
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 89

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	74	115	86
Grade 1	88	67	98
Grade 2	66	71	64
Grade 3	49	63	66
Grade 4	90	43	52
Grade 5	82	77	40
All students	485	472	442

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	5%	3%	4%
% Free Lunch Eligible	4%	4%	3%
% Student with IEPs	15%	19%	17%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	2%	2%
% Temporary Housing	-	0%	0%
% Asian	14%	14%	14%
% Black	2%	1%	2%
% Hispanic	15%	15%	15%
% White	61%	63%	62%
% Other	0%	7%	6%

02M089 P.S. 89

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.45

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics	_	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of Target Range	Matuia Caava	Weight Det
State Test Results - ELA	n	School value	rarget Kange	Target	Target	Target	rarget Kange	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Average Student Proficiency	154	3.23	2.49	2.82	3.00	3.21	3.45	4.08	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	154	68.2%	27.7%	42.6%	52.5%	63.8%	77.1%	4.33	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	86	68.0	50.9	59.1	66.2	71.2	83.0	3.36	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	33	67.0	55.4	64.2	71.8	77.1	89.8	2.37	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	62	2.84	1.21	1.85	2.36	2.71	3.55	4.15	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	156	3.71	2.61	3.02	3.23	3.48	3.76	4.82	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	156	87.2%	33.8%	51.1%	61.2%	72.7%	85.5%	4.99	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	87	90.0	44.9	55.0	63.7	69.7	84.1	4.99	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	30	91.0	51.3	60.9	69.4	75.3	89.3	4.99	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	64	3.33	1.07	1.96	2.62	3.08	4.26	4.21	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	64	99.7%	86.4%	91.7%	94.0%	96.7%	100.0%	4.91	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	4.29

						2	014-15 Target:	c				
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	– Top of		Extra Points	Extra Point
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•	Ū		0 0		U		0 0			
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	26	16.9%	85.8%	34.6%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	7	4.5%	42.9%	42.9%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.6%	3.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	26	16.7%	85.2%	80.8%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	7	4.5%	43.3%	100.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	4.7%	10.0%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	4	4.7%	4.6%		28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	23	26.7%	65.3%	43.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.87	0.030	0.014
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	5	5.7%	11.7%	80.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	3	3.4%	4.7%		19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
• SC/ICT/SETSS	23	26.4%	65.4%	78.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELL Progress	15	3.7%	9.4%	73.3%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.16
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	4.45

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

O Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

02M0	89
P.S.	89

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct		
orous Instruction					
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%		
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%		
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%		
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.20	34%		
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36			
aborative Teachers					
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%		
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.28	50%		
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84			
portive Environment					
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%		
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	98%	4.52	35%		
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	94.5%	4.04			
HS	J T .3/0	∪-			
Overall	94.5%	4.04	30%		
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	54.570	1.07	3070		
environments					
EMS	0.06	1.43			
HS	3.00	2.15			
Overall	0.06	1.43	5%		
	2.00		3,0		
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36			
ective School Leadership					
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	90%	4.24	100%		
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24			
- 1 0 1 -1					
ong Family-Community Ties					
ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	93%	4.52	100%		
	93% Section Score:	4.52 4.52	100%		
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target			100%		
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target st	Section Score:	4.52			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target			100%		

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.85	4.40
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	99	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.94	4.76
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	88	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
ection Results:		95%					4.20
-Walana di Amaraha da							
ollaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:		400	05.4	05.0	400.0	4.00	
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	99			4000	0.88	4.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.83	4.32
Quality of professional development	Teachers	73	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.43	2.72
• School commitment	Teachers	99	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.98	4.92
Innovation	Teachers	93	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.75	4.00
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.75	4.00
Focus on student learning	Teachers	100	68.2	89.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Collective responsibility	Teachers	91	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.74	3.96 4.28
upportive Environment Safety:							
	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety:	Teachers Students	100	80.0 74.5	94.6 88.5	100.0 100.0	1.00	
Safety: Safety		100				1.00	4.99
Safety: Safety Safety	Students						4.99
Safety: Safety Safety Safety	Students						4.99
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior:	Students Combined	100	74.5	88.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers	100	74.5 66.9	88.5 85.5	100.0	1.00	4.99 4.28
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students	100 94	74.5 66.9	88.5 85.5	100.0	1.00 0.82	4.28
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined	100 94 94	74.5 66.9 67.3	88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.82 0.82	
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	100 94 94	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.82 0.82	4.28
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	100 94 94	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.82 0.82	4.28
Safety: Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	100 94 94	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.82 0.82	4.28
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students	94 94 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.82 0.82 0.78	4.28
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement: Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers	94 94 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.82 0.82 0.78	4.28 4.12
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Students Teachers Students	94 94 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.82 0.82 0.78	4.28 4.12
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	94 94 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.82 0.82 0.78	4.28 4.12
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	94 94 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.82 0.82 0.78	4.28
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work:	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students	94 94 98 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.82 0.82 0.78	4.28 4.12
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students Teachers	94 94 98 98 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.82 0.82 0.78 0.92 0.92	4.28 4.12
Safety Safety Safety Classroom behavior: Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Classroom behavior Social-emotional measure Peer interactions Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement Personal attention and support Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	94 94 98 98 98	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.82 0.82 0.78 0.92 0.92	4.28 4.12

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	98	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.89	4.56
Teacher influence	Teachers	77	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.75	4.00
Program coherence	Teachers	89	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.72	3.88
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	95	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.86	4.44
Section Results:		90%					4.24
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	91	62.4	76.6	90.8	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		93%					4.52
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.87	4.48
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	93	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		97%					4.48

02M089

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 89

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.23	3.14 or lower	3.15 to 3.24	3.25 to 3.32	3.33 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.78	2.66 or lower	2.67 to 2.78	2.79 to 2.87	2.88 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	68.2%	60.6% or lower	60.7% to 66.1%	66.2% to 70.3%	70.4% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.71	3.34 or lower	3.35 to 3.50	3.51 to 3.61	3.62 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	3.33	2.77 or lower	2.78 to 2.94	2.95 to 3.07	3.08 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	87.2%	69.5% or lower	69.6% to 76.8%	76.9% to 82.3%	82.4% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.7%	89.7% or lower	89.8% to 92.3%	92.4% to 94.2%	94.3% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained							
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.79	2.46 or lower	2.47 to 2.57	2.58 to 2.65	2.66 or higher		
SETSS	2.84	2.47 or lower	2.48 to 2.59	2.60 to 2.69	2.70 or higher		
ELL	2.93	2.60 or lower	2.61 to 2.74	2.75 to 2.85	2.86 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide		2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.27	2.28 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide							
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained							
Integrated Co-Teaching	3.41	2.64 or lower	2.65 to 2.81	2.82 to 2.94	2.95 or higher		
SETSS	3.67	2.70 or lower	2.71 to 2.87	2.88 to 3.01	3.02 or higher		
ELL	3.83	2.96 or lower	2.97 to 3.15	3.16 to 3.30	3.31 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide		2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide							
ELL Progress	73.3%	63.3% or lower	63.4% to 72.3%	72.4% to 79.1%	79.2% or highe		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	94.5%	82.5% or lower	82.6% to 87.0%	87.1% to 90.3%	90.4% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.06	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.16	0.17 to 0.20	0.21 or higher			