



Text Size: A A A

Contact OATH

The Business Integrity Commission alleged that respondents failed to comply with a Commission Directive mandating each respondent to provide the Commission with an

email address, in violation of Commission rule 1-09. ALJ Susan J. Pogoda found that the Commission failed to establish proper service of the charges, the Commission Directive and the Notice of Hearing on each respondent. Due to these procedural defects, ALJ Pogoda dismissed the charges without prejudice. [Business Integrity Comm'n v. All Boro Trucking Corp.](#), OATH Index Nos. 2156/16, 2165/16 & 2171/16, mem. dec. (Sept. 14, 2016).

The Office of the City Clerk denied respondent's application for a marriage license based upon a prior marriage license to someone with the same name and date of birth as respondent, which had not been dissolved. Evidence supported respondent's claim that though she was married and divorced twice, the open marriage in the City Clerk's records resulted from respondent being a victim of identity theft. ALJ Ingrid M. Addison recommended that respondent's application for a marriage license be processed. [Office of the City Clerk v. Vargas](#), OATH Index No. 0050/17 (Sept. 19, 2016), adopted, City Clerk's Dec. (Oct. 14, 2016).