2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target		2.44		
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.04	
Supportive Environment	Approaching Target		2.36		
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3	.84
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.24	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.28
Student Achievement	Approaching Target		2.11		
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

P.S. 112 Bronxwood

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	63	65	62
Grade 1	50	67	50
Grade 2	78	56	50
Grade 3	75	84	59
Grade 4	72	75	74
Grade 5	87	82	70
All students	448	451	406

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	5%	6%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	95%	95%	95%
% Student with IEPs	18%	18%	25%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	4%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	80%	79%
% Temporary Housing	-	18%	20%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	52%	50%	49%
% Hispanic	47%	48%	50%
% White	0%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	0%



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Approaching Target	2.11									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
 Average Student Proficiency 		197	2.08	1.95	2.16	2.29	2.44	2.71	1.62	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		197	4.6%	1.5%	10.4%	16.6%	23.7%	35.6%	1.35	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		134	53.0	45.4	53.6	60.7	65.7	77.6	1.93	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		49	76.0	58.4	66.8	74.2	79.3	91.5	3.35	9.09%
• Early Grade Progress		56	0.76	0.34	0.97	1.52	1.91	2.87	1.67	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
• Average Student Proficiency		196	2.09	1.98	2.23	2.38	2.56	2.90	1.44	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		196	8.2%	2.3%	12.9%	20.2%	28.5%	43.2%	1.56	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		135	46.0	36.7	48.3	58.5	65.6	82.4	1.80	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		45	62.0	54.0	63.5	71.8	77.6	91.3	1.84	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		56	1.20	0.33	1.19	1.91	2.41	3.75	2.01	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		72	91.3%	71.3%	79.5%	85.3%	91.8%	100.0%	3.92	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	2.04

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics		2014-15 School	•	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	26	13.2%	65.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	22	11.2%	56.9%	4.5%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.29	0.030	0.010
SETSS	4	2.0%	19.0%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
o Self-Contained	26	13.3%	66.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	22	11.2%	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	4	2.0%	19.2%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	7	5.2%	11.1%	42.9%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	69	51.5%	74.7%	46.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.92	0.030	0.014
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	35	26.1%	66.1%	48.6%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.28	0.030	0.017
SC/ICT/SETSS	34	25.4%	61.6%	47.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.23	0.030	0.017
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	7	5.2%	10.7%	14.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
 Lowest Third Citywide 	73	54.1%	74.3%	21.9%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	1.21	0.030	0.002
O Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	40	29.6%	77.1%	22.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	1.54	0.030	0.004
o SC/ICT/SETSS	35	25.9%	64.0%	25.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.73	0.030	0.005
ELL Progress	10	2.7%	6.9%	20.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.07
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	2.11

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
11X112
```

P.S. 112 Bronxwood

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	90%	3.24	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.44	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.08	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Developing	2.00	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	91%	3.44	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	56.5%	1.60	
HS			
Overall	56.5%	1.60	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.07	1.50	
HS			
Overall	0.07	1.50	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.36	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	87%	3.84	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.24	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	96%	4.28	100%
Soction Pating: Excooding Target	Castion Coores	1.20	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.89	4.56
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	89	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.34	2.36
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	83	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		90%					3.24
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	94	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.59	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	94				0.54	3.16
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	92	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.83	4.32
School commitment	Teachers	97	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.93	4.72
Innovation	Teachers	92	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.73	3.92
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.93	4.72
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	96	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Collective responsibility	Teachers	86	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		94%					4.08
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	90	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.50	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	90				0.50	3.00
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	84	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.53	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	84				0.53	3.12
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.89	4.56
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	88	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.50	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.50	3.00
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	95	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.78	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	93				0.64	3.56
Section Results:		91%					3.44

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	67	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.60	3.40
 Program coherence 	Teachers	92	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.80	4.20
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	98	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:		87%					3.84
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.86	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.68	3.72
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		85%					3.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	97	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.98	4.92
Section Results:		96%					4.28

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

P.S. 112 Bronxwood

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.08	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.28	2.29 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	4.6%	7.8% or lower	7.9% to 12.3%	12.4% to 15.8%	15.9% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.09	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.39	2.40 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.82	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	8.2%	10.4% or lower	10.5% to 16.4%	16.5% to 20.9%	21.0% or highe
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	91.3%	83.2% or lower	83.3% to 87.5%	87.6% to 90.7%	90.8% or highe
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets		
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.83	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.02	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
SETSS		1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
ELL	2.03	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.20	2.21 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.80	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.98	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 or higher
SETSS		1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 or higher
ELL	2.04	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.35	2.36 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
ELL Progress	20.0%	41.9% or lower	42.0% to 51.9%	52.0% to 59.5%	59.6% or highe

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	56.5%	56.5% or lower	56.6% to 63.3%	63.4% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.07	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher		