228	5%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>1099</b>		<b>1265</b>		<b>2888</b>		<b>4159</b>	
<b>Mediation Closures</b>								
Mediated	12	100%	32	100%	40	100%	36	100%
<b>Total - Mediation Closures</b>	<b>12</b>		<b>32</b>		<b>40</b>		<b>36</b>	
<b>Unable to Investigate / Other Closures</b>								
Complaint Withdrawn	47	9%	70	10%	217	8%	183	8%
Unable to Investigate*	310	61%	434	61%	1790	70%	1386	62%
Closed - Pending Litigation	102	20%	145	20%	419	16%	465	21%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	53	10%	61	9%	128	5%	209	9%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	7	1%	0	0%	7	0%
<b>Total - Other Case Dispositions</b>	<b>512</b>		<b>717</b>		<b>2554</b>		<b>2250</b>	
<b>Total - Closed Allegations</b>	<b>1623</b>		<b>2014</b>		<b>5482</b>		<b>6445</b>	

\*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

\*\*Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as “Miscellaneous” closures.

\*\*\*Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

**Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (March 2025)**

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	18 6%	34 12%	159 55%	66 23%	12 4%	289 100%
Abuse of Authority	230 28%	144 17%	304 37%	108 13%	40 5%	826 100%
Discourtesy	23 21%	26 23%	20 18%	27 24%	16 14%	112 100%
Offensive Language	4 11%	17 45%	1 3%	8 21%	8 21%	38 100%
Total	275 22%	221 17%	484 38%	209 17%	76 6%	1265 100%

**Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2025)**

	Substantiated	Unable to Determine	Within NYPD Guidelines	Unfounded	Officers Unidentified	Total
Force	53 5%	115 12%	539 55%	238 24%	28 3%	973 100%
Abuse of Authority	784 29%	519 19%	882 32%	397 15%	151 6%	2733 100%
Discourtesy	89 24%	84 23%	73 20%	83 23%	35 10%	364 100%
Offensive Language	13 15%	35 39%	2 2%	25 28%	14 16%	89 100%
Total	939 23%	753 18%	1496 36%	743 18%	228 5%	4159 100%

## Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

**Figure 29: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change**

Untruthful Statement Allegations	March 2024		March 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	4	80%	3	100%	-1	-25%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	1	20%	0	0%	-1	-100%
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>5</b>		<b>3</b>		<b>-2</b>	<b>-40%</b>

**Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change**

Untruthful Statement Allegations	YTD 2024		YTD 2025			
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations	Change	% Change
False official statement	5	63%	4	100%	-1	-20%
Impeding an investigation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Inaccurate official statement	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Misleading official statement	3	38%	0	0%	-3	-100%
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>8</b>		<b>4</b>		<b>-4</b>	<b>-50%</b>

## Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

**Figure 31: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing Allegations with % Change**

Bias-Based Allegations	March 2024		March 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Age	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Color	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Disability	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Gender	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Housing Status	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Immigration Status	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
National Origin	5	50%	0	0%	-5	-100%
Race	5	50%	7	100%	2	40%
Religion	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Sexual Orientation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>10</b>		<b>7</b>		<b>-3</b>	<b>-30%</b>


**Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing Allegations YTD with % Change**

Bias-Based Allegations	YTD 2024		YTD 2025		Change	% Change
	Count	% of Total Allegations	Count	% of Total Allegations		
Age	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Color	0	0%	1	10%	1	NA
Disability	2	17%	0	0%	-2	-100%
Gender	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Housing Status	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Immigration Status	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
National Origin	5	42%	0	0%	-5	-100%
Race	5	42%	9	90%	4	80%
Religion	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
Sexual Orientation	0	0%	0	0%	0	NA
<b>Total Allegations</b>	<b>12</b>		<b>10</b>		<b>-2</b>	<b>-17%</b>


## Substantiation Rates

The March 2025 case substantiation rate was 49%.

**Figure 33: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2024 - March 2025)**



**Figure 34: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints\* (2025)**




\* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.


## Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

**Figure 35: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2024 - Mar 2025) (% substantiated shown)**



**Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2024 - Mar 2025) (% substantiated shown)**



## Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and "Command Discipline A" are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

**Figure 37: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations\*  
(Mar 2024, Mar 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Disposition	March 2024		March 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Charges	41	31%	34	21%	96	26%	107	20%
Command Discipline B	30	23%	24	15%	88	24%	105	19%
Command Discipline A	47	35%	75	46%	143	39%	217	40%
Formalized Training	15	11%	31	19%	42	11%	112	21%
Total	133		164		369		541	

\* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB’s adoption of the NYPD’s Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days >= 11) 2. Command Discipline B (6 <= penalty days <= 10) 3. Command Discipline A (1 <= penalty days <= 5) 4. Formalized Training ( 0 < penalty days < 1)

## Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

**Figure 38: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed**

	Mar 2024	Mar 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA Complaints	13	14	58	61
Total Complaints	396	449	1550	1390
PSA Complaints as % of Total	3.3%	3.1%	3.7%	4.4%

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

**Figure 39: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA**

	Mar 2024	Mar 2025	YTD 2024	YTD 2025
PSA 1	7	2	9	8
PSA 2	0	5	15	18
PSA 3	14	3	29	21
PSA 4	4	2	10	14
PSA 5	0	1	9	24
PSA 6	7	0	13	4
PSA 7	7	4	17	23
PSA 8	0	6	5	7
PSA 9	3	1	13	13
Total	42	24	120	132

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

**Figure 40: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type**

	Mar 2024		Mar 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	% of Total						
Force (F)	12	22%	10	32%	49	32%	70	40%
Abuse of Authority (A)	34	63%	18	58%	83	55%	83	47%
Discourtesy (D)	7	13%	3	10%	16	11%	18	10%
Offensive Language (O)	1	2%	0	0%	3	2%	4	2%
Untruthful Statement (U)	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Total	54	100%	31	100%	151	100%	177	100%

## Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

**Figure 41: Disposition of PSA Officers (2024 vs 2025)**

	Mar 2024		Mar 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
<b>Full Investigations</b>								
Substantiated	16	43%	4	24%	29	41%	26	32%
Within NYPD Guidelines	8	22%	9	53%	17	24%	18	22%
Unfounded	8	22%	3	18%	17	24%	22	28%
Unable to Determine	5	14%	1	6%	6	9%	12	15%
MOS Unidentified	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%
<b>Total - Full Investigations</b>	<b>37</b>		<b>17</b>		<b>70</b>		<b>80</b>	
<b>Mediation Closures</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Mediated	0	0%	1	100%	3	100%	1	100%
<b>Total - Mediation Closures</b>	<b>0</b>		<b>1</b>		<b>3</b>		<b>1</b>	
<b>Unable to Investigate / Other Closures</b>	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total	Count	%of Total
Complaint withdrawn	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	5	10%
Unable to Investigate*	4	80%	3	50%	29	62%	15	29%
Closed - Pending Litigation	1	20%	1	17%	16	34%	23	45%
Officer Retired/Resigned**	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%	8	16%
Administrative Closure***	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>Total - Other Case Dispositions</b>	<b>5</b>		<b>6</b>		<b>47</b>		<b>51</b>	
<b>Total - Closed Cases</b>	<b>42</b>		<b>24</b>		<b>120</b>		<b>132</b>	

\*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

\*\*Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

\*\*\*Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

# Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in March and this year.

**Figure 42: Mediated Complaints Closed**

	March 2025	YTD 2025
Mediated Complaints	8	10

**Figure 43: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed**

	March 2025	YTD 2025
Force	5	6
Abuse of Authority	18	20
Discourtesy	6	7
Offensive Language	3	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>

**Figure 44: Mediated Complaints By Borough (March 2025)**

	Mediations
Bronx	2
Brooklyn	1
Manhattan	4
Queens	1
Staten Island	0

**Figure 45: Mediated Allegations By Borough (March 2025)**

	Mediations
Bronx	8
Brooklyn	1
Manhattan	20
Queens	3
Staten Island	0

**Figure 46: Mediated Complaints By Precinct  
(Mar 2025 - YTD 2025)**

Precinct	Mar 2025	YTD 2025
6	1	1
18	1	1
19	1	1
23	0	1
32	1	1

**Figure 47: Mediated Allegations By Precinct  
(Mar 2025 - YTD 2025)**

Precinct	Mar 2025	YTD 2025
6	9	9
18	3	3
19	6	6
23	0	3
32	2	2

# Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

**Figure 48: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures**

Disposition Category	Prosecution Disposition	Mar 2025	YTD 2025
Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed	0	0
	Guilty after trial	1	2
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed	0	0
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty	0	0
	Resolved by plea	8	32
	Plea Renegotiated by PC	0	0
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B	0	1
	Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A	0	0
	Plea set aside, Formalized Training	0	0
	Plea set aside, Instructions	0	0
	*Retained, with discipline	2	5
	<b>Disciplinary Action Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>40</b>
No Disciplinary Action	Not guilty after trial	0	2
	Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty	0	0
	Plea set aside, Without discipline	0	3
	**Retained, without discipline	0	4
	Dismissed by Police Commissioner	0	0
	<b>No Disciplinary Action Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>
Not Adjudicated	Charges not served	0	0
	Deceased	0	0
	Dismissed by APU	0	0
	Other	0	4
	***Previously adjudicated, with discipline	0	0
	***Previously adjudicated, without discipline	0	1
	†Reconsidered by CCRB Board	0	0
	Retired	0	0
	Resigned	1	2
	Terminated	0	0
	Terminal leave	0	0
	SOL Expired prior to APU	0	0
	SOL Expired in APU	0	0
	<b>Not Adjudicated Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Total Closures</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>56</b>	

\*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. \*\* When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. \*\*\* In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

# NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

**Figure 49: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence**

Discipline Report Year	Non APU %	APU %	Total %
2023	55.53	57.69	55.90
2024	26.48	45.40	30.27
2025 YTD	56.37	69.81	59.14

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

**Figure 50: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases**

Discipline*	March 2025	YTD 2025
Terminated	0	0
Forced Separation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	1
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	3	9
Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	4	22
Command Discipline B	0	0
Command Discipline A	0	1
Formalized Training**	4	7
Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded	0	0
<b>Disciplinary Action† Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>40</b>
No Disciplinary Action†	0	9
<b>Adjudicated Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Discipline Rate</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>82%</b>
Not Adjudicated† Total	1	7
<b>Total Closures</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>56</b>

\*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

\*\* Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 51 on the previous page.

**Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases**

<b>Disposition</b>	<b>Disposition Type*</b>	<b>February 2025</b>	<b>YTD 2025</b>
Disciplinary Action	Terminated	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days	0	0
	Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days	0	0
	Command Discipline B	8	12
	Command Discipline A	12	17
	Formalized Training**	35	87
	Closed Administratively (With Discipline) ††	0	2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>118</b>
No Disciplinary Action	Retired	0	0
	Resigned	0	0
	SOL Expired	0	0
	Department Unable to Prosecute †††	4	4
	Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) ††††	2	78
	Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) ††	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>82</b>
	<b>Discipline Rate</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>59%</b>
	<b>DUP Rate</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>41%</b>

\*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

\*\* Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.

†† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

†††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

**Figure 52: “Short SOL”\* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration  
(Feb 2024, Feb 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Days to SOL at Close Group	February 2024		February 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		0%		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		0%		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20	8	24%		0%	8	15%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30	2	6%	1	50%	3	6%	24	31%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40		0%	1	50%		0%	45	58%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50		0%		0%	6	12%	9	12%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	1	3%		0%	7	13%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90	23	68%		0%	28	54%		0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>34</b>		<b>2</b>		<b>52</b>		<b>78</b>	

**Figure 53: “Short SOL”\* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration for  
Complaints Containing a Substantiated SQF Allegation  
(Feb 2024, Feb 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

Days to SOL at Close Group	February 2024		February 2025		YTD 2024		YTD 2025	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05		0%		0%		0%		0%
05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10		0%		0%		0%		0%
10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20	2	50%		0%	2	50%		0%
20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30		0%		0%		0%		0%
30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40		0%		0%		0%		0%
40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50		0%		0%		0%		0%
50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60	1	25%		0%	1	25%		0%
60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90	1	25%		0%	1	25%		0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>				<b>4</b>			

\* "Short SOL" decisions are those where the NYPD decided not to pursue disciplinary proceedings against an officer because NYPD's Department Advocate's Office felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

**Figure 54: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (February 2025)**

<b>Board Disposition Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>Allegation(s)</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Robert John	A: Entry of Premises	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Sohail Zahir	A: Seizure of property; A: Entry of Premises; A: Seizure of property; A: Search of Premises	14	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Michael Slinkosky	A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Question	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO David Kim	A: Threat of arrest; A: Question; A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	23	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Waqar Ali	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	23	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Eleodoro Mata	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO William Cote	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jacqueline Castaneda	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kristen Hartung	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	26	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Daniel Estevez	A: Refusal to provide shield number	32	Manhattan	No penalty
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Michael Bletcher	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	32	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Brian Query	A: Vehicle search	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Yuliana Comas	D: Word	40	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Peter Delohery	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Frisk; A: Stop	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Damion Cunningham	A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Anthony Perlmutter	A: Stop; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Mayky Santos	A: Frisk	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Denis Rocha	A: Frisk; D: Word	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO James Weck	A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Rafael Pimentel	A: Stop	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Estevez	D: Word	40	Bronx	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Richy Acevedoalmanzar	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Victor Guzman	D: Word; D: Word	45	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Carolyn Daley	A: Search (of person); A: Stop; A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx	Command Discipline - A

<b>Board Disposition Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>Allegation(s)</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kiara Lopez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	47	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Liriano	A: Frisk	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Michael Rosario	A: Frisk; A: Vehicle stop; A: Question	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Steven Cruver	A: Vehicle stop; A: Question; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	48	Bronx	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 6 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christopher Rosarioventura	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Daeshawne Wells	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Keshawn West	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Oliva Carvajalhernandez	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Karen Arias	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT Edward Lawyerr	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Cameron Wong	D: Word	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Samantha Torlone	D: Word	60	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Loughery	D: Word	60	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Joseph Calabrese	A: Vehicle search	61	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Brian Henn	A: Threat to damage/seize property	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joel Torres	A: Search (of person)	71	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Evrett Kerr	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Keon Lawson	A: Search (of person)	75	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Md Majumder	A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	76	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days)
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Isaac Quarshie	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Christopher Mcdonald	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Jose Jimenez	A: Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn	No penalty
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Demitrious Peterson	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Raphael Mero	A: Stop	101	Queens	Command Discipline - A
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DTS Christopher Krause	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide shield number	103	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day)

<b>Board Disposition Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>Allegation(s)</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrico Caballero	A: Search (of person); A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk	103	Queens	Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Paramveer Singh	A: Threat of arrest	107	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Shahrukh Rehan	A: Stop; A: Stop; A: Improper use of body-worn camera	110	Queens	Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day)
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Carl Petitfrere	A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number	112	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Vincent Cipolla	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name	112	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	LT SA Roger Lurch	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Joseph Greco	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	113	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Anwar Hassan	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	114	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Christopher Maher	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	114	Queens	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kevin Young	A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card	120	Staten Island	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Maciej Hajbert	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	123	Staten Island	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Russell Lennon	A: Improper use of body-worn camera	123	Staten Island	Formalized Training

**Figure 55: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (March 2025)**

<b>Board Disposition Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>Allegation(s)</b>	<b>Precinct</b>	<b>Borough</b>	<b>NYPD Discipline</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	POM Jamal Moye	A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name	6	Manhattan	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Maris Garcia	O: Gender; O: Gender; O: Race; O: Other; D: Word	7	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Jonathan Bourne	F: Physical force; A: Threat of arrest; A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Refusal to provide name	7	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 2 days
Substantiated (Charges)	POF Christine Rathjen	A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment	23	Manhattan	Forfeit vacation 20 days
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Pedro Reyes	A: Interference with recording	49	Bronx	Forfeit vacation 10 days
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Alex Guess	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); O: Sexual orientation; D: Word	60	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 20 days / Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Christopher Bonilla	A: Threat re: removal to hospital; A: Entry of Premises	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Eric Warren	A: Search of Premises; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Property damaged	67	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	LT Justin Simms	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); A: Refusal to process civilian complaint	69	Brooklyn	Formalized Training
Substantiated (Charges)	POM Orkhan Mamedov	A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); A: Forcible Removal to Hospital; F: Physical force; A: Entry of Premises	73	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Volkan Maden	A: Other; A: Unlawful Summons	90	Brooklyn	Forfeit vacation 13 days

**Figure 56: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (March 2025)**

Board Disposition Recommendation	Officer	Allegation	Precinct	Borough	NYPD Discipline
----------------------------------	---------	------------	----------	---------	-----------------

**Figure 57: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (March 2025)**

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

Board Disposition	Officer	FADO&U Category	Allegation	Precinct of Occurrence	Borough of Occurrence
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Yakov Kaushanskiy	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	1	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Joel Mottola	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	6	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andrew Viscio	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	10	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Andrew Viscio	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	10	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Haroon Hussain	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	13	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Maria Corbisiero	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	13	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Burt Antoine	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO William Bilbao	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Md Islamsarker	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Cizmarik	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Burt Antoine	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jose Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Jorge Tavaréz	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Salvatore Guardi	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jordan Crespo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	14	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Vito Lacerenza	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to process civilian complaint	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Elias Oleaga	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	18	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicholas Mckeever	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicholas Mckeever	Discourtesy	Word	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicholas Mckeever	Discourtesy	Word	20	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Joan Ferreira	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Joan Ferreira	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Connor Lisante	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Juan Quiroz	Abuse of Authority	Interference with recording	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Joan Ferreira	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Joan Ferreira	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Grant Pulgarin	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Osvaldo Garcia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Juan Quiroz	Discourtesy	Action	23	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dawson Alvarez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Seturah Blease	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	24	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Sheldon Elliott	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Matthew Crudele	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	DT2 Patrick Daly	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Sheldon Elliott	Abuse of Authority	Obstructed Shield Number	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kevin Merino	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Michael Agunzo	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO John Nortwich	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Paul Sclafani	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DT3 Ryan Stigell	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	25	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Diganta Saha	Abuse of Authority	Threat re: removal to hospital	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Diganta Saha	Discourtesy	Word	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Diganta Saha	Offensive Language	Disability	30	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Vicente Perez	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	LT Vicente Perez	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	32	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jennifer Perez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kristjan Tanushaj	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	34	Manhattan
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brandon Quiles	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Matthew Barlow	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jorge Ortiz	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sinaka Javorovac	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jayther Liriano	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Anthony Perlmutter	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joshua Espana	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jonathan Torres	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joshua Espana	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joshua Espana	Abuse of Authority	Question	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DTS Jayther Liriano	Abuse of Authority	Question	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joshua Espana	Abuse of Authority	Question	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sinaka Javorovac	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sinaka Javorovac	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Race)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Anthony Perlmutter	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Race)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jorge Ortiz	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Race)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Matthew Barlow	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Race)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jonathan Torres	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Race)	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Matthew Barlow	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	40	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Kevin Weber	Abuse of Authority	Other	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Israel Diaz	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Jose Espinal	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Paola Heredianunez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT David Sangster	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Santana Cruznunez	Discourtesy	Word	41	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Steven Nieves	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jasmine Nunezramos	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	42	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Calvin Black	Abuse of Authority	Other	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Calvin Black	Abuse of Authority	Other	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brandon Bacalles	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Bauman	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Shahzeb Ahmed	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Edgar Guerrero	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Angel Suazopelaez	Abuse of Authority	Stop	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Bauman	Abuse of Authority	Stop	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Calvin Black	Abuse of Authority	Sex Miscon (Sexual Harassment, Verbal)	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Calvin Black	Abuse of Authority	Sex Miscon (Sexual Harassment, Verbal)	43	Bronx

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Calvin Black	Abuse of Authority	Sex Miscon (Sexual/Romantic Proposition)	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Calvin Black	Abuse of Authority	Sex Miscon (Sexual/Romantic Proposition)	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Angel Suazopelaez	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Bauman	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Bauman	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jesse Mancuso	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Shahzeb Ahmed	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Brandon Bacalles	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Angel Suazopelaez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Justin Bauman	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Enmanuel Diazsantana	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Javiel Flores	Force	Physical force	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Javiel Flores	Force	Physical force	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joel Feliz	Force	Physical force	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joel Feliz	Force	Physical force	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Enmanuel Diazsantana	Force	Nonlethal restraining device	43	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Mario Padillabello	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Tobin	Force	Physical force	44	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Sameer Umrao	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Ana Hernandez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Edgar Guerrero	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Shahzeb Ahmed	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	45	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Aalijhaenle Vargas	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Mccabe	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Mccabe	Abuse of Authority	Stop	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ariel Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Stop	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Aalijhaenle Vargas	Abuse of Authority	Stop	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Evan Angels	Abuse of Authority	Question	46	Bronx

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Phong Le	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dante Pulido	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Michael Mccabe	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Phong Le	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Dante Pulido	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Ariel Cruz	Abuse of Authority	Detention	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicky Chery	Discourtesy	Action	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicky Chery	Force	Physical force	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicky Chery	Force	Physical force	46	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicolas Milani	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicolas Milani	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicolas Milani	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Angel Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Angel Lopez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nicolas Milani	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Craig Williams	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	DTS Connor Convery	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Nicolas Milani	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	47	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Batule	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Edin Bacovic	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Batule	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Edin Bacovic	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Brendan Reilly	Abuse of Authority	Stop	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Bryan Scheblein	Abuse of Authority	Stop	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Batule	Abuse of Authority	Stop	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Edin Bacovic	Abuse of Authority	Question	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Dante Pulido	Abuse of Authority	Question	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Anthony Liriano	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	SGT Vanessa Solis	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Batule	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Brendan Reilly	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	48	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Joseph Walsh	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Joseph Walsh	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Joseph Walsh	Abuse of Authority	Stop	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Joseph Walsh	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Charges)	DT3 Christopher Montera	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Jonathan Baptiste	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	52	Bronx
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Markfrancis Palencia	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Sokol	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Conner Anzalone	Discourtesy	Word	60	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	DT3 Jason Zummo	Abuse of Authority	Search of Premises	67	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tanisha Mayfield	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sergio Meneses	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sergio Meneses	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tanisha Mayfield	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sergio Meneses	Abuse of Authority	Other	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tanisha Mayfield	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sergio Meneses	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sergio Meneses	Discourtesy	Word	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tanisha Mayfield	Discourtesy	Word	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Tanisha Mayfield	Discourtesy	Action	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sergio Meneses	Force	Physical force	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sergio Meneses	Untruthful Statement	False official statement	69	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Michael Velasquez	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	70	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Joseph Loporto	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Junaid Saeed	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	71	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Tracey Metoo	Abuse of Authority	Threat of arrest	72	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Tracey Metoo	Discourtesy	Word	72	Brooklyn

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Luis Sandres	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Tyler Barnett	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Krista Mitchell	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Thomas Marfoglio	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gregory Clena	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Everett Kerr	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Derrell Henry	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Faisal Elwan	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Hoffner	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Nory Mitchell	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Arrest	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Abuse of Authority	Unlawful Summons	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Race)	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Luis Sandres	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Faisal Elwan	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Ryan Bisnauth	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Thomas Marfoglio	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Antonio Saintjean	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	SGT Jonathan Andrade	Force	Physical force	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Force	Physical force	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Rafal Korycki	Force	Physical force	73	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Danny Cama	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Danny Cama	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Casey Haft	Abuse of Authority	Entry of Premises	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Reyes	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Sandeep Thomas	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Sandeep Thomas	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Sandeep Thomas	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Sergio Castillo	Abuse of Authority	Stop	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Sandeep Thomas	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Danny Cama	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Casey Haft	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Gurwinder Singh	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Austin Kowalsky	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mahmoud Mohamed	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Fakrul Islam	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mohammad Islam	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	75	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO William Stewart	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	76	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT William Mcknight	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Abate	Force	Physical force	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Diane Hopkins	Force	Physical force	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joseph Abate	Force	Physical force	78	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrew Paulino	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrew Paulino	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Ciliento	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide name	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Ciliento	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrew Paulino	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT SA Akil Guy	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Lexie Napolitano	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jonathan Coronel	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Yuvraj Singh	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Kiersten Chambers	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Aaron Husbands	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Christopher Ciliento	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Mario Mohan	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maricel Mieves	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maricel Mieves	Discourtesy	Word	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Maricel Mieves	Discourtesy	Word	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jared Alexander	Discourtesy	Word	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Jared Alexander	Offensive Language	Disability	79	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO Andrew Tofalli	Abuse of Authority	Threat of force (verbal or physical)	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline B)	PO John Lenahan	Discourtesy	Action	83	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Paul Searchwell	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jarrett Dill	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Cynita Bates	Discourtesy	Word	84	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT Andy Wong	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	90	Brooklyn
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kevin Donato	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	103	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kevin Donato	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	103	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kevin Donato	Discourtesy	Word	103	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Charisse Jenkins	Discourtesy	Word	103	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Charisse Jenkins	Discourtesy	Word	103	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Kevin Donato	Discourtesy	Action	103	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Charisse Jenkins	Discourtesy	Other	103	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	LT Charisse Jenkins	Offensive Language	Gender	103	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	105	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	105	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Robert Rose	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	105	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Search (of person)	105	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	105	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Vincent Pape	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	105	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Robert Rose	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	105	Queens

<b>Board Disposition</b>	<b>Officer</b>	<b>FADO&amp;U Category</b>	<b>Allegation</b>	<b>Precinct of Occurrence</b>	<b>Borough of Occurrence</b>
Substantiated (Charges)	SGT Thaddeus Grandstaff	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	105	Queens
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Bart Glowa	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	105	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Matthew Regan	Discourtesy	Word	106	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joshua Pearaylall	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joshua Pearaylall	Abuse of Authority	Vehicle search	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joshua Pearaylall	Abuse of Authority	Question	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Nunziata	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Matthew Traube	Abuse of Authority	Refusal to provide shield number	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Matthew Traube	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO John Nunziata	Abuse of Authority	Failure to provide RTKA card	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Joshua Pearaylall	Abuse of Authority	Bias-Based Policing (Race)	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dewan Rahman	Force	Physical force	110	Queens
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Dewan Rahman	Force	Restricted Breathing	110	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	SGT John Bonhomme	Abuse of Authority	Frisk	113	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Salvatore Ciulla	Abuse of Authority	Forcible Removal to Hospital	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Salvatore Ciulla	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	114	Queens
Substantiated (Command Discipline A)	PO Jamar Burke	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Adam Conanan	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Stephen Vega	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kevin Young	Discourtesy	Word	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Adam Conanan	Force	Physical force	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Charges)	PO Kevin Young	Offensive Language	Gender	120	Staten Island
Substantiated (Formalized Training)	PO Lauren Caminiti	Abuse of Authority	Improper use of body-worn camera	121	Staten Island